Annex P

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:	RAQUEL H. D	OOHILING	
Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight 70% (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
13. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.92	4.92 x 70%	3.44
14. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.83	4.83 x 30%	1.45
	TOTAL NUM	ERICAL RATING	4.89

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.89

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

0.00

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.89

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

OUTSTANDING

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

SANDRA C. TIU

Administrative Assistant III

ERLINDA S. ESGUERRA Head, Accounting Office

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN

INDIVIDUAL PENORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, RAQUEL H	I. DOHILING, of the Accounting Offi	ce commits to deliver and agree to be	e rated o	n the attainment o	of the following ta	rgets	in acc	ordan	ce with	the				
indicated m	easures for the period July 1 to Dec	. 31, 2016 AQ						Cepy	Mar					
RAQUEL A DOHILING Approved:								ERLINDA S. ESGUERRA						
	Ŕ	atee						Hea	d of Un	it				
		T	2016	Percentage of			Rating			T				
MFO & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Accomplishment July- Dec. , 2016	Actual Accomplishment	Q¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	Remarks				
Processing Services	No. of entries encoded	Encodes individual remittances of premiums and loan repayments to agency's database(GSIS,Philhealth,HDMF,BIR,VSUCD C. LBP and others)	14,000	116%	encoded 16350 remittances	5	5	5	5.00					
	No. of remittance list per fund prepared	Prepares monthly consolidated remittance list to various agencies as supporting documents	250	105%	consolidated 264 remittances	5	5	5	5.00					
	No. of vouchers for remittances prepared	Prepared disbursement vouchers for remittances to different agencies	250	105%	prepared 264 vouchers	5	5	5	5.00					
	No. of individual income tax return	Prepares Annual Information Return of Income Tax withheld on compensation and Final Withholding Tax	1,500	137%	prepared 2069 ITR	5	5	4	4.67					
							+							
Total Over-all Rating						20	20	19	19.67					
	g (Total Over-all rating divided by # of				4.92			nents &						
Additional Poir	nts:								tions fo					
Punctuality Approved A	dditional points (with copy of approval)				-		Devel	opment	Purpos	5:				
FINAL RATING	dutional points (with copy of approval)				4.92									
ADJECTIVAL RA	ATING													
Received by:	Calibrated by R. A. PATTNOOL PMT	Recommending Approva			Approved:	_E	Preside	TULT nt	1					
te: 1 - quality 2 - efficiency	Date:	Date:				Date								

3 - timeliness 4 - average

Annex O

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: July 1-December. 31, 2016
Name of Staff: Raquel H. Dohiling Position: Administrative Aide IV

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5 Outstanding		The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2 Fair		The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A.	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		,	Scal	е	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1

11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
2	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score					
	eadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		5	Scale	Э	
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	,
	Total Score	58				
	Average Score	4.83				

Overall recommendation	:	

ERLINDA S. ESGUERRA Name of Head