# COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

LUCIO C. POLE JR.

Particulars (1)		Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.34	70%	3.038
2	Supervisor/Head's Assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishment	4.50	30%	1.35
	4.388			

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	4.388
Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:	
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	4.388
	-
FINAL NUMERICAL RATING:	4.388
ADJECTIVAL RATING:	VS

Prepared by:

MARIO C. BANTUGAN

Adm. Aide III

Reviewed by:

REMBERTO A PATINDOL Vice Pres. for Adm. & Finance

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

DGARDO E. TUI

President

### INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I. <u>LUCIO C. POLE JR</u>, of the <u>GENERAL SERVICES DIVISION</u> commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the following targets in accordance with the indicate measures for the period: <u>JANUARY TO JUNE 2018</u>

Ratee

Approved:

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA

	Success Indicator Tasks Ass		ned Target	Actual	Rating			Remarks	
MFO & Performance Indicators		Tasks Assigned		Accomplish ment	Q¹	E²	Τ³	A⁴	Remarks
MFO 1: Foreman Project Supervision	PI 1: Monthly Accomplishments	Supervise assignments of workers of on going	60 J.O workers	80 workers Job Order	5	4	4	4.33	
		Maintenance and Construction Projects	6 on going project	80%	5	4	4	4.33	
Total:									
Total Over-all Rating					<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	8.67	
Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 1)			·	4.34	Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:				
Punctuality:					Attend TOBBA Trainings				
Approved Additional point (with copy of approval)									
FINAL RATING				4.34					
ADJECTIVAL RATING			<u> </u>	VS					

Evaluate & Rated by:

Recommending Approval:

Approvedby:

MARKO HILLO VALENZON RIVECTOR GSD

REMISERTO A. PATINDOL

#### Annex O

## **Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff**

	Rating Period:	January to June 2018	_
Name of Staff: LUCIO C. POLE JR.	Position:	Con'tn Foreman	_

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating						
5	Outstanding  The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model						
4	Very Satisfactory The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements						
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements					
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirem	nents.				
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements					
A.	Commitment ( <i>bot</i>	h for subordinates and supervisors)	Scale				
1	Demonstrates sensitivity business with the office fu	to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting and rewarding.	(3)	4	3	2	1
2	Makes self-available to cl	ients even beyond official time	5	(L)	3	2	j
3	DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA	ne reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, A, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time rk even without overtime pay	کی	4	3	2	J
4	Accepts all assigned task within the prescribed time	s as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs	D	4	3	2	1
5	Commits himself/herself employees who fail to pe	o help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- form all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6		on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out logs out upon departure from work.	9	4	3	2	1
7	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.			4)	3	2	1
8	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients			4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university			4	3	2	1
10	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele			4	3	2	1
11	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment		ح	4	3	2	1
12	Willing to be trained and	Willing to be trained and developed		4	3	2	/
		Total Score	(5)   4   3   2   1 =   54				
B. Lead	dership & Management (	For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor	Scale				T -
1	confidence from subordi	nd expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and nates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2	Visionary and creative to	draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the last	5	4	3	2	1
3	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.		5	4	3	2	/
4	Accepts accountability for his/her unit.	r the overall performance and in delivering the output required of	5	4	3	2	1
5	Demonstrates, teaches,		5	4	3	2	/
		Total Score					
		Average Score		4.	5		

Overall recommendation

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA
Director, GSD

## EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: <u>LUCIO C. P</u> Performance Rating: <u>Very Satisfact</u>	OLE JR.			
Aim:				
Proposed Interventions to Improve	Performance:			
Date:	Target Date:			
First Step:				
	· ,			
Result: Attend TESDA Trainings				
Date:				
Next Step:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Outcome:				
Final Step/Recommendation:				
	Prepared by:	MARIO	LILIO VALEN Supervisor	onc (elog[1]) IZONA

Conform:

Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff