Exhibit K

SUMMARY OF INDIVIDUAL RATINGS OF FACULTY MEMBERS WITH MULTIPLE FUNCTIONS

Name of Faculty Member: BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS

Program Involvement	Percentage	Numerical Rating	Equivalent
(1)	Weight of	(Rating x%)	Numerical
	Involvement	(3)	Rating
	(2)		(2x3)
1. Instruction			
a. Head/Dean (50%)		4.90x50%=2.45	
b. Students (50%)		5 x 50%= 2.5	
Total for Instruction	20%	4.95	0.99
2. Research			
a. Client/Dir. for Research (50%)	*		
b. Dept. Head/Center Director			
(50%)			
Total for Research	20%	4.60	0.92
3. Extension	A 1		
a. Client/Dir. for Extension (50%)			
b. Dept Head/Center Director			
(50%)			
Total for Extension	10%	5	0.50
4. Administration	50%	4.74	2.37
5. Production			
TOTAL	100%		
			4.78

EQUIVALENT NUMERICAL RATING:

4.78

Add: Additional Points, if any:

none

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.78

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

OUTSTANDING

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS

Name of Faculty

CHERYL C. BATISTEL

Department Head

Recommending Approval:

GLENN G. PAJARI

Dean/Director

Approved:

ROTACIO S. GRAVOSO

Vice President

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW (IPCR)

I, BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS, a faculty member of the DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES, commit to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following accomplishments in accordance with the indicated measures for the period January-June. 2024.

CHERYL C. BATISTEL

Head, DBS
Date: July 22, 2020

			Actual		Rat	ing		
Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Targets	Accom- plishments	Q1	E2	E2 T3		Remarks
DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES								
DBS MFO 1: Higher Education Services								
PI 1: No. of undergraduate students served as thesis adviser/SRC chairman or member	Serve as thesis adviser or SRC chairman/mer	1	2	5	5	5	5	Unabia, Lou and Puzon, Cathlyn
DBS MFO 2: Advanced Education Services								
PI 1: FTE: Graduate courses	Teach graduate courses	2	3.5	5	5	5	5	BOTN215 lec, BOTN217 lec and lab
PI 2: No. of new course syllabi developed	Develop new course syllabi for the MS Biology	1	1	5	5	5	5	BOTN206
PI 3: No. course syllabi revised	Update the existing course syllabi in Botn 122	1	1	5	5	5	5	BOTN215
PI 4: No. of graduate students served as major adviser/GAC Chairman/Member	Serve as thesis adviser or GAC member	1	3	5	5	5	5	Dacullo, Roque; Rivera, Fatima; Mistola, Charisse
DBS MFO 3: Research services								
PI 1: Amount of research funds/resources	obtained	50, 000	1M	5	5	5	5	Internationalization project
Pl 2: No. of project reports prepared and s	Submit quarterly, midyear and year-end repor	4	6	5	5	5	5	
PI 3: No. of scientific articles reviewed	Review papers submitted to ATR, SHJ and other journals	1	1	3	3	3	3	
PI 4: No. of research projects /studies cond		1	1.00	5	5	5	5	
PI 5: No. of articles submitted for publication	Write research article for publication	1	1	5	5	5	5	Assessment of Air Quality in Tacloban using Lichens as Bioindicators
DBS MFO 4: Extension services				5	5	5	5	
PI 1: No. of clients seeking expert services as subject matter specialist (plant	Provide plant identification services	3	8	5	5	5	5	
OFFICE OF THE VICE PRESIDENT FOR INSTR	RUCTION							
OVPAA MFO 1. Higher Education Services						_	= 0.5	
Pl 1: Total FTE monitored	Monitors teaching loads of faculty	10,000	11656.62	5	5	5	5.00	

PI 2: Number of curriculum proposals	Spearheads in the review and approval of	2	5	5	5	5	5.00	
reviewed as Chair of Curriculum	curriculum proposals							from 0542 to 0404
PI 3: Percentage increase in number of	Provide guidance in implementing strategies	2%	6.94%	5	5	5	5.00	from 8513 to 9104
undergraduate students enrolled	to increase in enrolment espeically in less							
PI 4: Number of OBE syllabi submitted to	Monitor the OIMD in the faculty's submission	400	736	5	5	5	5.00	
OIMD at the start of the semester	of course syllabi at the beginning of every	100	/30	0	5	5	5.00	
	semester							
OVPAA MFO 2. Student Management Service								from 223 to 246 (BSMATH,
PI 1: Percentage increase of students	Provide guidance in implementing strategies	1%	10.31%	5	5	5	5.00	BSPhys, MAgDev)
enrolled in less subscribed degree	to increase in enrolment especially in less	170	10.0170	Ü		·	0.00	DOFTIYS, WAGDEV)
programs	subscribed programs Act or facilitate resolution of academic							
PI 2: Number of issues/concerns on		3	15	5	5	5	5.00	
enrolment, grade submission etc. managed/facilitated	concerns	J	10	J	3	J	0.00	
PI 3: Number of topnotchers in licensure	Monitor colleges in their delivery of			_	_	-	5.00	
exams	instruction to ensure high % passing in	2	4	5	5	5	5.00	
	licensure examinations							
PI 4: Number of undergraduate students	Provide guidance to academic departments	100	325	5	5	5	5.00	
awarded with latin honors	to implementing strategies that ensure							
	improved academic performance of students							
PI5: Percentage passing of licensure first-		60.60%	90.34%					
time takers								
MFO 5. Support to Operations (STO)								
OVPAA MFO 1. Faculty Development Service	ces							
PI 1: Number of faculty pursuing	Implement strategies to effectively implement		100	-	_	_	5.00	50 MS, 112 PhD
advanced degrees monitored	faculty development program as Chair of the	27	162	5	5	5	5.00	
	VSFC-AS							1.10 10 71 7
PI 2: Number of faculty availing of	Implement strategies to effectively implement	4.5		5	5	5	5	4 MS, 10 PhD
scholarships within the period, both	faculty development program as Chair of the	10	14					
internally and externally-funded	VSFC-AS							
PI 3: Number of faculty sent for trainings,	Implement strategies to enhance the	33	321	5	5	5		
seminars, conferences, etc.	competence of faculty members, as Chair of						5.00	
	VSFC-AS							
OVPAA MFO 2. Faculty Recruitment/Hiring	Services						= 05	
PI 1: Number of faculty recruited/hired	Implement strategies to effectively recruit the	5					5.00	
aligned with ISO standards	best teaching applicants as Chairman of the APB		14 (regular only)	5	5	5		
	IAPD							
	AFB							

PI 1: Percentage of faculty rated by students with at least Very Satisfactory rating in 50% of the subjects evaluated	Provide guidance and monitoring to academic departments to ensure faculty members are effectively delivering instruction	50%	88%	5	5	5	5.00	
PI 3: Number of faculty provided with mentoring and monitoring services by supervisors	Provide guidance and monitoring to academic departments to ensure faculty members are effectively delivering instruction	5	14.00	5	5	5	5.00	
PI 2: Number of faculty reclassified thru JC 03 s. 2023	Serve as chairman of the Institutional Evaluation Committee (IEC)	200	237	5	5	5	5.00	
OVPAA MFO 7. Distance Education Service	es							
PI 2: Percentage increase in the number of distance education enrolled	Guide the OPO in implementing strategies to increase enrolment of distance education	1%	16.25%	5	5	5	5.00	from 80 to 93
OVPAA MFO 9. Development Broadcasting	& Communication Services							
PI 1: Number of technical services rendered	Guide the DYDC in improving its technical services provided to clientele	120	1,061,527	5	5	5	5.00	
PI 2: Number of radio programs developed and aired	Guide the DYDC in developing and airing more programs	10	28	5	5	5	5.00	
UMFO 6. General Administration and Suppo	rt Services (GASS)							
OVPAA MFO 1. Administrative and Facilita								Note: All accomplishments during my VPAA Term
PI 1: Number of colleges, departments & support units supervised, monitored & coordinated	Provide guidance and monitoring services to academic and support units in the implementation of academic programs	60	60	3	3	3	3.00	

	PI 2: Number of management meetings, workshops conducted	Preside meetings and workshops needed to improve delivery of instruction	13	22	5	5	5	5.00	
	PI 3: Number of documents acted	Act/approve routinary documents needing VPAA action	1000	5,237	5	5	5	5.00	
	PI 4: Number of university committees/ boards/council chaired & coordinated	Serve as Chairman of the APB, VSFC-AS, UCC, and other committees	3	5	5	5	5	5.00	
	PI 5: No. of newly faculty oriented with university policies and procedures	Ensure faculty are oriented and reoriented on the university rules and policies	90	150.00	5	5	5	5.00	
٥٧	PAA MFO 2. Frontline Services								
	OTHER OUTPUTS					-			
	PI 1. Efficient and customer-friendly frontline service by ensuring all complaints, if any, are properly addresses/resolved	Ensure frontline services provided by the OVPAA and its component colleges are commendable	0 complaint left unresolved	0 complaint left unresolved	5	5	5	5.0	
Α.	Proposed guidelines for faculty industry		2 proposals	5 (3 approved by the BOR, 2 forwarded to appropriate offices under the new administration for possible consideration)	5	5	5	5.0	
B.	Served as Chairman of the Search for Outsatnding during the VSU Centennial Anniversarry evaluated 76 applicants			68 outstanding Viscans,	5	5	5	5.0	

C. Served as Chairman of the centennial Professional Lectrure Seminar (5 5 professional speakers) 5 5.0 Lectureres 191.00 Total Over-all Rating 4.90 Average Rating **OUTSTANDING** Adjectival Rating Average Rating (Total overall rating divided by 4) Punctuality FINAL RATING ADJECTIVAL RATING

b 6 1 6

Evaluated and Rated by:

Recommending Approval:

CHERYL C. BATISTEL

Department Head, DBS
Date: July 27, Word

GLENN G. PAJARES
Dean, CAS
Date: Sept. 27,774

ROTACIO S. GRAVOSO

APPROVED:

Vice Presidentr for Academic

Date: Sept. 27, 279

Comments & Recommendation for Development Purpose:

Submit receased proposal to possible punding anendes. I up up the good work.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING & COACHING JOURNAL

/	1st	Q
/	2 nd	A
	3 rd	R
	4th	E
	7611	R

Name of Office:	DBS
-----------------	-----

Head of Office: CHERYL C. BATISTEL

Number of Personnel: 17

Activity		N	IECHANISM		
Activity Monitoring	Meetir	ng	D.C.	Others (Die enerif.)	Remarks
wonitoring	One-on-One	Group	Memo	Others (Pls. specify)	
Monitoring			Ary philosophy and a second		
Coaching		/		Everyone is reminded to submit TOS, grades and DTR on time	
	·	p			

Note: Please indicate the date in the appropriate box when the monitoring was conducted.

Conducted by:

CHERYL C. BATISTEL
Immediate Supervisor

Noted by:

GLENN/G. PAJARES

Next Higher Supervisor

TRACKING TOOL FOR MONITORING TARGETS

Major Final						STATUS		
Output/Performance	TASK	ASSIGNED	DURATION	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	REMARKS
Indicator	I AOK	то	DONATION	Q	Q	Q	Q	KLIVIAKKS
MFO 1 ADVANCED EDU	ICATION SEDVICES	(200/)						
PI 3:	Total FTE	(20%)	T	1	1	Г		T
	monitored			,	,			
MFO 2 HIGHER EDUCA		1%)						
PI 4:	Percentage of	All faculty		1				
	students enrolled							
PI 5:	on schedule Percentage	All foculty		1	1			
110.	increase in	All faculty		/	'			
	enrolment							
PI 6:	Number of	All faculty		1	1			
	programs	All lacuity		,	1			
	accredited		1					
PI 10:	Total FTE	All DBS		1	1			
	monitored *	and						
		affiliate						
		faculty						
PI 11:	Number of new	All faculty		1	1			
	revised curricular							
	proposals							
	submitted							
PI 12:	Number of new	All faculty		1				
	revised proposal							
	approved by UCC							
PI 17:	Percentage of	All faculty			/			
	faculty rated at							
	least VS in the							
	Teaching							
	Performance							
	Evaluation by							
DI 40.	Students (TPES)	All family			1			
PI 18:	Percentage of faculty rated at	All faculty			/			
	least VS by							
	Supervisor							
PI 2:	Number of	EKLS		1				
112.	research outputs	LINES		,				
	completed							
PI 4:	Number of	RJPD,		1				
	research	CCB,		,				
	proposals	EKLS,						
	submitted	FOP,						
		HVO,						
		RMM,						
		DNM,						
		EOB						
PI 1:	Number of active	DMGV,		1	1			
	partnerships with	RJPD,						

LGUs, industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and other stakeholders as a result of extension activities * (MOUs/MOAs) PI 2: Number of trainees weighted by the length of training * PI 3: Number of extension sAC PI 4: Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course/s as	
SMEs, and other stakeholders as a result of extension activities * (MOUs/MOAs) PI 2: Number of trainees weighted by the length of training * PI 3: Number of extension SAC PI 4: Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course/s as	
stakeholders as a result of extension activities * (MOUs/MOAs) PI 2: Number of trainees weighted by the length of training * PI 3: Number of extension programs and projects PI 4: Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course/s as	
result of extension activities * (MOUs/MOAs) PI 2: Number of trainees weighted by the length of training * PI 3: Number of extension SAC programs and projects PI 4: Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course/s as	
result of extension activities * (MOUs/MOAs) PI 2: Number of trainees weighted by the length of training * PI 3: Number of extension SAC programs and projects PI 4: Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course/s as	
activities * (MOUs/MOAs) PI 2: Number of trainees weighted by the length of training * PI 3: Number of extension programs and projects PI 4: Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course/s as	
PI 2: Number of trainees weighted by the length of training * PI 3: Number of extension programs and projects PI 4: Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course/s as	
PI 2: Number of trainees weighted by the length of training * / / PI 3: Number of extension programs and projects Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course/s as	
trainees weighted by the length of training * PI 3: Number of extension programs and projects PI 4: Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course/s as trainees weighted by the length of training by the length of training course/s as	
by the length of training * PI 3: Number of extension programs and projects PI 4: Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course/s as DMGV, / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	
PI 3: Number of programs and projects PI 4: Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course/s as PI 4: training * DMGV, SAC / / / / / / / / / / / / /	
PI 3: Number of extension SAC	
extension programs and projects PI 4: Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course/s as	
PI 4: Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course/s as	
PI 4: Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course/s as	
PI 4: Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course/s as	
PI 4: Percentage of beneficiaries who rated the training course/s as	
beneficiaries who rated the training course/s as	
rated the training course/s as	
course/s as	
satisfactory or	
higher in terms of	
quality and	
relevance*	
PI 5: Number of expert 1. Peer reviewers CCB, / /	
services rendered: of journal/book RMM	
2. Review of SAC /	
research and	
extension	
proposal	
3. resource SAC, /	
speaker/person RJPD	
(panelist,	
discussant, judge	
research	
competition	
competition,	
moderator in	
moderator in conferences,	
moderator in conferences, convenor,	
moderator in conferences, convenor, facilitator)	
moderator in conferences, convenor, facilitator) PI 6: Number of DMGV /	
moderator in conferences, convenor, facilitator)	
moderator in conferences, convenor, facilitator) PI 6: Number of extension moderator in conferences, convenor, facilitator) DMGV /	
moderator in conferences, convenor, facilitator) PI 6: Number of DMGV /	
moderator in conferences, convenor, facilitator) PI 6: Number of extension proposals submitted	
moderator in conferences, convenor, facilitator) PI 6: Number of extension proposals submitted PI 8: Number of DMGV, / /	
moderator in conferences, convenor, facilitator) PI 6: Number of extension proposals submitted PI 8: Number of DMGV, / Extension projects AMM	
moderator in conferences, convenor, facilitator) PI 6: Number of extension proposals submitted PI 8: Number of DMGV Fixtension projects implemented Moderator in conferences and proposals and p	
moderator in conferences, convenor, facilitator) PI 6: Number of extension proposals submitted PI 8: Number of Extension projects implemented PI 10: Number of DMGV, / / /	
moderator in conferences, convenor, facilitator) PI 6: Number of extension proposals submitted PI 8: Number of Extension projects implemented PI 10: Number of DMGV, / / PUT AMM PU	
moderator in conferences, convenor, facilitator) PI 6: Number of extension proposals submitted PI 8: Number of Extension projects implemented PI 10: Number of extension RJPD, activities SAC	
moderator in conferences, convenor, facilitator) PI 6: Number of extension proposals submitted PI 8: Number of Extension projects implemented PI 10: Number of extension RJPD, activities conducted	
moderator in conferences, convenor, facilitator) PI 6: Number of extension proposals submitted PI 8: Number of Extension projects implemented PI 10: Number of extension RJPD, activities SAC conducted PI 11: Number of DMGV, / / /	
moderator in conferences, convenor, facilitator) PI 6: Number of extension proposals submitted PI 8: Number of Extension projects implemented PI 10: Number of extension RJPD, activities conducted	
moderator in conferences, convenor, facilitator) PI 6: Number of extension proposals submitted PI 8: Number of Extension projects implemented PI 10: Number of extension RJPD, activities SAC conducted PI 11: Number of DMGV, / / /	
in academic and research	

PI 18:	Additional outputs					
1110.	Number of	AMM,	1	1		
	specimens	HVO,				
	identified	EOB				
	Ity Development Services					
PI 1:	Number of faculty	JGB, JCE,	1	1		
	pursuing	ARRF,				
	advanced	BLAR,				
	research degrees	SAC, EOB				
PI 7:	Number of faculty	AMM,	1	1		
	sent to trainings,	DNM,				
	seminars and	SAC,				
	conferences	HVO,				
		RMM,				
		EKLS,				
		EOB				
OVPI MFO 3. Reg	istration Services					
PI 9:	Percentage of	All faculty	1			
	students enrolled					
	and validated					
	within the					
	registration period					
PI 10:	Number of	All faculty	1			
	students advised					
	during the					
	registration period					
OVPI MFO 4. Curi	icular Program Managem	ent Services				
PI 11:	Number of	All faculty	1	1		
	curricular reviews					
	conducted					
PI 13:	Number of course	All faculty	1	1		
	syllabi and TOs			ŕ		
	reviewed and					
	approved					
PI 14:	Number of OJT	RMM,		1		
1114.	MOAs prepared	DMGV		,		
PI 16:	Number of thesis	CCB,	1	1		
F1 10.	students advised	SAC,	/	,		
	students advised	AMM,				
		DMG,				
		EKLS,				
		FOP,				
		DNM,				
		BLAR,				
		RMM,				
		HVO,				
		EOB,				
		RJD, BSB				
	ADMINISTRATIVE AND S		CES (GASS			
PI 1.	Number of	AMM,	/	/		
	departments/instit	CCB				
	utes/offices					
	supervised					
	Number of	DBS	1	1		
PI 2.	management	Faculty &	, ,	,	1	

	meetings	Staff				
	conducted					
PI 3.	Number of	AMM,	1	1		
	committee	CCB				
	meetings					
	conducted					
PI 4.	Number of	AMM,	1	- /		
	routinary	ССВ				
	documents acted					
PI 5.	Number of	AMM,	1	1		
	requests acted	CCB				
PI 6.	Number of	AMM,		1		
	memoranda	CCB				
	prepared					
PI 9:	Percentage of	All faculty	1	1		
	faculty and staff					
	who submitted a					
	DTR every month					
PI 12:	Monthly		1	1		
	accomplishment					
	report submitted					
	on time					
PI 13:	Number of			1		
	classrooms and					
	Lab rooms					
	constructed and					
	renovated					
PI 14:	Percentage		1	1		
	budget utilization					
	(GAA)					
	Percentage		1	1		
	budget utilization					
	(STF)					

Prepared by:

CHERYL C. BATISTEL Head, DBS

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: Beatriz S. Belonias

Performance Rating: Outstanding

Aim: Publish in peer-reviewed journal

Submit a new research proposal for funding

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:

Date: January 2024 Target Date: December 2024

First Step:

Develop and submit an article for submission to peer-reviewed journal

Result: One paper was submitted to ATR and currently under review

Date: Jan 2024 Target Date: December 2024

Next Step:

Prepare and submit a new research proposal for funding

Outcome:

Final Step/Recommendation:

Prepared by:

CHERYL C. BATISTEL

Immediate Supervisor

Conforme:

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS

Professor 6





VISAYAS STATE UNIVERSITY Visca, Baybay City, Leyte Phone/Fax: +63 053 565 0600 local 1104

Email: odie@vsu.edu.ph Website: www.vsu.edu.ph

TEACHING PERFORMANCE EVALUATION BY STUDENTS SUMMARY OF RATING

First Semester SY 2023-2024

Name of faculty: BELONIAS, BEATRIZ S. Department: Dept. of Biological Science College: College of Arts and Sciences

	Course No. &	Lab/	RATING		% Evaluation Rating
Descriptive Title		Lec	Num.	Adjec.	
Botn 122n	PLANT MORPHOLOGY AND ANATOMY	LEC	5.00	Outstanding	100.0%
BIOL215	ADVANCES IN PLANT GROWTH & DEVELOPMENT	LEC	5.00	Outstanding	100.0%
		Average Rating	5.00	Outstanding	100.00%

Source: Results of Teaching Performance Evaluation by Students filed at ODIE Legend:

Legena: 1.00 – 1.49 Poor (P) 1.50 – 2.49 Fair (F) 2.50 – 3.49 Satisfactory(S) 3.50 – 4.49 Very Satisfactory(VS)

4.50 - 5.00 Outstanding(O)

Prepared by

VANESSA W. NAZAL TPES in-Charge

Date: April 24, 2024

Received by:

BELONIAS, BEATRIZ S.

Name and Signature of Faculty Date: 5/27/24

Distribution of copies: ODIE, College, Department, Faculty

Attested by

MA. RACHELKIM L. AURE

Director, Instruction and Evaluation

Date: April 24, 2024