COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff: Rosalito A. Pugou

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
L. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.83	70%	3.38
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.42	30%	1.33
	тот	4.71	

	TOTAL NOWLERICAL NATING
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: Add: Additional Approved Points, i TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	4.71 if any:
ADJECTIVAL RATING:	Outstanding
Prepared by:	Reviewed by:
JOSEPOSE B. SAPRICHO Name of Staff	Suzere B. LINA Department/Office Head
Recommending Approval:	REMBERTO A. PATINDO L

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

blyful EDGARDO E. TULIN

& President

"Exhibit B"

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, ROSALITO A. PUGOY, of the Department of Soil Science commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period July 1, 2017 to December 30, 2017. (Target and accomplishment)

ROSALITO AZ PUGOY Ratee

Approved:

SUZETTE B. LINA

							Rating		Remarks
MFO & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Accomplishment	Q ¹	E ²		A4	
Administrative Support Services (ASS)	No of rice varieties planted & maintained	Undertake farm operation related to instruction, research, extension and production activities	9	∞	S	S	r.	5.00	
	No. of corn varieties planted & maintained		3	33	4	ī	2	5.00	
	No. of perennial crops planted and maintained		9	9	4	N	2	4.67	
	No. of legumes crops planted & maintained		2	2	4	2	2	4.67	
	No. of production projects maintained		2	3	ις	2	2	5.00	
	No. of annual crops planted & maintained		14	10	3	2	2	4.33	
	Quantity of rice seeds processed (kg)		800	1000	S.	S	2	5.00	
	Quantity of legume seeds processed (kg)								
	Quantity of corn seeds processed (kg)		09	100	22	Z.	2	5.00	
	No. of laboratory classes assisted		5	10	ī	ī	2	2.00	
	No of student research assisted		rs.	00	4	2	2	4.67	
	No. of other assigned tasks performed on time	Cleans/maintains laboratory rooms/wash glass wares at laboratory (SRTPAL)	2	m	rv.	2	4	5.00	
Total Over-all Rating								58.00	

4)
by
divided
rating
er-all
0
(Total
Rating
Average

Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:

Additional Points:

Punctuality

×

×

Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)

FINAL RATING

ADJECTIVAL RATING

Received by:

Calibrated by:

Recommending Approval:

Approved by:

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS Vice President for Instruction

EDGARDO E. TULIN

1 – quality 2 – Efficiency 3 – Timeliness 4 - Average

Date:

Wice Pres. for Planning and Resource Generation

VS

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Date:

Rating Period: July - December 2017

Name of Staff:	Resalito	١.	Pugous	Position:	Farm	Worker	
			01				

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description					
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model					
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements					
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements					
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.					
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements					

Co	mmitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)			Scale	2	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	(5)	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	(5)	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks.	(5)	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients.	5	(4)	3	2	1

	Average Score	_ <	1.42	,		
	Total Score					
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit.	5	4	3	2	
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	:
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	-
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors.	5	4	3	2	
	adership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher pervisor)			Scale	2	
12	Total Score			1		
	 Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment. Willing to be trained and developed. 	5 (5)	4	3	2	1
	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele.	5	4	3	2	1
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university.	5	4	3	2	1

Overall recommendation	:		
over an recommendation			

Name of Head