INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period January SEAN O. VILLAGONZALO, of the UCC

SEAN O. VILLAGONZALO
Ratee

, 2017

June

Approved:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL
Direct Supervisor

Remarks 4,67 4.87 £300 4.697 4.33 188 4.637 4.83 £38 538° 4.33 A4 2 -3 Rating 2 2 2 2 4 4 2 2 4 2 E₂ 2 2 2 2 2 2 4 4 4 4 2 Ö 70 5 80 5 fas PD Po 6 5 Pop 2 All UCC P.R.'s, deliveries and Actual Accomplishment material releases 3 staff 7 3 7 4 7 2 building Target 2 staff 2 staff 2 staff 1 staff 1 staff 2 staff 1 staff 2 staff 1 staff Supervised technical staff in computer and equipment repair. List down, monitor & follow-up purchase request, Deliveries Supervised Technical staff in network installation and setup Supervised technical staff in server management and WiFi Supervised technical staff in user/computer account maintenance. Supervised technical staff in the deployment of A/V Supervised DYDC technical staff in technical targets VSU LAN Civil works and IDF electrical works Supervised the civil works and IDF electrical works Supervised technical staff in technical assistance Supervised RMIS staff in Systems Development Success Indicators Plan and design building ECE plans and Material releases. maintenance Server Management and WiF Maintenance User/Computer account maintenance LAN installation, setup, repair Computer /peripherals repairs Building ECE plans & design MFOs/PAPs 11. Clerical and Utility work Streaming deployment Technical Assistance Total Over-all Rating VICAARP DYDC 10. ij 2. ñ 4 5 9 7 6 8

52.W

	Comments & Recommendations	for Development Purpose:				
4.70	4.36				px 7.385	Very Satisfactory
			XX	XX		
	Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)	Additional Points:	Punctuality	Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)	FINAL RATING	ADJECTIVAL RATING

PATINDOL | Recommending Approval: Vice President

Calibrated by: REVIDENO A

Received by:

PMT

Date:

President

Date:

Date:

Planning Office

Date: 1 – quality 2 – Efficiency 3 – Timeliness 4 – Average

Annex O

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January - June, 2017

Name of Staff: SEAN O. VILLAGONZALO Position: Engineer III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using

the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1 Poor		The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. (Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)	6	,	Scal	е	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	3	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
0.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele		4	3	2	1
1.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1

	Total Score	2	8			
	Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		9	Scale	е	
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5) 4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5) 4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score	2	5	,		
	Average Score	4	588	12		

Overall recommendation	:	

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL Name of Head