SUMMARY OF INDIVIDUAL RATINGS OF FACULTY MEMBERS WITH MULTIPLE FUNCTIONS

Nar SUSAN M. ENAGE

	Program Involvement (1)	Percentage Weight of Involvement (2)	Numerical Rating (Rating x %) (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1.	Instruction			
	a. Head (50%) from IPCR	0.50	3.22	1.61
	b. Student (50%) from Teaching Performance Eval'n. By-Students	0.50	3.00	1.50
_	Total for Instruction	100%	3.11	3.11
2.	Administration and Support Services	0%	0.00	0.00
	TOTAL	100%	TOTAL EQUIVALENT NUMERICAL RATING	3.11

EQUIVALENT NUMERICAL RATING: Add: Additional Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

3.11

3.11

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Very Satisfactory

Prepared by:

SUSAN M SNAGE

Name of Faculty

Reviewed by:

ROSARIO P. ABELA

Department Head

Recommending Approval:

LEU A. VILLOCINO

bean/Director

Approved:

BEATRIZ S. BELONIA

Vice President

Visayas State University College of Education

VISAYAS STATE UNIVERSITY INTEGRATED HIGH SCHOOL

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, SUSAN M. ENAGE, Instructor of VSUIHS, commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following accomplishments in accordance with the indicated measures for the period <u>January</u>, 2019 to <u>June</u>, 2019.

SUSAN M. ENAGE

Instructor

ROSARIO P. ABELA

Principal, VSUIHS

MFO No.	MFO Description	Success/Performance Indicator (PI)	Units/Persons Responsible	Target	Actual Accomplishment	Quality	Efficiency	ting	Average	Remark
MFO 1	Advanced Education Services	20%					Ef	F	4	
	1. Graduate Degree Program I	Management Services				***************************************	-			
	0 = 3 1 = 4.8 ≥2 = 5.0	PI 1: Number of graduate degree specializations offered and monitored		NA						
	1.5 - 2.0 = 4.8 > 2 = 5.0	PI 2: Total FTE monitored		NA						
	0-25% = 3.0 26 - 90% = 4.5 >90% = 5.0	PI 3: Percentage of students who graduated within the prescribed period		NA						
	2. Graduate Student Manage	ment Services		A	A					
	0-25%= 3.0 26-50% = 4.5 >50% = 5.0	PI 1: Percentage of graduate students awarded with honors/distinction		NA						
	0-25%= 3.0 26-50% = 4.5 >50% = 5.0	PI 2: Percentage of graduate students advised who rated the adviser/GAC member at least very satisfactory		NA						

1										
		PI 3: Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the ff:	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
		a. Pursuing advanced research degree program	VSUIHS Faculty							
	0-25 % = 3.0 26 50% = 4.5 >50% = 5.0	b. Actively pursuing in the last three years (investigative research, basic and applied scientific research, policy research, social science research)	VSUIHS Faculty							
		c. Producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement	VSUIHS Faculty							
		d. Whose research work resulted in an extension program	VSUIHS Faculty							
MFO 2	Higher Education Services									
	1. Curriculum Program Manag	gement Services								
	15-1/99=45	PI 1: Total FTE monitored (Higher Ed and Basic Ed)	VSUIHS Faculty	48	48.65	5	5	5	5.00	
	1-25%= 4	PI 2: Percentage of undergraduate curricular program compliant to CMO, approved and offered		NA						
	below nat'l = 4.0	PI 3: Average percentage passing in licensure exam		NA						
	above nat'l up to 75% = 4.5 76 - 100% = 5.0	PI 4: Percentage of first time licensure exam takers who passed the licensure exam		NA						
	_	PI 5: Number of graduates who graduated within prescribed period		NA						
	No incresso = A	PI 6: Percentage increase in the number of undergraduate students who graduated within prescribed period		NA						

	> 10% = 5.0	PI 7: Percentage of graduates (two years prior) who are employed and/or pursuing graduate studies		NA						
	0 linkage - 3.0 1 - 2 linkage = 4.5 >2 = 5.0	PI 8. Number of academe/industry linkages established		NA						
		PI 9: Number of thesis/special problem students:	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA							
	100% = 5.0	a. Thesis outline/manuscript/Case Studies/Special Problems/OJT Narrative Reports/Engineering Projects/Portfolios advised	NA							
_		b. Thesis outline/manuscript/Case Studies/Special Problems/OJT Narrative Reports/Engineering Projects/Portfolios approved	VSUIHS Faculty							
	0 organization = 3.0 1 organization = 4.0 2 or more = 5.0	PI 10: Number of student organizations advised/ assisted	VSUIHS Faculty	1	2	5	5	5	5.00	
		PI 11: Number of instructional materials developed/revised	VSUIHS Faculty	1	6	5	5	5	5.00	
		a. OBE-compliant syllabi	VSUIHS Faculty							
	0 IEC = 3.0 1 IEC = 4.0 2 or more = 5.0	b. Teaching guides/Student guides/ Laboratory Manuals	VSUIHS Faculty							
	2 of more – 5.0	c. Complete sets of teacher-developed audio visual materials (powerpoints, videos, computer games, etc)	VSUIHS Faculty							
		d. On-line interactive learning resources per subject	VSUIHS Faculty							
		PI 12. Number of instruction-related awards	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
MFO 3	Research Services					-				
		PI 1. Number of published papers in peer- reviewed journals	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3	

							1	1		
		In refereed int'l journals								
		In refereed nat'l journals			0 0 3 3 3 3.00 0 0 3 3 3 3.00 0 0 3 3 3 3.00 0 0 3 3 3 3.00 0 0 3 3 3 3.00 None					
		In institutional journals				3 3 3 3.00				
	0 output = 3.0	PI 2. Number of patents/Utility Models/copyrights filed and registered	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	1 - 2 output = 4.0 3 - 5 output = 4.5 > 5 output = 5.0	PI 3. Number of research papers (oral presentation, posters, keynote speaker, plenary speaker) presented in regional/national/ int'l scientific/professional fora and conferences	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
		In int'l fora/conferences								
		In nat'l fora/conferences								
		In reg'l fora/conferences								
		In institutional fora/conferences								
		In institutional jora/conjerences						T		
	0 prop/proj = 3.0 1 - 2 prop/proj = 4.5	PI 4. Number of research studies conducted	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
		PI 5. Number of research proposals submitted	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	3 or more prop/proj = 5.0	PI 6. Number of research proposals approved	Research Committee	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	,
	None = 3.0 100K - 1M = 4.0 1.1M - 5M = 4.5 >5M = 5.0	PI 7. Amount of research money generated from external funding (Thousand PHP)	VSUIHS Faculty		None					
	None = 3.0 50K = 4.5 >50K = 5.0	PI 8. Amount of research money generated from institutional funding (Thousand PHP)	VSUIHS Faculty		None					
		PI 9. Number of research outputs in the last three years utilized by the industry or other beneficiaries	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	

1	0 awards = 3.0									
	1 award = 4.5 2 or more = 5.0	PI 10. Number of research projects/studies completed within the year	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
		PI 11. Number of research-related awards (research conducted by faculty or student w/ faculty)	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
MFO 4	Extension Services									
	0 pds = 3.0 100 - 500 pds = 4.5 >500 pds = 5.0	PI 1. Number of trainees weighted by the length of training	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	0 training = 3.0 1 training = 4.0 2 or more = 5.0	PI 2: Number of trainings conducted	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	0 IEC = 3.0 1 IEC = 4.0 2 or more = 5.0	PI 3. Number of IEC materials/techno-guides developed/used	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	g. calp	PI 4. Number of beneficiaries served	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
8	1 grp/ind = 4.0	Groups								
	> 2 or more = 5.0	Individuals								
		PI 5. Number of technical/expert services provided/rendered	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	0 services = 3.0	Research Mentoring			0					
	1 - 10 services = 4.0	Peer reviewers/Panelists			0					
	10 - 20 services = 4.5	Resource Persons			0					
	>20 services = 5.0	Convenor/Organizer			0					
		Consultancy			0					
		Evaluator			0					
	0 proposal = 2 0	PI 6. Number of extension projects conducted	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	3 or more prop = 5.0 $\frac{1}{2}$	PI 7. Number of extension proposals submitted	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
		PI 8. Number of extension proposals approved	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	

	None = 3.0 100K - 1M = 4.0 1.1M - 5M = 4.5 >5M = 5.0	PI 9. Amount of extension money generated from external funding (Thousand PHP)	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	None = 3.0 50K = 4.5 >50K = 5.0	PI 10. Amount of extension money generated from institutional funding (Thousand PHP)	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	0 awards = 3.0 1 award =4.5	PI 11 Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course and advisory services as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
	2 or more = 5.0	PI 12. No. of extension-related awards (extn. conducted by faculty or student & faculty)	VSUIHS Faculty	0	0	3	3	3	3.00	
MFO 5	Support to Operations (STO)									
	1. Faculty Development Services									
	0 faculty = 4 1 - 2 faculty = 4.5 >3 faculty = 5.0	PI 1: Number of faculty pursuing advanced degree programs	OVPI, ODAHRD, SFAC, Department							
	2. Faculty Recruitment/Hirin	g Services	•							
	0 faculty = 4 1 - 2 faculty = 4.5 >3 faculty = 5.0	PI 2: Number of faculty recruited/hired aligned with university RSP policy and competency-based HRM	VSULHS Personnel committee & APB							
										Office and the second s
	3. Faculty Evaluation Services									
	1 - 2 events = 4.5 3 or more events = 5.0	PI 3: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops organized/coordinated for the entire university	OVPRE							

	0 event = 3.0 1 - 2 events = 4.5 3 or more events = 5.0	PI 4: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops organized/coordinated outside the university	OVPRE				
	90 - 100% = 5.0 60 - 89% = 4.5 <60% = 4.0	PI 5: Percentage of faculty rated by students with at least very satisfactory rating of the subjects evaluated	OVPI, Department, admin staff				
	0 event = 3.0 1 - 2 events = 4.5 3 or more events = 5.0	PI 6: Number of college/department- level seminars/trainings/workshops/ reviews conducted/ attended/ facilitated	OVPRE				
	4. Program and Institutional Accreditation Services						
	100% programs = 5.0 <100% programs = 4.5	PI 1: Number of degree programs which passed accreditation/evaluation at least Level 1		NA			
	100% compliant = 5.0 <100% compliant = 4.5	PI 2: Degree program compliant with CHED requirements		NA			
MFO 6	General Admin. & Support Ser	vices (GASS)					
	0 complaint = 5.0	PI 1. Zero complaint from clients (complaints dropped in suggestion boxes, complaints on change of grades and other complaints)	OVPI, College, Department, Units				
	1 or more = 4.5	PI 2. Number of complaints addressed within the prescribed time	OVPI, College, Department, Units				
		PI 3: Additional Outputs					
	No initiative = 3.0 1 initiative = 4.5 2 or more initiatives = 5.0	Best practices/new initiatives/innovations	OVPI, College, Department, Units				
		Values Restoration Program					

Total Over-all Rating	87.00	
Average Rating (Total Over-all rating)	3.22	
Additional Points:		Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:
Punctuality		The is very cooperative and her mentoring
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)		skill is laudalole.
FINAL RATING		
ADJECTIVAL RATING	Satisfactory	Needs to finish her master's degree.

Evaluated & Received by:

GRACE C. SUGANO

Department Head Date:

Legend:

Q1 - Quality

E² - Efficiency

T² - Timeliness

A⁴ - Average

Recommending Approval:

ALELI A. VILLOCINO Dean, College of Education

Date:

Approved by:

BEATRIZ'S. BELONIAS

Vice Pres. for Instruction

Date:

Rating Scale:

4.6 - 5.0 Outstanding

3.8 - 4.5 Very Satisfactory

3.0 - 3.7 Satisfactory

2.2 - 2.9 Unsatisfactory

2.1 - & below Poor

Exhibit I

PERFORMANCE MONITORING FORM

Name of Employee: SUSAN M. ENAGE

Task No.	Task Description	Expected Output	Date Assigned	Expected Date to Accomplish	Actual Date accomplished	Quality of Output*	Over-all assessmentof output**	Remarks/ Recommen- dation
1	Teach Fili 7 and Fili 3	Deliver quality learning to students in the Junior and Senior High School program	January2019	June 2019	June 2019	Very Impressive	Outstanding	
2	Revised syllabi in Fili 7	OBE syllabus that addresses the needs of learners	January2019	June 2019	June 2019	Impressive	Very Satisfactory	
3	Develop teaching aid in Fil 7 and 9	A more comprehensive power point presentation	January2019	June 2019	June 2019	Impressive	Very Satisfactory	
4	Develop teaching aide and handouts	Collect projects, assignments, check, develop rubrics to assess students in	January2019	June 2019	June 2019	Very Impressive	Outstanding	

		designing and creating innovative activities for the students special needs						
5	Practice Teachers mentored and evaluated	Assiusted, mentored and evaluated two(1) Filipino Major students	January2019	June 2019	June 2019	Very Impressive	Outstanding	
6	Field study students assisted	Assisted eight (6) field study students from the College of Education	January2019	June 2019	June 2019	Very Impressive	Outstanding	
7	Special Assignment from the Principal	-Chairman Disciplinary Committee	January2019	June 2019	June 2019	Very Impressive	Outstanding	

^{*} Either very impressive, impressive, needs improvement, poor, very poor ** Outstanding, very satisfactory, satisfactory, unsatisfactory, poor

Prepared by:

SHALOM

Principal

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: Ms. Susan M. Enage Performance Rating: Very Satisfactory

Aim: To produce instructional materials on subjects handled.

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:

Date: January 2019

Target Date: March – June 2019

First Step:

Develop at least one IM.

Attend seminar and workshops.

Result:

Attended seminars and workshops held in the university.

Developed instructional materials.

Date: March 2019

Target Date: June 2019

Next Step:

Perform responsibilities as a faculty.

Outcome: Achieved goals and objectives in instruction.

Final Step/Recommendation:

Mentor young and less experienced faculty.

Prepared by:

SHALOM GROOT CUSUGANO, Ph.D.

Unit Head

Conforme:

Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff