Annex P

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING **ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF**

Name of Administrative Staff:

Leopoldo P. Igot

	Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.66	70%	3.262
2	Supervisor/Head's Assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishment	4.50	30%	1.35
		4.612		

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.612

4.612

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.612

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Outstanding

Prepared by:

Recommending Approval:

Approved:

Director, GSD

PATINDOL

Vice President

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, LEOPOLDO P. IGOT of the GENERAL SERVICES DIVISION commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period: JANUARY TO JUNE 2019

Approved:

LEOPOLDO P. IGOT

Ratee

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA Init Head

	Success Inditors	Toda Andread	T	Actual	Rating				Damanka
MFO & Performance Indicators		Tasks Assigned	Target	Accomplish ment	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	Remarks
MFO1: Performance Indicators	PI- 1 Completed repairs	various repair of Buildings	100	120	5	5	4	4.67	
MFO2: Furnitures Works	P2- 1- Completed repairs and fabrication	various repair and fabraication of furnitures	90	120	5	5	4	4.67	
Total Over-all Rating								9.33	

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)		4.6665	Comments & Recommendations
Additional Points:			for Development Purpose:
Punctuality:			Training an Basic occupations
Approved Additional point (with copy of approval)			Street & Hantle
FINAL RATING		4.6665	soften & parter
ADJECTIVAL RATING		0	

Evaluate & Rated by:

Recommending Approval:

Approved:

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA Supervisor

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA Director, GSD

REMBEERTO A. PATINDOL Vice President

Rating Period: January to June 2019

Name of Staff: **LEOPOLDO P. IGOT**

Position:

Adm. Aide V

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating Qualitative Description							
E	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which							
5	Outstanding always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model							
4	Very Satisfactory The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements							
3	Satisfactory The performance meets job requirements							
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.						
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements						
	A. Commitment (b	oth for subordinates and supervisors)			Scale			
1	Demonstrates sensitivity to with the office fulfilling and r	5	4	3	2			
2	Makes self-available to clier	5	(4)	3	2			
3	Submits urgent non-routine DOST, NEDA, PASUC and even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2			
4	Accepts all assigned tasks a prescribed time.	is his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the	5	4	3	2		
5	Commits himself/herself to be to perform all assigned task	5	4	3	2			
6	Regularly reports to work or matters and logs out upon d	5	4	3	2			
7	Keeps accurate records of h	5	(4)	3	2	Γ		
8	Suggests new ways to furth	(5)	4	3	2	Г		
9	Accepts additional tasks ass to his position but critical to	5	4	3	2			
10	Maximizes office hours during results as a best practice the	3	4	3	2			
11	Accepts objective criticisms accomplishment	(3)	4	3	2			
12	Willing to be trained and de-	veloped	5	4	3	2		
		Total Score		54				
	B. Leadership & Managemen	t (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor			Scale			
1	Demonstrates mastery and subordinates and that of hig	expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from her superiors	5	4	3	2		
2	Visionary and creative to dra to that of the overall plans o	5	4	3	2	-		
3		improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2		
4	Accepts accountability for the	e overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2		
5		ng their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets	5	4	3	2		
		Total Score						
		Average Score		A. 5	_		_	

Overall recommendation				

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA
Supervisor

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee:	LEOPOLDO P. IGOT
Performance Rating:	January- June 2019
Aim:	
Proposed Intervention	ns to Improve Performance:
Date:	Target Date:
First Step: Attend TE	SDA training and seminars
Result:	
Date:	Target Date:
Next Step:	
Outcome:	
Final Step/Recommen	dation:
	Prepared by:
	MARIO HIO VALENZONA
Conforme:	Director, GSD
	Retee
	Veree