



## OFFICE OF THE HEAD OF PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AND **REWARDS & RECOGNITION**

Visca Baybay City, Leyte 6521-A, Philippines Phone/Fax: 565-0600 local 563-7323 Email Address: prpeo@vsu.edu.ph Website: www.vsu.edu.ph

### COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Annex P

Name of Administrative Staff:

MIRIAM M. DE LA TORRE

	Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1.	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.96	70%	3.47
2.	Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.94	30%	1.48
		TOTAL NU	MERICAL RATING	4.95

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.95

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.95

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING

4.95

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Outstanding

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

M. DE LA TORRE

Name of Staff

**HONEY SOFIA V. COLIS** 

Immediate Supervisor

Recommending Approval:

**HONEY SOFIA V. COLIS** 

OIC-Director for HRM

Approved:

DANIEL LESLIE S. TAN

VP for Admin & Finance

#### INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, Miriam M. De la Torre, of the Office of the Head of Performance Management and Rewards and Recognition (OHPMRR) commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period <u>July 1, 2022 to December 31, 2022</u>.

Approved:

MIRIAM M. DE LA TORRE

Ratee

HONEY SOFIA V. COLIS
OIC Director, ODHRM

MFO & PAPs	Success Indicator	Tasks Assigned	Target (January-	Actual Accomplishment	Rat		iting		Remarks
			December	(July-December)	Q¹	E <sup>2</sup>	T <sup>3</sup>	A <sup>4</sup>	
UMFO 5: Support to Ope	rations (STO)								
VPAF STO 1: ISO 9001:2	015 aligned documents								
<b>ODHRM STO 1: ISO 9001</b>	:2015 aligned documents								
OHPMRR MFO 1: ISO 900	01:2015 aligned documents								
ISO 9001:2015 aligned documents and compliant processes	PI 1. Number of existing quality procedures maintained/improved	Maintain/Improve existing ISO- aligned quality procedures	2 (PM & RR)	3 (PM, RR & RSP)	5	5	5	5.00	
<b>VPAF STO 4: Innovations</b>	s & Best Practices								
<b>ODHRM STO 4: Innovation</b>	ons & Best Practices								
OHPMRR MFO 2: Innova	tions and Best Practices								
Innovations and Best Practices	PI 2. Number of innovations developed and implemented	Introduce innovation in PMRR		1 (Rewards and Recognition)	5	5	4	4.67	Submitted draft revision on Loyalty Bonus for the Employee/ Faculty Manual)
	PI 3. Percentage of documents needed for PRIME-HRM Level 3 accreditation gathered and packaged	Gather and package needed documents for PRIME-HRM level 3 accreditation	50%	NA					Still waiting for the CSC Assessment tool for PRIME- HRM Level 3

MFO & PAPs	Success Indicator	Tasks Assigned	Target (January-	Actual Accomplishment		Ra	ting		Remarks
MIOGIAIS	Success marcator	Tuoto / toolgitea	December	(July-December)	Q <sup>1</sup>	E <sup>2</sup>	T <sup>3</sup>	A <sup>4</sup>	
UMFO 6: General Admin	istration and Support Services (	GASS)							
	rative & Support Services Manag								
	istrative and Support Services M								
OHPMRR MFO 3: Admin	istrative and Support Services M								
Administrative and	PI 4. Efficient & customer	Serves clientele with very	100% of clients	100% of clients					
support services	friendly frontline service	satisfactory service	served Very Satisfactorily	served Very Satisfactorily	5	5	5	5.00	
	PI 5. No. of linkages with external agencies maintained	Maintain linkages with external agencies	2 (CSC Region & Ombudsman)	3 (CSC Region, CSC WLC, & Ombudsman)	5	5	5	5.00	
	PI 6. No. of ad hoc committee assignments served/functions performed	Perform assignments in Ad Hoc committees	2 (PMT& PRAISE)	5 (PMT, PRAISE/SIAC, NAPB, APB,NBC 461)	5	5	5	5.00	
	PI 7. Number of report of employees' submitted SALNs prepared and submitted to higher offices	Reviews and summarizes SALNs and submits report to Ombudsman and CSC	2 (Office of Ombudsman and CSC)	NA					For Jan-June rating period only
VPAF GASS 2: Human R	esource Management and Devel	opment							
ODHRM GASS 2: Human	Resource Management and Dev	relopment							
OHPMRR MFO 4: Human	Resource Management and Dev	velopment							
	PI 8. Percentage compliance on PRIME-HRM Standards, Policies & Practices	Implement PRIME-HRM standards, policies and practices	100% compliant	100% compliant	5	5	5	5.00	
	PI 9. Percentage compliance of HRM Practices to ISO 9001:2015 standards	Implement ISO compliant HRM standards and practices	100% compliant	100% compliant	5	5	5	5.00	

\* ·

MFO & PAPs	Success Indicator	Tasks Assigned	Target (January-	Actual Accomplishment		Ra	ting		Remarks
WIFO & FAFS	Success malcator	Tuono Assigned	December	(July-December)	Q¹	E <sup>2</sup>	T <sup>3</sup>	A <sup>4</sup>	
ODHRM GASS 2.3: Efficie Systems, Policies, Proce	ent and effective implementation sses and Practices	of the University Performance	Management and Rew	ards and Recognition					
OHPMRR MFO 5: Perforn	nance Management and Rewards	s and Recognition Services							
Performance Management and Rewards and Recognition Services	PI 10. Percentage of received IPCRs reviewed and validated	Review approved IPCRs as to correctness of average rating and completeness of signatories and supporting documents	100%	100%	5	5	5	5.00	2 reports submitted during Jan- June rating period) 558 already
	PI 11. Number of report of performance rating prepared and submitted to higher offices	Prepares and submits report of performance rating	3	1	5	5	4	4.67	submitted during Jan- June rating
		Summarize and analyze tabulated performance evaluation of JO workers	1,000	575	5	5	5	5.00	558 already accomplished Jan-June
	screening during anniversary celebrations	Gather and prepare list of employees with Outstanding ratings per approved IPCR as potential nominees for university awards	20	NA					For Jan-June rating period only
	comments and recommendations for development purposes prepared	Prepares and submits report on comments and recommendations for development purposes to L&D for HR intervention	1	1	5	5	5	5.00	
		facilitates preparation of Loyalty Award Certificates and Pins	50	82	5	5	5	5.00	

MFO & PAPs	Success Indicator	Tasks Assigned	Target (January-	Actual Accomplishment		Ra	ating		Remarks
		· ·	December	(July-December)	Q <sup>1</sup>	E <sup>2</sup>	T <sup>3</sup>	A <sup>4</sup>	
	PI 16. Number of deserving employees nominated to the CSC Honors & Awards Program and nomination documents packaged and submitted to CSC	Facilitates nomination documents packaging for submission to CSC	3	1	5	5	5	5.00	This refers to the AHA of REAL, Inc (not in the target) since the CSC HAF was already complied during Jan- June rating period
	PI 17. Percentage of employees identified as top ranking and given step increment based on merit	Gather and prepare list of employees with Outstanding ratings per approved IPCR as potential nominees for step increment based on meritorious performance	5%	NA					Complied Jar June rating period
dditional activities not id	dentified in the target (Designate	ed as OIC-Head of OHRSPPR e	ffective Oct 17-Dec 31,	2022)					
	PI 18. Number of administrative services and		100% accomplishment	100% accomplishment	5	5	5	5.00	
	administrative positions with applicants profile prepared, applicants	Reviews applicants' profile for administrative positions, screens, evaluates and present preliminary and final comparative assessments	28 positions and 93 applicants profile reviewed, screened, interviewed/evaluated and preliminary and final comparative assessments presented to NAPB	28 positions and 93 applicants profile reviewed, screened, interviewed/evaluated and preliminary and final comparative assessments presented to NAPB	5	5	5	5.00	

MFO & PAPs	Success Indicator	Tacks Assigned		Actual Accompl			Ra	ting		Remarks
			December	(July-Decem	iber)	Q1	E <sup>2</sup>	T <sup>3</sup>	A <sup>4</sup>	
	PI 20. Percentage of ranking of applicants & recommendation to faculty positions submitted to APB for review & final action by the Pres	Reviews and presents ranking of faculty applicants from DPC for APB evaluation and final action by the President	100% ranking and recommendtions for faculty positions	100% ranking and recommendtions for faculty positions		5	5	5	5.00	
	PI 21. Number JO contracts reviewed and signed	Reviews and signs JO contracts	58 JO contracts	58 JO conti	racts	5	5	5	5.00	
	PI 22. Percentage of employees entitled to PEI benefits endorsed for payroll	employees entitled to PEI and	100% of employees entitled to PEI and SRI benefits endorsed for payroll preparation	100%		5	5	5	5.00	
	PI 23. Percentage of JO workers entitled to one-time gratuity pay endorsed for final validation of actual service and for payroll	qualified to receive one-time	100% of JO workers entitled to one-time gratuity pay endorsed for final validation of actual service	100%		5	5	5	5.00	
Total Over-all Rating									94.33	
MIRIAM	M. DE LA TORRE	Average Rating :		4.96	Comment	ts & Reco	mmend	ations fo	or Develop	ment Purposes:
		Additional Points:								
		Punctuality			Co	ntinuin	g educe	tion o	n leaders	hip and/or
		Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)			supervis	visory skills.				
		FINAL RATING		4.96						
		ADJECTIVAL RATING		Outstanding						

Eval	luated	&	Rated	by:

Recommending Approval:

Approved by:

HONEY SOFIA V. COLIS	
Immediate Supervisor	
Date:	

HONEY SOFIA V. COLIS
OIC Director for HRM
Date:

DANIEL LESLIE S. TAN
Vice President for Admin & Finance
Date:

-	-	-	-	al	

1 - Quality

2 - Efficiency

3- Timeliness

4 - Average





# OFFICE OF THE HEAD OF PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AND REWARDS & RECOGNITION

Visca Baybay City, Leyte 6521-A, Philippines Phone/Fax: 565-0600 local 563-7323 Email Address: <a href="mailto:preeo@vsu.edu.ph">preeo@vsu.edu.ph</a> Website: www.vsu.edu.ph

### Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: <u>July-December 2022</u>

Name of Staff: MIRIAM M. DE LA TORRE Position: Administrative Officer III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	<b>Descriptive Rating</b>	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. C	ommitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		(	Scale	9	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	)4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	) 4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	(5)	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed (	5	4	3	2	1

	Total Score					
	eadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher upervisor)		S	Scale	Э	
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	)3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	) 4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score					
	Average Score					

Overall recommendation

Continuing elucation on leadership and/or supervisory shi'lls.

HONEY SOFIA V. COLIS

Printed Name and Signature

Head of Office



### PERFORMANCE MONITORING & COACHING JOURNAL

	1st	Q U
	2 <sup>nd</sup>	Α
1	3 <sup>rd</sup>	R T
✓	4th	E R

Name of Office: ODHRM-OHPMRR

Head of Office: Honey Sofia V. Colis

Number of Personnel: 1 (Miriam M. De la Torre)

Activity Monitoring	MECHANISM				
	Meeting		Memo	Others (Pls.	Remarks
	One-on-One	Group	Memo	specify)	
Monitoring	~	Thru staff meeting			
Coaching	<b>√</b>				

Note: Please indicate the date in the appropriate box when the monitoring was conducted.

Conducted by:

Noted by:

HONEY SOFIA V. COLIS Immediate Supervisor

**DANIEL LESLIE S. TAN**Next Higher Supervisor

## EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: MIRIAM M. DE LA TORRE Performance Rating: July-December 2022
Aim: To develop and/or acquire supervisory skills.
Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:
Date: July 1, 2022 Target Date: December 31, 2022
First Step: Delegate supervisory functions and leadership role on the JO staff of PM and RR.
Result: Had performed supervisory/leadership roles to JO staff.
Date: Target Date:
Next Step: Do coaching and mentoring from time to time on case to case or if the need arises.
Outcome:
Final Step/Recommendation:
Prepared by:  HONEY SOFIA V. COLIS  Unit Head

Conforme:

MIRIAM M. DE LA TORRE