## Annex P

## COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR **ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF**

(July – December 2016)

T	0	A 1				a. co
Name	Ot	Adı	min	1Str	ative	Statt.
TAMILLE	OI	LIMI	IIIIII	IDUI	ALI Y C	Diuit.

Heide S. Lasquites

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)				
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.70	70%	3.29				
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.67	30%	1.40				

4.69

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.69

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Outstanding

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

## INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

	period	-
	July	Heide S. Lasquites
HEIDE S. LASQUITES Ratee	to December , 2016	of the Office of Graduate School
Approved:		commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the att
EDITHA G. CAGASAN Head of Unit	2	of the Office of Graduate School commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the
		ed measures for the

				Actual			Rating		Remarks
MFO & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Accomplishment	ซี	Щ	73	Ą	
MFO 1: Advanced Education Services	No. of graduate faculty appointments	Monitored expiry date of appt. of grad faculty and prepared renewal of their appointment	10	20	5	5	51	5.0	
Percentage of graduates who are	Tentative Schedule of Graduate Courses offering prepared	Prepared tentative list of graduate courses offering for first, SY 2015-2016	5 days b4 enrollment	5 days b4 enrollment	ري د	5	4	4.66	
employed in job related to their graduate programs within 6 months after	Summary of enrollment by Degree program s and major fields prepared	Prepared summary of enrollment by degree programs and major fields	3 weeks after enrollment	3 weeks after enrollment	4	4	4	4.0	
graduation.	Tentative and final list of candidates for graduation	Prepared tentative/final list of candidates for graduation for AC/BOR approval	2 days b4 deadline	3 days b4 deadline	C)	<b>C</b> T	· თ	5.0	
graduates in mandated or priority programs.	No. of grad students evaluated for honors	Evaluated grades of grad students	12	30	ა	Ŋ	4	4.66	
Percentage of graduates who finished the	No. of graduate school co- curricular activities facilitated	Facilitated graduate students meetings and other activities	1	<b>3</b>	Ŋ	Ŋ	4	4.66	
academic program within the prescribed time frame.	No. of graduate school meetings facilitated	Assisted/facilitated graduate faculty, graduate school council meetings	_	ω	O1	Οī	4	4.66	
Percentage of students who rate timeliness of	No. of registration forms prepared and released to students	Prepared registration forms for enrollment	200	400	٥ أ	5	Ŋ.	5.0	
education delivery/supervision as good or better.	No. of Graduate Advisory (GAC) nominations and change in composition reviewed and endorsed to Dean	Reviewed/endorsed GAC nomination for Dean's action	10	15	රා	27	5	5.0	* **
in priority programs awarded financial aid.	No. of Plan of Course Work (PCW) reviewed and endorsed to Dean	Reviewed/endorsed PCW for Dean's action	30	90	5	5	4	4.66	

Percentage of programs accredited Level 1-4.									financial aid who completed their degrees.	6. Percentage of students awarded
No.of Departments t given data for AACCUP accreditation and for OPCR	No. of CHED scholars monitored/facilitated per semester	No. of students changed their degree programs/major/minor fields of specialization	No. of students applied for readmission	No. of students filed Leave of Absence	No. of students changed their admission status from probationary to regular	No. of graduate students observed during final examination	No. of application for examination (Qualifying, comprehensive and final examinations evaluated and endorsed to Dean for action	No. of students assessed for payment of school fees	No. of letter of admissions prepared	No. of application for admissions received and forwarded to Dept. for evaluation
Provided data needed for AACCUP accreditation and for OPCR	Facilitated the enrollment, monitored the status, kept the records and organized and facilitated orientation of all CHED scholars in the DHEI and handled all grantee concerns regarding the program and submitted report to CHED at the end of the semester	Assisted and advised grad students who wish to change their degree program/major and minor fields	Required graduate students to apply for readmission after they filed Leave of Absence	Advised graduate students to file Leave of Absence when they will not enroll the following semester	Monitored admission status of graduate students	Served as observer during the final exam of grad students	Reviewed application for examination (qualifying, comprehensive and final) for Dean's action	Assessed bills of graduate students	Prepared letter of admission for enrollment	Computed GPAs of applicants seeking for admission
ω	15	5	ယ	5	10	ယ	<b>U</b> n	200	10	10
10	26	7	10	10	20	<b>C</b> 1	7	373	12	15
Oi.	رى د	ъ	ڻ. ت	ေတ	Sī.	ڻ. ن	O.	<b>5</b> 1	Ŋ	رن د
4	Ó	4	თ	رن د	4	4	· · · ·	4	ഗ	ڻ. ن
4	ڻ ن	C)	Ŋ	4	4	4	o o	<b>U</b>	4	. 4
4.33	5.0	4.66	5.0	4.66	4.33	4.33	5.0	4.66	4.66	4.66
						•				

	Efficient and customer- Zero percent complaint served Served clients with courtesy and 0 complaint 0 cor friendly frontline services	No. of Faculty evaluated on their	4	
	0 complaint	S	10	
	4	· U	ו טו	
	y.	0	י טו	
	U	U	4 1	
112.91	4.66	0.0	4.66	

Date:	MIRIAM M. DELA TORRE Planning Office	Received by:	ADJECTIVAL RATING	FINAL RATING	Approved Additiona	Punctuality	Additional Points:	Average Rating		Total over-all Rating	Efficient and customer- friendly frontline services		Administrative Support Services
Date		Calibrated by			Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)			Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by	9		Zero percent complaint served	No. of Faculty evaluated on their Teaching Performance	No. of request received and acted on time
	REMBERTO A. PATINDOL Chairman, PMT										Served clients with courtesy and friendly service	Evaluated Teaching Performance of Faculty	Acted on clients requests
Date:	B	Recommending Approval:						4.70			and	ance of	
	BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS Vide President	ing Approval:					Development Purpose:	Comments & Re			0 complaint	20	4
Da		Ap		1			rpose:	Comments & Recommendations for			0 complaint	33	10
Date:	EDGARD Pre	Approved by:									4	. 0	ו טו
	President										U		
										112.91	4.00		

1 – quality 2 – Efficiency 3 – Timeliness 4 - Average





## Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: July to December, 2016

Name of Staff: <u>HEIDE S. LASQUITES</u>

Position: ERA 1

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. (	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)	-		Scale	Э	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	(5)	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	(5)	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	(5)	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	(4)	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	(5)	4	3	2	1
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	<b>4</b> )	3	2	
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	(5)	4	3	2	
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	(5)	4	3	2	1
	Total Score		J	56	A	
B. L	eadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)			Scale	Э	
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	-
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	
	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.  Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks  Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.  Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.  Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients  Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university  Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele  Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment  Willing to be trained and developed  Total Score  Beadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)  Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors  Assignmany and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of hie overall plans of the university.  Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of he department/office for further satisfaction of clients.  Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.					
			***********	4.67		

Overall recommendation

EDITHA G. CAGASAN Name of Head