COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

JANUARY to JUNE 2016

Name of Administrative Staff: Edieser E. Noriel

| Particulars | Numerical Rating | Percentage Weight | Equivalent Numerical Rating |
|---|------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| 7. Numerical Rating per IPCR | 4.692 | 70% | 3.284 |
| 8. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments | 4.53 | 30% | 1.359 |
| | TOTAL NUM | ERICAL RATING | 4.643 |

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.643
Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING 4.643
ADJECTIVAL RATING: Outstanding

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

Name of Staff

Y" ABAYABAY

ROMEL B. ARMECIN Department/Office Head

1 Paul

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, EDIESER A. NORIEL, of the Eco-Farm & Resource Management Institute commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period <u>January</u> to <u>June</u>, 2016

EDIESER A. NORIEL

Ratee

Approved:

ROMEL B. ARMECIN Head of Unit

| | | | Actual Accomplishments | | Rating | | | | Remarks | |
|---------------------|--|-----------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|------|----------------|----------------|---------|--|
| MFO& PAPs | Success Indicators | Target | % Accom plishme nts as of June 2016 | of Accom plishme nts | Q ¹ | E² | Т ³ | A ⁴ | | |
| Research Services | Number of research implemented | 1 | 100 | 1 | 45 | 4.6 | 46 | 447 | | |
| | Number of quarterly accomplishment report for each study submitted | 2 | 100 | 2 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.63 | | |
| | Number of collaborative research conducted | 1 | 100 | 1 | 45 | 4.16 | 4.1 | 4,53 | | |
| Extension Services | No. of communities provided with technical expert services | 2 | 200 | 4 | J.0 | 500 | 5.0 | 510 | | |
| | No. of person-days training conducted | 70 | 114 | 75 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | | |
| | Number of new IEC materials developed | 1 | 100 | 1 | 416 | 45 | 414 | 4,57 | | |
| | Number of IEC materials distributed | 90 | 116 | 105 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.7 | | |
| Production Services | Amount of income generated | 90,000 | 111 | 100,000 | 4.0 | 510 | 5.0 | 500 | | |
| | Expansion of vermiculture: no of beds | 2 | 100 | 2 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 414 | 4157 | | |
| Support Services | Monthly OF/Vermitea production/sales and other accomplishment report | 6 | 100 | 6 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 916 | 4.57 | | |
| | Development of expansion for vegetable area | 300 sq.m. | 100. | 300 sq.m | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 467 | | |

| Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4) | | |
|---|-----|-----------|
| Additional Points: | | |
| Punctuality | N/A | |
| Approved Additional points (with copy of approval) | | |
| FINAL RATING | XX | 4.692 |
| ADJECTIVAL RATING | | Outstande |
| | | |

1 – quality 2 – Efficiency 3 – Timeliness 4 – Average Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:

| Received by: | Calibrated by: | Recommending Approval: | Approved by: |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Planning Office | PMT | OTHELLO B. CAPONO Vice President | EDGARDO E. TULIN President |
| Date: | Date: | Date: | Date: |

| Instrument ¶ | Performance Effe | ctiveness of | f Admin | ative Staf | 1 |
|--------------|------------------|--------------|---------|------------|---|
| | Rating Period: | (our - | June | 2016 | |

| Name of Staff: Edieser | E. Noviel | Position: SR | A |
|------------------------|-----------|--------------|---|
| | | | |

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

| Scale | Descriptive Rating | Qualitative Description |
|-------|--------------------|---|
| 5 | Outstanding | The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model |
| 4 | Very Satisfactory | The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements |
| 3 | Satisfactory | The performance meets job requirements |
| 2 | Fair | The performance needs some development to meet job requirements. |
| 1 | Poor | The staff fails to meet job requirements |

| A. | Cor | mmitment (both for subordinates and supervisors) | | 5 | cale | | |
|----|-----|--|---|---|------|---|---|
| | 1. | Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | 2. | Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | 3. | Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | 4. | Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time. | 5 | 4 | 3. | 2 | 1 |
| | 5. | Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | 6. | Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | 7. | Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | 8. | Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

| 9 | Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|-----|--|-----|---|-------|---|---|
| , | the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university. | | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 10. | Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 11. | Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 12. | Willing to be trained and developed. | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | Total Score | 1 | T | | | |
| | adership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher pervisor) | | 5 | Scale | | |
| | Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 1 | Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | Total Score | 2 | V | | | |
| | | - | | | | |

Overall recommendation

Held minor superform Province

Name of Head