

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff: **ORLANDO V. LATRAS**

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.75	0.70	3.33
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.50	0.30	1.35
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING			4.68

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.68

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: -


TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.68

ADJECTIVAL RATING: OUTSTANDING

Prepared by:


ORLANDO V. LATRAS
Name of Staff


Reviewed by:


JULIUS V. ABELA
Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:


VICTOR B. ASIO
Dean, CAFS

Approved:


BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS
Vice-President for Instruction

RATING SCALE: 4.6-5.0 Outstanding
3.8-4.5 Very Satisfactory
3.0-3.7 Satisfactory
2.2-2.9 Unsatisfactory
2.1- & below Poor

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff: ORLANDO V. LARAS

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.75	0.70	3.33
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.50	0.30	1.35
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING			4.68

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.68

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:


TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.68

ADJECTIVAL RATING: OUTSTANDING

Reviewed by:


Prepared by:


JULIUS V. ARRIA
 Department/Office Head


ORLANDO V. LARAS
 Name of Staff

Approved:


Recommending Approval:


BEATRIZ S. REJONIAS
 Vice-President for Instruction


VICTOR B. ASIO
 Dean, CAPS

RATING SCALE: 4.6-5.0 Outstanding
 3.8-4.5 Very Satisfactory
 3.0-3.7 Satisfactory
 2.2-2.9 Unsatisfactory
 2.1 & below Poor

ORLANDO V. LATRAS
Batee


JULIUS V. ABELA
Head of Unit

MFO & PAPS	Success Indicators	Task Assigned	Targets	Actual Accomplishment	Rating				Remark(s)
					Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	
Administrative Support Services	Number of animals cared/sold	Pasturing and returning cattle, deworming, and takes care the newly born animals.	Cattle = 20	Animals being taken cared = 23 heads Sale = P37,500 manure = P38,500 Gross + P1,000	5	5	5	5.00	
	Number of paddocks of pasture established and/or maintained	Maintenance of the different paddocks of pasture.	10	Maintained 11 paddocks	4	5	5	4.67	
	Number of animal shed maintained	Clean/sanitize animal shed.	1	Daily Cleaning	4	5	5	4.67	
	Number of animal facilities/equipment repaired and/or maintained	Maintain the facilities and equipment of the cattle project.	3	Maintained 7 cattle facilities/equipment	5	4	5	4.67	
				Total Over-all Rating				19.00	

STANLEY TAYLOR, of the Department of Criminal Science, comments on the evidence and agrees to be listed on the statement of the following targets in accordance with the


SECRET

[illegible]

Average Rating (Total Over-all Rating/No. of A ⁴ Entries)		4.75
Additional Points:		
Punctuality	0	
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)	0	
FINAL RATING		4.75
ADJECTIVAL RATING		Outstanding


Comments & Recommendation for Development Purpose:
Attendance to the trainings & seminars for culture's habit & improvement

Evaluated and Rated by:


JULIUS V. ABELA
 Head, Dept. of Animal Science

Date: _____

Recommending Approval:


VICTOR B. ASIO
 Dean, College of Agriculture

Date: _____

Approved by:


BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS
 Vice-President for Instruction

Date: _____

Legend: Q¹ - Quality
 E² - Efficiency
 T² - Timeliness
 A⁴ - Average

4.6 - 5.0 Outstanding
 3.8 - 4.5 Very Satisfactory
 3.0 - 3.7 Satisfactory
 2.2 - 2.9 Unsatisfactory
 2.1 - & below Poor

V. variegata
 L. limbata
 E. effusata
 T. truncata

11 - variegata
 12 - limbata
 13 - effusata
 14 - truncata

Prof. Dr. J. J. J. J. J.

UNIVERSITY

Prof. Dr. J. J. J. J. J.

UNIVERSITY

Prof. Dr. J. J. J. J. J.

UNIVERSITY

Prof. Dr. J. J. J. J. J.

Prof. Dr. J. J. J. J. J.

Prof. Dr. J. J. J. J. J.

ADDITIONAL DATA	DATE	REMARKS
PLANT SPECIES		12
PLANT SPECIES		13
PLANT SPECIES		14
PLANT SPECIES		15
PLANT SPECIES		16
PLANT SPECIES		17
PLANT SPECIES		18
PLANT SPECIES		19
PLANT SPECIES		20
PLANT SPECIES		21
PLANT SPECIES		22
PLANT SPECIES		23
PLANT SPECIES		24
PLANT SPECIES		25
PLANT SPECIES		26
PLANT SPECIES		27
PLANT SPECIES		28
PLANT SPECIES		29
PLANT SPECIES		30
PLANT SPECIES		31
PLANT SPECIES		32
PLANT SPECIES		33
PLANT SPECIES		34
PLANT SPECIES		35
PLANT SPECIES		36
PLANT SPECIES		37
PLANT SPECIES		38
PLANT SPECIES		39
PLANT SPECIES		40
PLANT SPECIES		41
PLANT SPECIES		42
PLANT SPECIES		43
PLANT SPECIES		44
PLANT SPECIES		45
PLANT SPECIES		46
PLANT SPECIES		47
PLANT SPECIES		48
PLANT SPECIES		49
PLANT SPECIES		50
PLANT SPECIES		51
PLANT SPECIES		52
PLANT SPECIES		53
PLANT SPECIES		54
PLANT SPECIES		55
PLANT SPECIES		56
PLANT SPECIES		57
PLANT SPECIES		58
PLANT SPECIES		59
PLANT SPECIES		60
PLANT SPECIES		61
PLANT SPECIES		62
PLANT SPECIES		63
PLANT SPECIES		64
PLANT SPECIES		65
PLANT SPECIES		66
PLANT SPECIES		67
PLANT SPECIES		68
PLANT SPECIES		69
PLANT SPECIES		70
PLANT SPECIES		71
PLANT SPECIES		72
PLANT SPECIES		73
PLANT SPECIES		74
PLANT SPECIES		75
PLANT SPECIES		76
PLANT SPECIES		77
PLANT SPECIES		78
PLANT SPECIES		79
PLANT SPECIES		80
PLANT SPECIES		81
PLANT SPECIES		82
PLANT SPECIES		83
PLANT SPECIES		84
PLANT SPECIES		85
PLANT SPECIES		86
PLANT SPECIES		87
PLANT SPECIES		88
PLANT SPECIES		89
PLANT SPECIES		90
PLANT SPECIES		91
PLANT SPECIES		92
PLANT SPECIES		93
PLANT SPECIES		94
PLANT SPECIES		95
PLANT SPECIES		96
PLANT SPECIES		97
PLANT SPECIES		98
PLANT SPECIES		99
PLANT SPECIES		100

Developmental Biology
 Department of Biology
 University of California, Berkeley
 1968

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: July-December, 2018

Name of Staff: Orlando V. Latras

Position: Administrative Aide 1

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/ center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score		74				

Ave = 4.50

B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)	Scale				
1. Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2. Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3. Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4. Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5. Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score					
Average Score					

Overall recommendation : _____

CARLITO V. RANCHEZ
Administrative Officer I, DAS-CAFS

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: ORLANDO V. LATRAS
Performance Rating: Outstanding

Aim: To improve work efficiency and achieve targets

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance and/or Competence and Qualification to assume higher responsibilities:

Date: July, 2018 Target Date: September, 2018

First Step: Develop skills/procedures for nose stringing, drug administration and other related activities in the cattle project

Result: Ease in handling animals during disposal and be able to carry out health care of animals of the project


Date: October, 2018 Target Date: December, 2018

Next Step: Develop knowledge in health, breeding and pasture management


Outcome: Productive project due to higher animal population which resulted to higher income.

Final Step/Recommendation:

Prepared by:


JULIUS V. ABELA
Unit Head

Conforme:


ORLANDO V. LATRAS
Name of Ratee (Staff)

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee:	ORLANDO V. LARAS
Performance Rating:	Outstanding
Aim:	To improve work efficiency and achieve targets
Proposed interventions to improve Performance and/or Competence and Qualification to assume higher responsibilities:	
Date:	July, 2018
Target Date:	September, 2018
First Step:	Develop skills/procedures for nose stamping, drug administration and other related activities in the cattle project
Result:	Ease in handling animals during disposal and be able to carry out health care of animals of the project
Date:	October, 2018
Target Date:	December, 2018
Next Step:	Develop knowledge in health, breeding and pasture management
Outcome:	Productive project due to higher animal population which resulted to higher income.
Final Step/Recommendation:	

JULIUS V. ABELA
Unit Head

Prepared by

ORLANDO V. LARAS
Name of Ratee (Self)