COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

CARLOS B. MONTAJES

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.667	x 70%	3.247
Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4,50	x 30%	1.35
	4.617		

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.617

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

4.61

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

Ontstanding

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Reviewed by:

Prepared by:

CARLOS B. MONTAJES

Name of Staff

ARTHUR IT. TAMBONG, KPSAE

Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL, Ph.D.

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARBO E. TULIN, Ph.D

President



Visayas State University College of Engineering DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL ENGINEERING



INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

Visca, Baybay City, Leyte

I, CARLOS B. MONTAJES, Staff of the Department of Agricultural Engineering, commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following

accomplishments in accordance with the indicated measures for the period January to June, 2016.

CARLOS B. MONTAJES

Administrative Aide I Date: 12 February 2016 ARTHUR IT. TAMBONG, FPSAE

Department Head

Date: 12 February 2016

Rating Equivalents: 5 - Outstanding 4 - Very Satisfactory

3 - Satisfactory

2 - Fair

1 - Poor

	MFO Descrip- tion	Success/Performance I Indicator (PI)	Program/ Activities/ Projects					Ra	ting)			
MFO No.				Tasks Assigned	Target	Accom- plishmnt (Jan-June 2016)	Quality	Efficiency	Timeliness	Average	Remark		
MFO 6	General Admin. & Support Services (GASS)	PI 6. Number of academic lecture/laboratory rooms maintained		Regular maintenance of the cleanliness of laboratory room	20	20	5	4	5	4.7	DAE lec. & lab. Rooms, Comfort Rooms		
		approx.)		Maintenance of surroundings	3700	3700	5	4	4	4.3	CoE building and its surroundings		

	PI 10. Efficient and customer-friendly frontline service	Service	Served clients with courtesy; immediate response to client needs and inquiries	Zero compla int from clients	Zero complaint from clients	5	5	5	5.0	100% no complaint; served clients with courtesy; immediate response to client needs and inquiries
Number of Performa	ince Indicators Filled-up						3	3		
Total Over-all Rating								000		
Average Rating							4.6			
Adjectival Rating						Ou	ıtsta	andi	ng	
Received by:	Calibrated by	r.	Recommending App			Ap _l	1.	L	2	E. TULIN, Ph.D.
Planning Officer	Chairman, P	MT	Vice Pres. for Instru	ction Pl	hD .			lent		
Date:	Date:		Da/te:			Da	te:			

Annex O

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

	Rating		- June 2016	
Name of Staff:	Carrlos	B. Muntajes	Position:	Admin. Aide

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

Α.	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		5	Scale	Э	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	6	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	(5)	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	£)3/	4	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	0	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	(5)	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	(5)	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	A	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	0	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4)	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	<u></u>	4	3	2	1

11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5)	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score					
B.	Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		5	Scale	9	
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score					
	Average Score	re 4,50				
	^					_

Name of Head

Overall recommendation