COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

TONI MARC L. DARGANTES

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight 70% (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.67	4.67 x 70%	3.27
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	5.00	5.00 x 30%	1.50
	TOTAL NUM	MERICAL RATING	4.77

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.77

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

0.00

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.77

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

OUTSTANDING

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

MARIA ROBERTA S. MIRAFLOR

Administrative Officer I

A. PATINDOL

VP for Admin. & Finance

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

resident

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January 1 – June 30, 2017

Name of Staff: TONI MARC L. DARGANTES

Position: ADMIN. ASSISTANT VI

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A.	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)			Scal	е	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	(5)	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	(5)	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	(5)	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	(5)	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	(5)	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	(5)	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	(5)	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5)	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	(5)	4	3	2	1
	Total Score	(ac) .		-

	Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		,	Scal	е	
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score					
	Average Score			(5,	0

Overall	recommendation
O VOI UII	rocommittellation

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL Name of Head

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

1

I, TONI MARC L. DARGANTES, of the Office of the Vice President for Administration and Finance commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period January to June, 2017. REMBÉRTO A. PATINDOL Head of Unit Approved: TONI MARC L. DARGANTES Ratee

			H	Actual		Ra	Rating	Remarks
MFO & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	arget	Accomplishment	ō	E ²	T ³ A ⁴	
Administrative and Support Services Management	Number of Budget Allocation and Obligation Monitoring (BAOM) System installed	Install BAOM to new computers of end users	5	9	4	2	5 4.67	2
	Number of BAOM operation problems addressed	Address BAOM operation problems	10	12	2	2	4 4.67	
	Number of computer software troubleshooted and repaired	Troubleshoot and repair computer software problems	10	15	2	2	4 4.67	
Human Resource	Percentage of Human Resource	Development of VSU Human	20%	30%	5	4	5 4.67	7
Management and Development	Management Information System (HRMIS) Development completed	Resourse Management System						
	Conduct of System Development Gather information for HRMIS Consultation	Gather information for HRMIS development	2	4	2	2	4 4.67	7 1 meeting, 3 informal consultations
Financial Services and Management	Number of Financial Management System (FMS) Updates	Update BAOM functionalities, Database, and User Interface	30	40	4	2	5 4.67	
Total Over-all Rating							28.00	00

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)	4.67
Additional Points:	
Punctuality	
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)	
FINAL RATING	4.67
ADJECTIVAL RATING	Outstanding

Comments &	Recommendations fo
Development	Purpose

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)	ating divided by 4)	4.67
Additional Points:		
Punctuality		
Approved Additional points (with	points (with copy of approval)	
FINAL RATING		4.67
ADJECTIVAL RATING		Outstanding
Received by:	Calibrated by:	Recommending Approval:
4		5
A STATE OF THE STA	James	
TERESITA L. QUIÑANOLA	REMBERTO A. PATINDOL	REMBERTO A. PATIN
Head, PRPEO	PMT Chairman	VP for Administration &

Approved by:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

EDGARDO E. TULIN
President

VP for Administration & Finance

Date:

Date:

Date:

Date:

1 - quality
2 - Efficiency
3 - Timeliness
4 - Average