

SUMMARY OF INDIVIDUAL RATINGS OF FACULTY MEMBERS WITH MULTIPLE FUNCTIONS Jan –June 2020

Name of Faculty Member:

ROTSEN B. LABISORES

Program Involvement (1)	Percentage Weight of Involvement	Numerical Rating (Rating x%) (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
11. Instruction	(2)		
e. Head/Dean (50%)		4.36 x100%= 4.36	
f. Students (100%)			
Total for Instruction	100%	4.36	4.36
12. Research	NA		
e. Client/Dir. for Research (50%)			
f. Dept. Head/Center Director (50%)			
Total for Research			
13. Extension	NA		
e. Client/Dir. for Extension (50%)			
f. Dept Head/Center Director (50%)			
Total for Extension			
14. Administration	NA		
15. Production	NA		
TOTAL			4.36

^{*}equivalent to 100% for the TPES rating as per instructed by OVPAA since this is affected by the COVID 19 Pandemic

FOL	IV/AI	FNT	NII	MERIC	IA:	RATING:

4.36

Add: Additional Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.36

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Very Satisfactory

Prepared by:

ROTSEN B. LABISORES

Faculty

Reviewed by:

CHARLINDO S. YORRION

Head, Department of Meteorology

Recommending Approval:

ROBERTO C. GUARTE

Dean, Col of Engineering & Technology

Approved:

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS

Vice President for Academic Affairs

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, ROTSEN B. LABISORES, a faculty member of the Department of Meteorology, College of Engineering & Technology, commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period January - June 2020.

		vender	
ROTSE	B.	LABISORES	
Foculty			

1106 5

Faculty/

23 Sept 2020

Approved:

CHARLINDO STORRION

Head, DMet

ROBERTO C. GUARTE

Dean, CET

Date:

MFO &			Output (Parformance Indicator (PI)	Tasks	Actual	Accomplishments			Rating		
PAPs	Success/Performance Indicator (PI)		Success/Performance Indicator (PI)	Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishment	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	Remarks
IFO 1	ADV	ANC	ED EDUCATION SERVICES (20%)								
	OVI	PI M	FO 1. Graduate Degree Program Management Services			NA					Not yet applicable
	1		1. Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in earch work applied in any of the following:	Dept. Head & Faculty		NA					Not yet applicable
			pursuing advanced research degree program (Ph.D) *			NA					Not yet applicable
			actively pursuing in the last three (3) years (investigative research, basic and applied scientific research, policy research social science research)			NA					Not yet applicable
		C.	producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement			NA					Not yet applicable
		d.	whose research resulted in an extension program			NA					Not yet applicable
	OVP	MF	O 2. Graduate Student Management Services								Not yet applicable
	PI 1: progr		centage of graduate students enrolled in research degree	Dept. Head & Faculty		NA					Not yet applicable
	PI 2:	Per	centage of accredited graduate programs *	Dept. Head & Faculty		NA					Not yet applicable
	PI 3: monit	-	mber of graduate degree specializations offered and	Dept. Head & Faculty		NA					Not yet applicable
	PI 4:	Tot	al FTE coordinated, implemented & monitored*	Dept. Head & Faculty		NA					Not yet applicable
	<u>PI 5:</u>	Per	rcentage increase in number of graduate students enrolled	Dept. Head & Faculty		NA					Not yet applicable
1	-		rcentage increase in number of students who graduated escribed period *	Dept. Head & Faculty		NA					Not yet applicable

MFO &		Tasks	Actual			Rating			
PAPs	Success/Performance Indicator (PI)	Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishment	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	Remarks
	PI 7: Number of graduate students awarded with honors/distinction	Dept. Head & Faculty		NA					Not yet applicable
,	PI 8: Number of graduate students advised *	Dept. Head & Faculty		NA					Not yet applicable
,	PI 9: Number of instructional materials developed *	Dept. Head & Faculty		NA					Not yet applicable
	On-line ready courseware		1.00	1	4	4	4	4.00	
	Flexible instructional materials		1.00	1	4	4	4	4.00	
· ·	Assessment tools		1.00	1	4	4	4	4.00	,
A	PI 10: Number of virtual classrooms created and operationalized		1.00	Not continued bec the university decided for the flexible learning mode				*	
MFO ₂	HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES (50%)								
*	PI 1. Percentage of first time licensure exam takers that pass the licensure exams *	Dept. Head & Faculty		NA					Not Applicable
,	PI 2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior) that are employed *	Dept. Head & Faculty		NA					Not Applicable
,	PI 3. Percentage of undergraduate student population enrolled in CHED-identified and RDC-identified priority programs *	Dept. Head & Faculty		NA					Not Applicable
· ·	PI 4. Percentage of undergraduate programs with accreditations *	Dept. Head & Faculty		NA					Not Applicable
	PI 5: Total FTE, coordinated, implemented and monitored *	Dept. Head & Faculty	8.00	12.05 30170 B					AEng 40, ESci 112, CSci 16, E Sci 124
,	PI 8: Number of students advised: *	Dept. Head & Faculty		,					
	On thesis/ field practice/special problem			,				,	<u> </u>
,	No. of approved manuscript submitted within prescribed period							,	,
1	On consultation		30.00	75	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	
	PI 9: Number of student organizations advised/ assisted *	Dept. Head & Faculty	00.00	,,,	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	,
	Student organizations advised								
, ,	Student organizations assisted on student related activities								
	PI 10: Number of instructional materials developed *	Dept. Head & Faculty		,					Title and name of faculty
	On-line ready courseware	Dept. Head & Faculty	1.00	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.00	

a 1

*

MFO &		(Porformance Indicator (PI)		Accomplishments			Rating	,	B1
PAPs	Success/Performance Indicator (PI)	Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishment	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	Remarks
	Flexible instructional materials	Dept. Head & Faculty	1.00	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.00	Instructional
	Assessment tools	Dept. Head & Faculty	1.00	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.00	Materials on EC 131
	PI 10: Number of virtual classrooms created and operationalized	Dept. Head & Faculty	1.00	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.00	
	PI 11: Additional Outputs	Dept. Head & Faculty		,					
MFO 3	RESEARCH SERVICES (10%)								
	PI 1. Number of research outputs in the last three (3) years utilized	Dept. Head &		1				,	needs project titles
	by the industry or by other beneficiaries *	Faculty	C						
,	PI 2. Number of research outputs completed within the year *	Dept. Head & Faculty		,					needs project titles
,	PI 3. Percentage of research outputs published in internationally-referred or CHED recognized journal within the year (2%) * In refereed int'l journals	Dept. Head & Faculty	1.00	Time for drafting was affected with the time spent in developing instructional materials for flexible learning due to COVID 19 Pandemic					
	In refereed inti journals In refereed nat'l/regional journals			,				,	
	PI 4. Number of research outputs presented in regional/national/ int'l fora/conferences *	Dept. Head & Faculty						***************************************	
,	In int'l fora/conferences								
	In nat'l/regional fora/conferences			7					V
	PI 5. Percent of research proposals approved *	Dept. Head & Faculty	1.00	Time for drafting was affected with the time spent in developing instructional materials for flexible learning due to COVID 19 Pandemic					

· · · · · ·

MFO &		Tasks	Actual Accomplishments			ı	Rating	7	
PAPs	Success/Performance Indicator (PI)	Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishment	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	Remarks
	PI 6. Additional outputs*	Dept. Head & Faculty		,					
9	No. of research-related awards (research conducted by faculty or student w/ faculty)			,					,
MFO 4	EXTENSION SERVICES (10%)		3					,	
,	PI 1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs, industries, NGOs,	Dept. Head &	1.00	1	5	5.0	5.0	5.00	Phil. Meteorological
	NGAs, SMEs, and other stakeholders as a result of extension	Faculty						10.	Society
,	PI 2. Number of trainees weighted by the length of training *	Dept. Head &	30.00	No training				,	30 pax with 2 days
		Faculty		conducted due to					of training (8 hours
		,		Pandemic					per day)
	PI 3. Number of extension programs organized and supported	Dept. Head &	1.00	No extension made				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	consistent with the SUC's mandated and priority programs *	Faculty		due to travel ban					
	PI 4. Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course/s and		30.00	No training				7	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	advisory services as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and	Faculty	00.00	conducted due to					
		acuity		Pandemic					
	relevance* PI 5. Number of technical/expert services *	Dept. Head &	-	randennic					
	5. Number of technical/expert services	Faculty							
,	Research Mentoring	racuity	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
	Peer reviewers/Panelists		·	ļ					,
,	Resource Persons		*						
			1.00	1				,	Not pursued due to
	Convenor/Organizer		1.00	'					travel ban caused by COVID 19 Pandemic
, ·	Consultancy		,						,
	Evaluator		·	,					
	PI 8. Percent of extension proposals approved *	Dept. Head & Faculty	1.00	Time for drafting was affected with the time spent in developing instructional materials for flexible learning due to COVID 19 Pandemic					
	PI 11. Additional outputs *	Dept. Head & Faculty		T BINGIIII					
	No. of extension-related awards (extn. conducted by faculty or student & faculty) *								

12 0

MFO &		Tasks	Actual	Accomplishments			Rating			
PAPs	Success/Performance Indicator (PI)	Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishment	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	Remarks	
MFO 5	Support to Operations			4						
,	OVPI MFO 1. Faculty Development Services			*				27		
,,	PI 1: Number of faculty pursuing advanced research degree	Dept. Head &	,						NA	
	programs (PhD) facilitated, monitored and assisted *	Faculty								
	OVPI MFO 2. Faculty Recruitment/Hiring Services									
,	PI 2: Number of faculty recruited/hired based on needed	Dept. Head &						,	NA	
	competencies and aligned with ISO standards *	Faculty								
,	OVPI MFO 3. Faculty Evaluation Services		,	*						
*	PI 3: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops coordinated for entire university *	Dept. Head & Faculty	1.00	1				2	Not pursued due to travel ban caused by COVID 19 Pandemic	
	PI 4: Number of seminars/trainings/ conventions/workshops	Dept. Head &	,		+			W-		
	coordinated outside of the university *	Faculty								
	PI 5: Percentage of faculty rated by students with at least very	Dept. Head &	80%	Not evaluated	t			,	No evaluation due to	
	satisfactory rating in 50% of the subjects evaluated *	Faculty	0070	, tot graidated					suspension of classes caused by COVID 19 pandemic	
,	PI6 : Number of in-house seminars/trainings/ workshops/reviews conducted *	Dept. Head & Faculty	1.00	1.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00	COVID 13 paridemic	
,	PI 7 : Additional outputs *	Dept. Head &			 					
	117 Additional outputs	Faculty								
,	Number of faculty/staff awards/honors received related to operations support	radaty		-				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,	
,	OVPI MFO 4. Program and Institutional Accreditation Services							7		
*	PI 8. Compliance to all requirements thru the established/adequate implementation, maintenance and improvement of the QMS of the core processes of the College/department under ISO 9001:2015*	Dept. Head & Faculty							Zero non-conformity (No NC)	
MFO 6	General Admin. & Support Services (GASS)			,				7		
	PI 1. Submission of College/Department PPMP for the following year within deadline as prescribed by BAC*	Dept. Head & staff		*				,		
	PI 2. Zero percent complaint from clients served	Dept. Head & all faculty & staff	1.00	1.00	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.00		
	PI 3. Number of coaching sessions among faculty & staff**	Dept. Head								
	PI 4. Number of planning sessions, tracking and monitoring of targets, etc. conducted to ensure attainment of department targets**	Dept. Head		,						

. . . .

MFO &	Cusassa/Darfarrassas Indicator (DI)	Tasks	Actual	Accomplishments	Rating			ı	
PAPs	Success/Performance Indicator (PI)	Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishment	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	Remarkş
,	PI 5. Number of monthly/special faculty & staff meetings conducted**	Dept. Head							
*	PI 3: Additional Outputs	Dept. Head & all faculty & staff							
	Number of Best practices/new initiatives in academic units' management replicated/benchmarked by other depts/agencies *								explain here briefly why consider said department practice can be considered as a best practice
Total O	ver-all Rating							48.00	
Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4) Additional Points: Approved Additional points (with copy of approval) FINAL RATING ADJECTIVAL RATING VS Comments & Recommendation for Development Put 4.36 Needs to come to office on-time.									

Evaluated & Rated by:

CHARLINDO'S. TORRION

Head, DMet

Date: / 7/30/2

Recommending Approval:

ROBERTO C. GUARTE

Dean, CET

Date:

Approved by:

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS

Vice President, Academic Affairs

Date:_____

1- Quality

2- Efficiency

3- Timeliness

4- Average

To indicate in the remarks column the details of the targetted outputs for easy review and calibration.

** No percentage weight for ranking purposes since these are activities only expected to be performed at the department level

PERFORMANCE MONITORING FORM

Name of Employee: Rotsen B. Labisores

Task No.	Task Description	Expected Output	Date Assigned	Expected Date to Accomplish	Actual Date accomplished	Quality of Output*	Over-all assessment of output**	Remarks/ Recommendation
1	Teaches subjects on: AEng 40 – 3 sections; ESci 112; CSci 16 – 2 sections E Sci 124	Meets class regularly; Checked students outputs	January 2020	January – May 2019	Classes was only from Jan – mid part of March then it was suspended due to COVID 19 pandemic	Impressive	Very satisfactory	Do not accommodate more students. Stick to the number of students to be enrolled per subject
2	Advices & assists the academic advisees & other students who come for assistance & advising.	Advised academic advisees & students	February 2020	February – May	Within February 2020 before the suspension of classes.	Impressive	Very Satisfactory	Remind the students to follow the schedule for consultation.
3	Attends department organized meeting.	Attendance during meeting	January 2020	January – May 2020	Every first Monday of the moth for regular department meeting	Impressive	Very Satisfactory	None
4	Develops the OBTL syllabus of the subjects to teach this semester	Approved OBTL syllabus	January – February 2020	January 2020	January 2020	Impressive	Very Satisfactory	OBTL syllabus was used in carrying the task.
5	Participate in activities set by the department, college and the university	Attendance to activities	January 2020	January – May 2020	CET anniversary	Impressive	Very Satisfactory	None

Task No.	' Task Description	Expected Output	Date Assigned	Expected Date to Accomplish	Actual Date accomplished	ality of Output*	Over-all assessment of output**	Remarks/ Recommendation
6	Makes the research & extension proposal	Submitted proposal in extension /research	January 2020	March 2020	Initial draft of the proposal	Impressive	Very Satisfactory	Drafting of the proposal was affected because of the changes of work activities in the university caused by the COVID 19 pandemic
7	Sets meeting with the university engineer for the improvement of the Meteorology building	Improved structural design of the Meteorology Building	January 2020	March 2020	No meeting happened due to the pandemic situation			Improvement of the structural design is not the priority this time of the faculty because they are tied up with the making of modules for the flexible learning mode of the university due to the effect of the pandemic wherein there is no face-to-face classes.

^{*} Either very impressive, impressive, needs improvement, poor, very poor ** Outstanding, very satisfactory, satisfactory, unsatisfactory, poor

Prepared by:

CHARLINDO S TORRION
Head, Department of Meteorology

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: Rotsen B. Labisores Performance Rating: Very Satisfactory	
Aim: Improved Teaching Skills	
Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:	
Date: March 2020 Target Date: April 2020	
First Step:	
Conduct Class Observation	
Result:	
Was not able to conduct class observation for the classes was suspended due to the COVID pandemic.) 19
Date: Target Date:	
Next Step:	
Outcome:	
Final Step/Recommendation:	
None, since there was no class observation made and even the Teaching Performa	nce
Evaluation by Students (TPES) was not done due to suspension of classes.	
Prepared by:	
CHARLINDO TORRION Head, Department of Meteorolo	gy
Conforma	