



# SUMMARY OF INDIVIDUAL RATING OF ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF Rating Period: January to June 2016

Name of Administrative Staff: MARISSA P. BABILONIA

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2 x 3)
1. Administrative Support Services	4.81		3.367
Supervisor's/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.75		1.425
TOTAL RATING			4.792

EQUIVALENT NUMERICAL RATING:

4.79

Add: Additional Points, if any: TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING

4.79

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

**OUTSTANDING** 

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

MARISSA P. BABILONIA Name of Staff

Hoad

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN

President

Visayas State University

## **DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES**

Visca, Baybay City, Leyte

### INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW (IPCR)

I, MARISSA P. BABILONIA, Admin. Aid IV , commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period <u>July to December 2016.</u>

MARISSA P. BABILONIA		ANALYN M. MAZO
Admin. Aid IV		Head, DBS
Date:		Date:

1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1					160,0790,0		Rating			
MFO No.	MFO Description	Success/Performance Indicator (PI)	Task Assigned	Target.	Actual Accom- plishment	Quality	Efficiency	Timeliness	Average	Remark
UMFO	O 1: Advanced Education Services				and the second			September 1975		
DBS MFO 1	. Graduate De	egree Program								
	PI 1: Number	of graduate degree specializations								
	PI 2: Total FT	E monitored							Kanali and	
	PI 4: Percent	age increase in number of graduate								
DBS MFO 2	2. Graduate St	udent								
	PI 1: Number	of graduate students awarded with							A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	
	PI 2: Percentage of graduate students awarded with									
	PI 3: Number	of graduate students advised								

BS MFO 1. Curriculum Program			
PI 1: Total FTE monitored			
PI 2: Number of curricular program compliant to			
PI 3: Average passing percentage in licensure			
PI 4: Number of undergraduates in mandated programs who			
PI 5: Percentage increase in the number of undergraduate students			
PI 6: Percentage increase in number of			
PI 7: Number of academe/industry linkage established			
PI 8: Number of students advised for their academic			
PI 9: Number of student organizations advised			
PI 10: Number of instructional materials			
PI 11: Number of OBE compliant syllabus prepared			
PI 12: Additional outputs			
Number of awards/recognitions received by			
BS MFO 3. RESEARCH			
PI 1: Number of published papers in internationally			
PI 2: Number of research outputs presented in			
PI 3. Number of research project/study conducted			
PI 4: Number of research proposals submitted			
PI 5: Number of research proposals approved			
PI 7: Amount of money generated from external			
PI 8: Amount of money generated from institutional			
PI 9: Additional outputs			
Number of research related awards (research			
Number of exchange scholars/researchers/visiting			
Number of research articles submitted for publications			
Number of terminal reports aubmitted			

PI 1: Number of technical/expert services rendered		
PI 2: Number of extension projects/components		
PI 4: Amount of money generated from external		
PI 5: Amount of money generated from institutional		
PI 6: Additional Outputs		
Number of clientele served for identification of		
Number articles reviewed for peer-reviewed		
Number of scientific fora attended as		
DBS MFO 5. Support to operation		
OVPI MFO 1 Faculty Development Services		
PI 1. Number of faculty pursuing advanced degree		
OVPI MFO 2 Faculty Recruiting/Hiring Services		
PI 1: Number of new faculty recommended for hiring		
PI 3: Degree program compliant to CHED		
PI 4: Degree program has passed evaluation with at		
OVPI MFO 3 Faculty Evaluation Services		
PI 1: Number of seminars/ trainings/ conventions/		Co-sponsored PSBMB with DoPAC
PI 2: Percentage of Faculty rated by students with at		
PI 3: Number of in-house		
OVPI MFO 4 Program and institutional Accreditation		
PI 1: Number of degree programs which passed		
PI 2: Percentage of degree program compliant with		
DBS MFO 6: General Admninistration and Support		
PI 1: Zero complaints from clients		
PI 2: Additional outputs		
Number of management meetings attended		
Number of management meetings conducted (DBS		
Number of recommendations made for the approval		
Number of requests made for approval by the		

	Number of Purchase requests made for		5	4	5	5 .	4	4.67	
1	Number of job/letter requests made for the								
F	PI 7: Number of well maintained laboratory/lecture							Eq. (See )	
1	Number of well maintained comfort rooms for								
	Number of laboratory specimens properly collected for instructional purposes			70	5	5	4	4.67	
1	Number of exam papers properly memeographed and								
1	Number of laboratory/lecture guides reproduced on			Superior of the					
1	Number of documents acted upon promptly					1			
ı	Laboratory Services								
	Number of laboratory equipment properly maintained as functional		70	150	5	5	4.5	4.83	
1	No. of glasswares/equipment dispensed		1,000	1,900	5	5	5	5.00	
1	No. of glasswares/equipment inventoried/yr		900						
	% of students & faculty served on time during the scheduled lab. classes & with zero complaint		90	100	5	5	4	4.67	
	% of request for reagents prepared & issued during schedule lab. classes		95	100	5	5	4.5	4.83	
	% specimens collected & prepared before scheduled ab.		90	98	5	5	4.5	4.83	
	% materials/equipment submitted to the property office after being declared as waste		80	90	5	5	5	5.00	B. Carlotte
al Over-all f								38.50	
erage Rating								4.81	
jectival Ratir	ng							Outstanding	

Received by:	Calibrated by:	Recommending Approval:	Approved:
<b>^</b> .	Hoton	1418	Aud -
A moling	REMBERTO A. PATINDOL, PhD	BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS, PhD	EDGARDO E. TULIN, PhD
Planning Officer	Chairman, PMT	Vice Pres. for Instruction	President
Date:	Date:	Date:	Date;

#### Annex O

## Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: July - December 2019
Name of Staff: Manisson P. Babi Unia Position: Manis Manis Nick N

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A.	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)			Scal	е	76- 1
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	(4)	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	(5)	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	(5)	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	(5)	4	3	2	1
0.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3.	2	1

)ver	all recommendation					
	Average Score	4	7.75			
	Total Score	5	7			-74
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	
B.	Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		(	Scal	е	
	Total Score					
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5)	4	3	2	-
	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2.	-

Overall	recommendation	