Annex P

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

Felipe M. Matiom

	Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)		
1	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.5	70%	3.15		
2	Supervisor/Head's Assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishment	4.66	30%	1.398		
		4.548				

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:		4.548	
Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:			
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	b	4.548	
FINAL NUMERICAL RATING:		4.548	
ADJECTIVAL RATING:		VS	

Prepared by:

MARIO ULIO VAXENZONA Immediate Supervisor

Recommending Approval:

Director, G\$D

Approved:

REMBERTO A. PATINDO

Vice President

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, <u>FELIPE M. MATIUM</u> of the <u>GENERAL SERVICES DIVISION</u> commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period: <u>JULY TO DECEMBER 2018</u>

Approved:

Ratee

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA
Head of Unit

MFO & Performance Indicators	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual	Rating				
Will a definition indicators	Success mulcutors	rasks Assigned	raiget	Accomplish ment	Q ¹	E ²			Remarks
MFO1, Carpentry/ Masonry works	PI 1-Repair and Maintenance	various repair of Buildings	5 units	6 units	5	4	4	4.333	
MFO2-Monitoring of IDBMU J.O Personnel	PI,2-Daily monitoring of assigned Job Order Personnel	Daily monitoring of assigned Job Order Personnel and submit to supervisor	60	95	5	5	4	4.667	
Tota:									
Total Over-all Rating									9
Total Over-all Nating								9	
Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)				4.5	Comments & Recommendations				
Additional Points:				2		for	Deve	lopment	Purpose:
Punctuality:					K	-			
Approved Additional point (with copy o	f approval)				75	The	1 1	CSOM	January .
FINAL RATING				4.5	- After toson fainings and seminars				
ADJECTIVAL RATING									

Evaluate & Rated by:

Recommending Approval:

Approved by:

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA Director, GSD

REMBEERTO A. PATINDOL

Vice President



	Rating Period:	July-Dec. 2018	_
Name of Staff: Felipe M. Matiom	Position: Con'tn	Foreman	

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description					,	
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs						
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements						
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements	**************************************	*				
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirem	ante					
			iciii.				_	
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements	ι				_	
Commitme	ent (<i>both for subordinates a</i>				Scale)	_	
1	Demonstrates sensitivity to business with the office fulfil	client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting lling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2		
2	Makes self-available to clier	nts even beyond official time	(5)	4	3	2	Ī	
3		reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by en without overtime pay	5	4	3	2		
4	Accepts all assigned tasks a the prescribed time.	as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within	(3)	4	3	2	Ī	
5	Commits himself/herself to the who fail to perform all assign	nelp attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees ned tasks	(3)	4	3	2	I	
6	Regularly reports to work or personal matters and logs or	(5)	4	3	2	I		
7	Keeps accurate records of h	5	4	3	2			
8	Suggests new ways to furth	5	4	3	2	İ		
9	not related to his position bu	signed by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is it critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	(3)	4	3	2	İ	
10		ng lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs ractice that further increase effectiveness of the office or	(5)	4	3	2		
11		and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of	(5)	4	3	2	İ	
12	Willing to be trained and dev	veloped	(5)	4	3	2	T	
		Total Score		56				
B. Le		or supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor		(Scale)		
1		expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and es and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2		
2	-	aw strategic and specific plans and targets of the that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2		
3	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.				3	2	İ	
4	his/her unit.	e overall performance and in delivering the output required of	5	4	3	2		
5		nitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment ne unit	5	4	3	2		
		Total Score						
		Average Score		46	6			

Overall recommendation

MARIO HILO VALENZONA
Di ector, GSD

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee:	FELIPE M. MATIOM	1				
Performance Rating:	July 1 to December	31, 2019			·	
Aim:					•	
Proposed Interventio	ns to Improve Perforn	nance:	•		•	
Date:		Target Date:				
First Step: Attend TE	ESDA training and sem	inars				
Result:						
Date:		Target Date:				
Next Step:			,			
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Outcome:						
Final Step/Recommer	ndation:	·				
		Prepared by:	•			
		•	MAR	IO UILIOVAI		
				Director, G	SD	