# COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

### **ROSENDO L. PEDREGOSA**

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating	Percentage Weight	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
Numerical rating per IPCR	4.17	70%	2.92
Supervisors/Head's assessment of His contribution towards Attainment of office accomplishments	4.53	30%	1.36
	TOTAL NUME	4.28	

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.28

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERIAL RATING:

4.28

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

VS

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

Approved:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

CELSO GUMAOD
Head - Security Services Office

#### "Exhibit B"

I, **ROSENDO L. PEDREGOSA**, of the <u>SECURITY SERVICES OFFICE</u> commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period <u>July 1 to December 31, 2019</u>.

ROSENDO L. PEDREGOSA

Ratee

CELSO GUMAOD

Head, Security Office

MFO / PAPS	Program/Activities/	Tacke Accianad		LISHMENT			Ra	ating		
IIII O TT AI O	Projects	l dans Assigned	Target	Actual	Percentage	Q <sup>1</sup>	E <sup>2</sup>	<b>T</b> <sup>3</sup>	r <sup>3</sup> A <sup>4</sup> Ren	
UMFO 6 General Administration and Support Services (GASS)										
VPAF MFO 7: Security Services and Management Office										
Security Services Management MFOs:										
MFO 3. Public Safety										
MFO 4. Maintain Peace and Order										
PI 1. Number of hours and activities being performed		Records time of arrival and departure of the university president during TOD.	100	100	100%	4	4	4	4.00	

MFO / PAPS	Program/Activities/	Tasks Assigned	ACCOMP	LISHMENT			Ra	ting		
IIII O / FAI S	Projects	l daks Assigned	Target	Actual	Percentage	Q <sup>1</sup>	E <sup>2</sup>	T <sup>3</sup>	A <sup>4</sup>	Remarks
PI 2. Number of orders/directives from higher office implemented	Orders/directives compliance/implemen tation on different memorandum circulars issued by OP.	Apprehend/reprimand violators on vandalism; Picking fruits, flowers, plants, etc. on campus without permission; Public disturbance; Trespassing; Littering; Intrusion VSU prroperties; No smoking policy; Improper disposal of solid waste; and Curfew policy.	100%	12	100%	4	4	5	4.33	
TOTAL OVER-ALL RATING									8.33	

Average Rating(Total Overall rating divided by 6)		4.17
Additional Points:		
Punctuality	хх	
Approved additional points(with copy of approval)	хх	
FINAL RATING		4.17
ADJECTIVAL RATING		VS

Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:

Training/seminar in written reporting

Evaluated & Rated by:

CELSO GUMAOD

Dept/Office Head

Date:

1 - Quality

2 - Efficiency

3 - Timeliness

4 - Average

Approved by:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL Vice Pres. For Admin & Finance

Date:

### PERFORMANCE MONITORING FORM

Name of Employee: ROSENDO L. PEDREGOSA

Task No.	Task Description	Expected Output	Date Assigned	Expected Date to Accomplished	Acutal Date of Accomplish ment	Quality of	Over-all assessment of output**	Remarks Recommend ation
1	Manning fixed post	Effective manning of fixed post as per SOP	Refer to weekly guard detail	End of weekly Guard Detail Order	December 31, 2019	VS	Very Satisfactory	Observance of 11 General Orders
2	Campus Rooving	AOR properly observed	Refer to weekly guard detail	End of weekly Guard Detail Order	December 31, 2019	VS	Very Satisfactory	

<sup>\*</sup> Either very impressive, impressive, needs improvement, poor, very poor

\*\* Outstanding, very satisfactory, satisfactory, unsatisfactory, poor

Prepared by:

CELSO GUNAOD

Head-Security Services Office

### Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: July 1 2019 to December 31, 2019

Name of Staff: PEDREGOSA, ROSENDO L.

Position: Security Guard I

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description	
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff deliver outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional romodel	
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements	
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements	
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.	
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements	

. Co	mmitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)			Scal	е	
1	Takes charge of the post and all government properties in view.	8	4	3	2	1
2	Walks during his tour-of-duty in a military manner, keeping always on the alert and observing everything that takes place within sight or hearing	8	4	3	2	
3	Reports all violation of orders he is instructed to enforce.	15	4	3	2	1
4	Repeats all calls from posts more distant from the guard house where he is stationed.	5	K	3	2	1
5	Quits his post only when properly relieved.	5	4	3	2	1
6	Receives, obeys and passes on to the relieving guard all orders from the university heads, SSO head, security officer or shift supervisors.	8	4	3	2	
7	Talks to no one except in line of duty.	5	K	3	2	
8	Gives the alarm in case of fire or disorder.	5	4	3	2	
9	Calls the superior officer in any case not covered by instructions.	5	K	3	2	
10	Salutes all university officials, his superiors, ranking public officials and commissioned officers of the Armed Forces of the Philippines.	5	4	3	2	
11	Especially watchful at night, and during the time of challenging, challenges all persons near his post and allows no one to pass without proper authority.	5	4	3	2	,
12	Wears his uniform, badge, patches and insignia in a proper manner, and neat in appearance as a symbol of public trust and confidence.	8	4	3	2	,
13	Familiarizes himself diligently with the rules and regulations laid down by the university and that of the customers or clients.	5	A	3	2	1
14	Learns at heart or memorizes and strictly observes the laws and regulations governing the use of firearms.	5	A	3	2	,
15	Acts at all times with decorum and does not permit personal feelings; prejudices and undue friendship to influence his actuations in the performance of his official functions.	5	A	3	2	,
	Total Score					

8

B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)					Scale					
1	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors.	5	4	3	2	1				
2	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.					1				
3	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.				2	1				
4	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1				
5	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit.	5	4	3	2	1				
	Total Score									
	Average Score		L	1.	5					

Overall recommendation	

CELSO GUMAOD

**Head-Security Services Office** 

# PERFORMANCE MONITORING & COACHING JOURNAL

1st 2nd 3rd 4th

Name of Office SECURITY SERVICES OFFICE

Head of Office: CELSO GUMAOD

Name of Staff: PEDREGOSA, ROSENDO L

n .
Ilato
Date

Activity		MECHANISM			
Monitoring	Meetir One-on-One	Group	Memo	Others (Pls. specify)	Remarks
Monitoring	The Head of office and shift supervisor conducted on the spot follow-up observations and inspection of detailed SG in his AOR	Participation of the	President Memo on the different university event/celebratio ns.	LOI and verbal instructions of the Universitty	Security Guard concerned was informed of his assignments and properly monitored.
Coaching	of the previous office performance especially concerning draw-backs on	Security Guards attended command conference/meeting s to iron out what is best he can contribute the unit.	SSO Memo, orders and LOI issued		Narrative instruction was tiven and encouragement to do much better.

Note: Please indicate the date in the appropriate box when the monitoring was conducted.

Conducted by:

CELSO GUMAOD

Head-Security Services Office

Noted by:

Vice Pres for Admin. & Finance

### **EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN**

Name of Employee:

PEDREGOSA, ROSENDO L

Performance Rating: O

Aim: To improve performance

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:

Name of Ratee Staff

Date:

July 01, 2019

Target Date: End of September 2019

First Step:	
Review the Eleven General Orders	
Result:	,
More aware of their respective duties and	responsibilities
Date: October 01, 2019	Target Date: End of December, 2019
Next Step:	
Attendance of general meeting and special	conference with regards to Security
operations	
Outcome: Can easily respond to any form of incident hap	pened in the campus.
Final Step Recommendation:	
Attendance of security seminars/trainings	

Conforme:

Prepared by:

CELSO GUMAOD
Head-Security Services Office