COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

LEOPOLDO P. IGOT

Particulars (1)		Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.66	70%	3.262
2	Supervisor/Head's Assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishment	4.58	30%	1.3749
	4.6369			

TOTAL	NUMERICAL	RATING:

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.6369

4.6369

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING:

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

4.6369 O

Prepared by:

MARIO C. BANTUGAN

Adm. Aide III

Reviewed by:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Vice Pres. for Adm. & Finance

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN

🐧 Přesident

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, LEOPOLDO P. IGOT of the GENERAL SERVICES DIVISION commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period: JANUARY TO JUNE 2018

Approved:

Ratee

Director, GSD

MFO & Performance Indicators	Success Inditors	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual	Rating				
				Accomplish ment	Q¹	E²	T³	A⁴	Remarks
MFO1: Performance Indicators	PI-1: Completed repairs	various repair of Buildings	100	120	5	5	4	4.66667	
MFO2: Furnitures Works	P\$, 1-Completed repairs and fabrication	various repair and fabraication of furnitures	90	120	5	5	4	4.66667	
Total Over-all Rating								9.333333	

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)	4.6665	Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:		
Additional Points:				
Punctuality:		Affect FERRA Trainings		
Approved Additional point (with copy of approval)		Villary Leaps, Lessiand?		
FINAL RATING	4.6665			
ADJECTIVAL RATING	0			

Recommending Approval:

Approvedby:

Annex O

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

	Rating Period:	January to June 2018			
Name of Staff: LEOPOLO P. IGOT	Position:	Adm. Aide V			

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	g Qualitative Description					
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model					
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements					
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements					
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requiren	nents.				
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements					
		th for subordinates and supervisors)	-	6	Scale	•	
	Domonetrates sensitivity	to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting					
1	business with the office fu		5	1	3	2	/
2		ients even beyond official time	(1)	4	3	2	1
2			<u>(a</u>	7_	_	_	ĻĊ
		ine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED,	-	\bar{n}	3	2	1
3		A, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time	5	(4)	2	~	Ι΄
	by rendering overtime wo	rk even without overtime pay s as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs	(3	-		-	╁.
4	within the prescribed time		(5)	4	3	2	/
	Commits himself/herself	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-				2	7
5	employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks				3		'
		on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out	(F)	4	3	2	7
6	on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.				_		Ľ
7	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.				⁄ૠૄે	2	/
8	Suggests new ways to fu	(3)	4	3	2	1	
	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment						
9	is not related to his positi	(5)	4	3	2	(
	university						$oldsymbol{ol}oldsymbol{ol}ol{ol}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}$
10 outputs of which results or satisfaction of clientel		uring lean periods by performing non-routine functions the	ے ا	m	١.,	-5%	۱,
		as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office	5	4	3	2	/
					ļ		╄
11	· · ·	ms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of	5	14	3	2	1
	his work accomplishmen		A	1~		+	+
12	Willing to be trained and		(7)	4	3	2	<u>//.</u>
		Total Score		<u> </u>	2,		
Rles	odorchin & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor	Scale				
D. Lea	adership & management (Tot supervisors only to be rated by mighter supervisor	- 4	1,	3	\$	
	1	nd expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and	-	4	3	2	
1		nates and that of higher superiors	5	17	1	1-	<u> </u>
2	1 -	draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the	5	4	3	2	/
	Innovates for the purpose	d to that of the overall plans of the university. e of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational	├ ──	┼	<u> </u>	 	+
3		of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	/
	Accepts accountability for	r the overall performance and in delivering the output required of	-	1	3	2	1
4	his/her unit.		7	4	2	1-	\perp'
	Demonstrates, teaches,	monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved	-	1	2		
	•	ess in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the	5	4	3	2	1
5	attainment of the calibrat			<u> </u>			
		Total Score					
		Average Score	I	1 6	83		

Overall recommendation

MARIO LILIC VALENZONA

Director, GSD

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: Leopoldo Performance Rating: Outstanding	P. Igot	·		,
A :				
Aim:			·	
Proposed Interventions to Improv	e Performance:			. •
Date:	Target Date:			
First Step:				
Result: Attend TESDA trainings				
Date:	Target Date:			٠
Next Step:	·			
		·		
Outcome:			-	
Final Step/Recommendation:				
			•	
			- 1	•
	Prepared by:	L. ~~	May ou	
		MARIO	THO VALENZON	i <u>A</u>
	•		Supervisor	

Conform:

LEOPOLDO P. IGOT
Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff