



Visca Baybay City, Leyte 6521-A, Philippines Phone/Fax: +63 053 563 7323 Email Address: prpeo@vsu.edu.ph Website: www.vsu.edu.ph

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Annex P

Name of Administrative Staff:

SEVILLA, ASTERIA, A.

	Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1.	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.68	70%	3.28
2.	Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.82	30%	1.44
		TOTAL NUN	IERICAL RATING	4.72

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	4.72
FINAL NUMERICAL RATING	4.72
ADJECTIVAL RATING:	0
Prepared by:	Reviewed by:

ASTERIA A. SEVILLA
Name of Staff

LOURDES B. CANO

Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

LOURDES B. CANO
Dean/Director

Approved:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL
Vice President for Admin. & Finance

Vision: A globally competitive university for science, technology, and environmental conservation.

Mission: Development of a highly competitive human resource, cutting-edge scientific knowledge and innovative technologies for sustainable communities and environment.

FM-PRO-13

Rev.: 00

11-15-2019

Page 1 of 1

Control Number: _____

"Exhibit B" INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, <u>Asteria A. Sevilla</u> of the <u>Records Office & Archives Center</u> (ROAC) commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period <u>January-June 2019.</u>

ASTERIA A. SEVILLA

OIC. Records Office & Archives Center

Approved:

LOURDES B. CANO

Director, ODAHRD



Actual Rating Remarks **Success Indicators Tasks Assigned** Target MFOs & PAPs Accomplishment Q1 OVPAF MFO 2: ISO ALIGNED MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT SERVICES ODAHRD MFO I: ISO aligned Personnel Records Development & Management Services ROAC MFO 1. Percentage implementation of leave benefits, compensation & other employee benefits 100% accomplishment 100% accomplishment Supervises/coaches the clerks PI 1: No. of leave applications, A1. Effective files incharge of filing NOSI, NOSA filed within the day management 5 4.66 of receipt ROAC MFO 2: No. of certifications and service records issued and documents authenticated 50 docs, authenticated 115 docs/records 5 5 5 Verifies/authenticates PI 2: No. of records/documents | A2. Authentications of records/docs. authenticated docs./records **ODAHRD MFO 2: ISO Aligned Records and Archives Management** ROAC MFO 3: No. of new Archival documents gathered and displayed at Archives Center Gathers new evidences/docs 2 new display materials 3 new display 5 1 hardbound 2018 Memo A3. New display PI 3: No. of new archival issuances; 1 hardbound 2018 materials gathered and for display at the documents gathered and Memo Circulars, 1 hardbound displayed Accreditation/Archives Centers displayed files of casual appointment from PRPEO ROAC MFO 4: Percentage of required HR accreditation evidences under PRIME-HRM level 3 maturity in RSP ready for inspection by CSC A4. Scanning of 201 files | Supervises scanning of 50% of required (second half) 5 4.66 PI 4: Percentage of HR evidences evidences/documents gathered for HRIS docs/records for downloading in HRIMS ROAC MFO 5: No. of messengerial services provided and approved disposal of records secured

3)								γ	
PI 5: No. of documents	A5. Messengerial	Monitors that memos/ circulars	100% accomplishment	100% accomplishment	5	5	4	4.66	
delivered to different units and	services	and other issuances including							
mails dispatched to Post Office	s	mails are delivered within the					1.3		
within the day of receipt		day of receipt							
PI 6: No of request to dispose	A6. Records disposal	Reviews list of valueless records	1 approval to dispose	(second half)					
of records secured from NAP		and finalize Request for							
		Authority to Dispose of Records							
		for signature of the President							
ODAHRD 5. FOI aligned complia	ance and reporting requir	rements							
ROAC MFO 6: Percentage and c	compliance of reporting re	equirements in accordance with	FOI Manual						
PI 7: No.l of required reports	A7. Preparation of	Facilitates encoding of	2 quarterly reports: FOI	2 quarterly reports	5	5	5	5	
prepared and submitted	quarterly reports	quarterly reports	Registry & FOI Summary	submitted for posting in					
				website					
UMFO 6: GENERAL ADMINISTR						-			
OVPAF MFO 2: Human Resource									
ODAHRD MFO 2: Administrativ									
ROAC MFO 7. Efficient and cust									
	A8. Efficient and friendly	Attends to the needs of clients		No valid complaint	5	5	5	5	
friendly frontline services	services		clients served						
PI 9: No. of records reference	A9. Records reference	Verifies/acts on Request for	100 requests for records	137 Request for Records	5	5	5	5	
services served per Request for	services performed	Records promptly	acted/300 docs	served/580			3		
Records filed		3	retrieved and	docs./records					
			reproduced						
PI 10: No. of daily attendance	A10. Attendance	Supervises staff assigned in	100% accomplishment	100% accomplishment	4	4	4	4	
monitoring in dfferent admin.	monitoring	attendance monitoring							
Offices/units including College-									
wide activities and flag raising									
ceremonies in Admin. Bldg.									
ROAC MFO 8. No. of linkages w	vith external agencies mai	ntained	L	manus provincias de la companya del la companya de					
PI 11. Linkages with other	A11. Maintains linkages	Communicates/maintains	5 agencies: NAP Cebu,	5 agencies	4	4	4	4	
government agencies	with other government	linkages	COA, Baybay Postal						
	agencies		Office, VSU Postal Office,						
			NAP Manila						
ROAC MFO 9. No. of adhoc com	nmttee assignments perfo	ormed							

PI 12: Membership in adhoc committees performed	A12. Preliminary Investigation/ Formal Investigations performed	Acts as secretary of fact-finding committees and formal investigation committees, prepares notices, transcribes proceedings	6 investigations/ transcript of proceedings prepared	9 investigations/ transcription of proceedings	5	5	5	5	SDT, (2) Formal Investigat Committees, 1 Prel. Inve Committee
ODAHRD MFO 7. PRIME-HRM									
	A13. Staff monitoring	orts of Appointments issued (RA Monitors/sees to it that all		ro invalidation and JO co	ntracts re	eviewed 4	4	4	T
PI 13: No. of original appointments forwarded/received by faculty and staff concerned and another copy filed in their respective 201 files.	A13. Stair monitoring	original copies of appts are received by the concerned employee	100% accomplishment	100% accomplishment	4	4	4	4	
ODAHRD MFO 5. PRIME-HRM a	aligned Learning and Deve	lopment Services							
ROAC MFO 12: Percentage of I	PhD & MS scholars monito	red as to progress of their studi	es/request for renewal of	study leave					
PI 15: Percentage of scholars monitored/ request facilitated	development requests	Percentage of requests of scholars facilitated/ evaluated and recommended for approval of the President	100% accomplishment	100% accomplishment	5	5	5	5	
		of the Fresident							
ROAC 13. Percentage of facult	y development related rec	juests acted by scholarship com	mittee						
ROAC 13. Percentage of facult PI 16Percentage of requests for scholarships/ attendance to trainings screened and indorsed based on policies	A15. Committee assignments performed		mittee 6 meetings, 6 minutes of meetings, excerpts, referendum	12 meetings/ minutes, excerpts of minutes, 11 referendum/ indorsements	5	5	5	5	
PI 16Percentage of requests for scholarships/ attendance to trainings screened and indorsed based on policies ODAHRD MFO 10: PRME-HRM	A15. Committee assignments performed aligned Records and Reco	Acts as secretary of VASC, schedules meetings, prepares minutes of meetings for signature of the committee members, submits minutes to OP for action/approval	6 meetings, 6 minutes of meetings, excerpts, referendum	excerpts of minutes, 11 referendum/ indorsements		5	5	5	

File Bercentage of HAP nominations Schedules meetings, prepares ninutes, excerpts, communications and recommended for approval of the University President DODAHRD MFO 11: Innovations and New Best Practices Development Services ROAC MFO 16. No. of new HR systems/lest practices/innovations introduced and implemented Pile: No. of new HR systems All 8. No. of new HR systems endorsed to higher bodies Roac MFO 17: No. of outside agencies which benchmarked HR practices/systems provided with expert services as Resource Person Pile: No. of agencies assisted during the benchmarking activities Pile: No. of agencies assisted during the benchmarking activities Denchmarking activities Denchmar					
evaluated and recommended for approval of the University President DOAHRD MFO 11: Innovations and New Best Practices Development Services ROAC MFO 16. No. of new HR systems/best practices/innovations introduced and implemented PI 19: No. of new HR systems endorsed to higher bodies ROAC MFO 17: No. of outside agencies which benchmarked HR practices/systems provided with expert services as Resource Person PI 20: No. of agencies assisted during the benchmarking activities activities Assisted during benchmarking activities activities Average Rating (Total Over-all Rating divided by 4) Additional Points: Punctuality Approved additional points (with copy of approval) FINAL RATING Evaluated & Rated by: Recommending Approval: Approved by: Recommending Approval: Approved by:	6 accomplishment 100% accomplishment 5 5 5 5	ccomplishment	Schedules meetings, prepares	A17. HAP nominations	PI 18 Percentage of HAP
for approval of the University President DODAHRD MFO 11: Innovations and New Best Practices Development Services			minutes, excerpts,	submitted to CSC	nominations screened/
President ODAHRD MFO 11: Innovations and New Best Practices Development Services ROAC MFO 16. No. of new HR systems/best practices/innovations introduced and implemented Pl 19: No. of new HR systems endorsed to higher bodies ROAC MFO 17: No. of outside agencies which benchmarked HR practices/systems provided with expert services as Resource Person Pl 20: No. of agencies assisted during the benchmarking activities Pl 19: No. of agencies assisted during the benchmarking activities Broad MFO 17: No. of outside agencies which benchmarked HR practices/systems provided with expert services as Resource Person Pl 20: No. of agencies assisted during the benchmarking activities Assisted during the benchmarking activities Broad Additional Points: Punctuality Approved additional points (with copy of approval) FINAL RATING ADJECTIVAL RATING LOURDES B. CANO REMBERTO A. PATINDOL		1			
ODAHRD MFO 11: Innovations and New Best Practices Development Services ROAC MFO 16. No. of new HR systems/best practices/innovations introduced and implemented Pl 19: No. of new HR systems endorsed Al8. No. of new HR systems endorsed to higher bodies ROAC MFO 17: No. of outside agencies which benchmarked HR practices/systems provided with expert services as Resource Person Pl 20: No. of agencies assisted Pl 19. No. of agencies assisted during benchmarking activities Total Over-all Rating Average Rating (Total Over-all Rating divided by 4) Additional Points: Punctuality Approved additional points (with copy of approval) FinAL RATING ADJECTIVAL RATING Evaluated & Rated by: Recommending Approval: Recommending Approval: Approved by: REMBERTO A. PATINDOL			documents to support the		for approval of the University
ROAC MFO 16. No. of new HR systems/best practices/innovations introduced and implemented Pl 19: No. of new HR systems endorsed to higher bodies ROAC MFO 17: No. of outside agencies which benchmarked HR practices/systems provided with expert services as Resource Person Pl 20: No. of agencies assisted Pl Pl No. of agencies assisted during the benchmarking activities Pl 19: No. of agencies assisted during benchmarking activities Total Over-all Rating Average Rating (Total Over-all Rating divided by 4) Additional Points: Punctuality Approved additional points (with copy of approval) Final Rating August Agencies Agencies and Provided by: Recommending Approval: Approved by: Recommending Approval: Approved by: REMBERTO A. PATINDOL		1	nomination		President
ROAC MFO 16. No. of new HR systems/best practices/innovations introduced and implemented Pl 19: No. of new HR systems endorsed to higher bodies ROAC MFO 17: No. of outside agencies which benchmarked HR practices/systems provided with expert services as Resource Person Pl 20: No. of agencies assisted Pl Pl No. of agencies assisted during the benchmarking activities Pl 19: No. of agencies assisted during benchmarking activities Total Over-all Rating Average Rating (Total Over-all Rating divided by 4) Additional Points: Punctuality Approved additional points (with copy of approval) Final Rating August Agencies Agencies and Provided by: Recommending Approval: Approved by: Recommending Approval: Approved by: REMBERTO A. PATINDOL				L	
PI 19: No. of new HR systems endorsed Systems endorsed HR systems endorsed HR system and endorsement to higher body ROAC MFO 17: No. of outside agencies which benchmarked HR practices/systems provided with expert services as Resource Person PI 20: No. of agencies assisted during assisted during the benchmarking activities benchmarking activities activities Total Over-all Rating Average Rating (Total Over-all Rating divided by 4) Additional Points: Punctuality Approved additional points (with copy of approval) Final Rating Approved additional points (with copy of approval) Evaluated & Rated by: Recommending Approval: Al 8. No. of new HR systems systems and endorsement to higher body HR system and endorsement to higher body 1 HR system second half Second half 1 HR system second half 2 agencies 3 2 agencies 5 4 4 4.33					
endorsed to higher bodies systems endorsed Facilitatesdeliberation of new HR system and endorsement to higher body ROAC MFO 17: No. of outside agencies which benchmarked HR practices/systems provided with expert services as Resource Person Pl 20: No. of agencies assisted during the benchmarking activities P19. No. of agencies assisted during benchmarking activities Total Over-all Rating Average Rating (Total Over-all Rating divided by 4) Additional Points: Punctuality Approved additional points (with copy of approval) FINAL RATING Evaluated & Rated by: Recommending Approval: Approved by: Recommending Approval: Approved by: Recommending Approval: Approved by:	d		novations introduced and imple	systems/best practices/in	ROAC MFO 16. No. of new HR
ROAC MFO 17: No. of outside agencies which benchmarked HR practices/systems provided with expert services as Resource Person P120: No. of agencies assisted during the benchmarking activities assisted during the benchmarking activities Possible benchmarking activities Total Over-all Rating Average Rating (Total Over-all Rating divided by 4) Additional Points: Punctuality Approved additional points (with copy of approval) Final Rating ADJECTIVAL RATING Evaluated & Rated by: Recommending Approval: Recommending Approval: Approved by: REMBERTO A. PATINDOL	1 HR system second half	HR system		A18. No. of new HR	and the second s
higher body ROAC MFO 17: No. of outside agencies which benchmarked HR practices/systems provided with expert services as Resource Person Pl 20: No. of agencies assisted Pl 19. No. of agencies assisted during the benchmarking activities Pl 20: No. of agencies assisted during the benchmarking activities Assisted during the benchmarking activities Ponchmarking activities Additional Points: Punctuality Approved additional points (with copy of approval) FINAL RATING ADJECTIVAL RATING Evaluated & Rated by: Recommending Approval: Approved by: Recommending Approval: REMBERTO A. PATINDOL				systems endorsed	endorsed to higher bodies
ROAC MFO 17: No. of outside agencies which benchmarked HR practices/systems provided with expert services as Resource Person Pl 20: No. of agencies assisted during the benchmarking activities Pl 90: No. of agencies assisted during the benchmarking activities Assisted during the benchmarking activities Provided With expert services as Resource Person Assisted during the benchmarking activities Assisted during the benchmarking activities Assisted during the benchmarking activities Benchmarking activities Assisted during the benchmarking activities Benchmarking activities Assisted during the 2 agencies C agencies C agencies C agencies Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpos How clum enough of the company of th					
PI 20: No. of agencies assisted during the benchmarking activities P19. No. of agencies assisted during the benchmarking activities D19. No. of agencies assisted during the benchmarking activities D19. No. of agencies assisted during the benchmarking activities D19. No. of agencies assisted during the benchmarking activities D19. No. of agencies assisted during the benchmarking activities D19. No. of agencies assisted during the benchmarking activities D19. No. of agencies assisted during the benchmarking activities D19. No. of agencies assisted during the benchmarking activities D19. No. of agencies assisted during the benchmarking activities D19. No. of agencies assisted during the benchmarking activities D19. No. of agencies assisted during the benchmarking activities D19. No. of agencies assisted during the benchmarking activities D19. No. of agencies assisted during the benchmarking activities D19. No. of agencies assisted during the benchmarking activities D19. No. of agencies D19					
during the benchmarking activities benchmarking activities benchmarking activities Total Over-all Rating 4.68 74.97 Average Rating (Total Over-all Rating divided by 4) Additional Points:					
activities benchmarking activities Total Over-all Rating Average Rating (Total Over-all Rating divided by 4) Additional Points: Punctuality Approved additional points (with copy of approval) FINAL RATING Evaluated & Rated by: Recommending Approval: Approved by: Recommending Approval: Approved by: REMBERTO A. PATINDOL	2 agencies	agencies			
Total Over-all Rating Average Rating (Total Over-all Rating divided by 4) Additional Points: Punctuality Approved additional points (with copy of approval) FINAL RATING ADJECTIVAL RATING Evaluated & Rated by: Recommending Approval: Approved by: Recommending Approval: Approved by: REMBERTO A. PATINDOL		7	benchmarking activities		
Average Rating (Total Over-all Rating divided by 4) Additional Points: Punctuality Approved additional points (with copy of approval) FINAL RATING ADJECTIVAL RATING Evaluated & Rated by: Recommending Approval: LOURDES B. CANO REMBERTO A. PATINDOL				benchmarking activities	activities
Additional Points: Punctuality Approved additional points (with copy of approval) FINAL RATING ADJECTIVAL RATING Evaluated & Rated by: Recommending Approval: Approved by: Recommending Approval: REMBERTO A. PATINDOL	4.68	4.68			Total Over-all Rating
FINAL RATING ADJECTIVAL RATING Evaluated & Rated by: Recommending Approval: Approved by: REMBERTO A. PATINDOL	Comments & Baserman detions for Development Burnson			Rating divided by 4)	Average Rating (Total Over-all R
FINAL RATING ADJECTIVAL RATING Evaluated & Rated by: Recommending Approval: Approved by: REMBERTO A. PATINDOL	Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:				Additional Points:
FINAL RATING ADJECTIVAL RATING Evaluated & Rated by: Recommending Approval: Approved by: REMBERTO A. PATINDOL	Haur dans energh for an uncurry.				Punctuality
Evaluated & Rated by: Recommending Approval: Approved by: LOURDES B. CANO REMBERTO A. PATINDOL	Happy refinement.			ith copy of approval)	Approved additional points (w
Evaluated & Rated by: Recommending Approval: Approved by: REMBERTO A. PATINDOL	4.68	4.68			FINAL RATING
LOURDES B. CANO LOURDES B. CANO REMBERTO A. PATINDOL	0	0			ADJECTIVAL RATING
LOURDES B. CANO LOURDES B. CANO REMBERTO A. PATINDOL					5 1 4 10 D 4 11
	Approved by:		Recommending Approval:		Evaluated & Rated by:
	* Aurus		a /		a. /
	DEMREDTO PATINDOI		LOURDES R CANO		LOUDDES B CANO
Unit Head Unit Head Vice President for Admin. & Finance					
Date: Date:	Date:		Date:	*************	Date:

2 - Efficiency

2 - Timeliness

4 - Average

1 - Quality

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January-June 2019

Name of Staff: Asteria A. Sevilla

Position: Admin. Officer III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Co	mmitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)			Scale	9	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	(3)	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time.	(3)	4	3	2	1
3.	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay.	(5)	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	(5)	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks.	(5)	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients.	(5)	4	3	2	1

10	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further	5	(4)	3	2	1
	increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele.					
11.	 Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment. 		4	3	2	1
12	. Willing to be trained and developed.	(3)	4	3	2	1
	Total Score					
	adership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher pervisor)			Scale)	
	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors.	(3)	4	3	2	1
	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the	5	(4)	3	2	1
	university.		0	3		
3.	university. Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	(5)	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further	(5) (5)				
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients. Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the		4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients. Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit. Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the	(5)	4	3	2	1

Overall recommendation	

Name of Head