

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR
ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF
(July – December 2019)


Name of Administrative Staff: NILO L. LEORNA

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight 70% (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.83	x 70%	3.38
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.83	x 30%	1.44
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING			4.82


TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: - 4.82
Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: -
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: -

ADJECTIVAL RATING: - Outstanding


Prepared by:


RONILLO V. CANO
Name of Staff

Reviewed by:


f MOISES NEIL V. SERIÑO
OIC, Director for Extension

Recommending Approval:


f MOISES NEIL V. SERIÑO
OIC, Director for Extension

Approved:


OTHELLO B. CAPUNO
VP for Research & Extension



Visayas State University
VSU-Technical Vocational Education and Training (TVET) Program
Visca, Baybay City, Leyte

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, **NILO L. LEORNA**, TVET Program Coordinator, commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period July to December 2019.

NILO L. LEORNA

Program Coordinator

Date: _____

MOISES NEIL V. SERINO
OIC, Director for Extension

Date: _____

Rating Equivalents:
5 - Outstanding
4 - Very Satisfactory
3 - Satisfactory
2 - Fair
1 - Poor

MFO NO.	MFO Description	Success/Performance Indicator (PI)	Program/Activites/ Projects	Assigned Task	Target	Actual Accomplishment	Ratings				Remark
							Quality	Efficiency	Timeliness	Average	
UMFO 1: Advanced Education Services			NA								
UMFO 2: Higher Education Services											
OVPI MFO 1. Curriculum Program Management Services											
		PI 1: Total FTE monitored	Instruction	Teach BSABE subjects	20	26.85	5	5	5	5.0	AE132, AE 171, Math 141
		PI 9: Number of thesis/special problem of students:									
		a. Thesis outline/manuscript/Case Studies/Special Problems/OJT Narrative Reports/Engineering Projects/Portfolios advised	Advising	Adviser	1	1	4	5	4	4.3	Advisee: (Myla Ibanez)
		PI 11: Number of instructional materials developed/revised									
		a. OBE-compliant syllabi	Instruction	Develop an OBE-compliant syllabus	1	2	5	5	5	5.0	AE132, AE171

MFO NO.	MFO Description	Success/Performance Indicator (PI)	Program/Activites/ Projects	Assigned Task	Target	Actual Accomplishment	Ratings				Remark
							Quality	Efficiency	Timeliness	Average	
		c. Complete sets of teacher-developed audio visual materials (powerpoints, videos, computer games, etc)	Instruction	Develop an OBE-compliant teaching material	1	2	5	5	5	5.0	AE132, AE171
		d. On-line interactive learning resources per subject									
UMFO 3: Research Services			NA								
UMFO 4: Extension Services											
UMFO 5: Support to Operations (STO)			NA								
UMFO 6: General Administration and Support Services											
		PI 4 . No. of TVET Programs coordinated	Coordinator		1	2	5	4	5	4.7	SMAW NC II, EIM NC II
		PI 5: Additional Outputs									
		No. of equipment repared and maintained	Service	Repair and maintain POTC and workshop electrical and electronic equipment	1	2	5	5	5	5.0	Repaired 2 convection type oven
											Comments and recommendations for development purposes
		Total Over-all Rating					29				Keep up the good work! - Congratulations!
		Average Rating					4.83				
		Adjectival Rating					outstanding				

Evaluate and Rated by:

f **MOISES NEIL V. SERENIO**
OIC, Director for Extension
Date: _____

Recommending Approval

f **MOISES NEIL V. SERENIO**
OIC, Director for Extension
Date: _____

Approved by:

OT **OTHELLO B. CARUNO**
VP for Research and Extension
Date: _____

- 1- Quality
- 2 - Efficiency
- 3 - Timeliness
- 4 - Average

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative StaffRating Period: **July – December 2019**Name of Staff: **NILO L. LEORNA**Position: **Engineer II**


Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	(5)	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	(4)	3	2	1
3.	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	(5)	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	(5)	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	(5)	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	(4)	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	(5)	4	3	2	1
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	(5)	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	(5)	4	3	2	1

11. Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12. Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score					
B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)	Scale				
1. Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2. Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3. Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4. Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5. Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score	58				
Average Score	4.83				

Overall Recommendation : _____


MOISES NEIL V. SERINO
 OIC, Director for Extension