

## COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff: **MA. DELIA A. PAGENTE**

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight 70% (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.80	4.80 x 70%	3.36
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.57	4.57 x 30%	1.37
<b>TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING</b>			<b>4.73</b>


TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: **4.73**  
 Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: **-**  
 TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: **4.73**

ADJECTIVAL RATING: **OUTSTANDING**


Prepared by:

**MA. DELIA A. PAGENTE**  
 Name of Staff


Reviewed by:

  
**EUGENE B. LAÑADA**  
 College Dean

Recommending Approval:

  
**REMBERTO A. PATINDOL**  
 Chairman, PMT

Approved:

  
**EDGARDO E. TULIN**  
 President



**“Exhibit B”**

**INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)**

I, MA. DELIA A. PAGENTE, of the COLLEGE OF VETERINARY MEDICINE commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period JULY 1, 2016 to DECEMBER 31, 2016.

MA. DELIA A. PAGENTE  
Ratee

Approved:

EUGENE B. LAÑADA  
Head of Unit

MFO & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishment	Rating				Remarks
					Q <sup>1</sup>	E <sup>2</sup>	T <sup>3</sup>	A <sup>4</sup>	
Administrative Support Services	No. of equipment receipt (ARE)	Physical Inventory and Safe keeping of laboratory equipment	80	88	5	5	5	5.00	
		Conduct physical inventory of laboratory supplies, chemicals and reagents	8	12	5	4	5	4.67	
	No. of documents acted upon on time	Conduct/check quizzes, long exams(Midterm' Final Exams and Removal exams)	30	128	5	4	5	4.67	
	No. of assigned task completed before deadline	Assist faculty/staff and students in microbiology , public health, parasitology, physiology, virology, immunology and other related subjects in their laboratory classes and students conducting their thesis.	80	100	5	5	4	4.67	
		Assist faculty/staff and students in signing their VSU clearances.	5	10	4	4	5	4.33	
	No. of documents released on time	Released the Results of Lab. analysis	3	5	5	5	4	4.67	
Laboratory Services	No. of chemicals and acted upon on time	Culture Media(Nutrient agar, Blood AgarNutrient broth,TSBroth, Tetrathionate/ Selenite medium) BPW, Differential and selective medium-(BGA, BSA, DCA, EMB, MacConkey, SSA, XLD, Staph 110,	11,000	12,000	5	5	5	5.00	



		Starch agar,) PCA, SABORAUD agar, PDA, Lactose broth, MRS broth , MRS Agar, Biochemical reagents set, Biochemical Media, Staining-grams stain set, Spore staining set, capsule staining set, Flagella Stain set, Indirect staining set and etc.							
	No. of chemicals, instruments and glassware's released on time	Petri plates, test tubes, durham tubes, vials,micro slides, test tube rack, thermometer, stethoscopes	1000	1500	5	5	5	5.00	
	No. of laboratory equipment's acted and release on time	Microscope, centrifuge, weighing scale, triple beam balance, stirrer, , oven, autoclave, hemocytometer etc.	10	20	5	5	5	5.00	
	No. of lab. analysis	Diagnostic and microbial analysis	3	5	5	5	5	5.00	
<b>Total Over-all Rating</b>								48.01	

<b>Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by )</b>	<b>48.01 / 10</b>	<b>4.80</b>
<b>Additional Points:</b>		
<b>Punctuality</b>		
<b>Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)</b>		
<b>FINAL RATING</b>		<b>4.80</b>
<b>ADJECTIVAL RATING</b>		<b>Outstanding</b>


**Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:**

Received by:


Calibrated by:


Recommending Approval:

Approved by:

  
**TERESITA L. QUINANOLA**  
 PRPEO

  
**REMBERTO A. PATINDOL**  
 Chairman, PMT

  
**BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS**  
 Vice Pres. for Instruction

  
**EDGARDO E. TULIN**  
 President

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**LEGEND:**

Q <sup>1</sup> – quality	4.6 - 5.0	Outstanding
E <sup>2</sup> – Efficiency	3.8 - 4.5	Very Satisfactory
T <sup>3</sup> – Timeliness	3.0 - 3.7	Satisfactory
A <sup>4</sup> – Average	2.2 - 2.9	Unsatisfactory
	2.1 & below	Poor



## Annex O

## Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: July 1, 2016 – December 31, 2016Name of Staff: MA. DELIA A. PAGENTEPosition: Administrative Officer III

**Instruction to supervisor:** Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.


Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1



11. Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12. Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score					
<b>B. Leadership &amp; Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)</b>	Scale				
1. Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2. Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3. Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4. Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5. Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score					
Average Score					

Overall recommendation :

  
**EUGENE B. LAÑADA**  
 Name of Head