



## COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

### Annex P

Name of Administrative Staff: **ALFREDO M. BRAGA**

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.58	70%	3.206
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.583	30%	1.374
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING			4.58

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.58

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.58

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING

4.58

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Very Satisfactory

Prepared by:


  
**ALFREDO M. BRAGA**

Name of Staff

Recommending Approval:

  
**MARLON G. BURLAS**  
Unit Head, PPES

Approved:

  
**DANIEL LESLIE S. TAN**  
Vice President



# INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, **ALFREDO M. BRAGA** of the POWER PLANT & ELECTRICAL SERVICES under the **GENERAL SERVICES DIVISION** commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period: **JULY- DECEMBER 2021**

Approved:

**ALFREDO M. BRAGA**

Ratee

**MARLON G. BURLAS**

Unit, Head

MFO & Performance Indicators	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishment	Rating				Remarks
					Q <sup>1</sup>	E <sup>2</sup>	T <sup>3</sup>	A <sup>4</sup>	
<b>FMO1</b> -Power related new and major project completed	<b>PI 1.1 Installed Electrical Systems</b> Administration building	Installed electrical rough-ins & raceways	70	70	5	4	4	4.333	
	<b>PI 1.2 Academic and Research buildings</b>	Installed electrical wiring	5	5	5	4	4	4.333	
	<b>PI 1.3 IGP building</b>	Installed electrical panel board, switches, convenience outlet, aircon outlet and devices	45	45	5	5	4	4.667	
	<b>PI 1.4 Student and Staff Housing</b>	Installed electrical lighting fixtures	30	30	5	5	4	4.667	
<b>FMO2</b> -Electrical Division System Circuit, repair and maintain	<b>PI 1.5 No. of electric pole replaced/maintain</b>	Replaced/Maintaind electric poles distribution and secvondary lines, cross arms, cut outs, and insulators	12	12	5	5	4	4.667	
	<b>PI 1.6 No. of primary and secondary service entrance repaired and</b>	Re-insulated and replaced primary and secondary service entrance	5	5	5	5	4	4.667	
	<b>PI 1.7 No. of primary and secondary lines repaired and</b>	Tension primary and secondary lines, reconnected the primary and secondary lines and cleaned insulators and cut outs	5 minor repair 5 major repair	5 minor repair 5 major repair	5	5	4	4.667	
	<b>PI 1.8 No. of Distribution transformer serviced and maintained</b>	Cleaned primary and secondary bushing, took sample of transformer oil for color index, refilled new transformer oil	17 distribution transformer	17 distribution transformer	5	5	4	4.667	
<b>Total Over-all Rating</b>								<b>36.67</b>	
<b>Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)</b>					4.58	<b>Comments &amp; Recommendations for Development Purpose:</b> <i>* Training for induction motor</i> <i>* Basic Safety &amp; Health</i>			
<b>Additional Points:</b>									
<b>Punctuality:</b>									
<b>Approved Additional point (with copy of approval)</b>									
<b>FINAL RATING</b>					4.58				
<b>ADJECTIVAL RATING</b>					VS				




Evaluated & Rated by:

  
MARLON G. BURLAS

Supervisor


1-quality  
2-Efficiency  
3-Timeliness  
4-Average

Recommending Approval:

  
MARIO LILIO VALENZONA

Director, ODPP

Approved by:

  
DANIEL LESLIE S. TAN

VP for Admin. & Finance





## Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: July- December 2021

Name of Staff: ALFREDO M. BRAGA

Position: Admin. Asst III

**Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.**


Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1



Total Score		55				
<b>B. Leadership &amp; Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)</b>		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score						
Average Score		4.583				

Overall recommendation : \_\_\_\_\_

  
**MARLON G. BURLAS**  
 Printed Name and Signature  
 Head of Office



## EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: Alfredo M. Braga

Performance Rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Aim: Effective and Efficient delivery of Service

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:

Date: August 2021 Target Date: October 2021

First Step:

Skill development Training on motion motor

Result:

Date: October 2021 Target Date: November 2021


Next Step:

Outcome: \_\_\_\_\_


Final Step/Recommendation:

\_\_\_\_\_

Prepared by:

  
MARLON G. BURLAS  
Supervisor

Conforme:

  
ALFREDO M. BRAGA  
Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff