Annex P

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

| Name of Administrative Staff: | MARILOU L. STA. IGLESIA |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | |

| Particulars (1) | Numerical Rating (2) | Percentage Weight (3) | Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3) |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Numerical Rating per IPCR | 4.55 | 70% | 3.18 |
| 2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments | 4.58 | 30% | 1.37 |
| | TOTAL NUM | ERICAL RATING | 4.55 |

| TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: | 4.55 |
|--|---|
| FINAL NUMERICAL RATING | 4.55 |
| ADJECTIVAL RATING: | Outstanding |
| Prepared by: MARILOU L. STA. IGLESIA Name of Staff | Reviewed by: OTHELLO B. CAPUNO Department/Office Head |
| Decommending Approvals | |

Recommending Approval:

Approved:

THELLO B. CAPUNO

Vice President

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, <u>Marilou L. Sta. Iglesia</u> of the <u>OFFICE OF THE VICE PRESIDENT FOR RESEARCH AND EXTENSION (OVPRE)</u> commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period ______ January to _____ June ___, 2018.

MARILOU L.STA. IGLESIA

Approved:

Inspection Report,

OTHELLO B. CAPUNO

10

Ratee

| | Success Indicators | | Torret | Actual | | Ra | ting | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| MFO and PAPs | Success mulcators | Tasks Assigned | Target | Accomplishment | Q ¹ | E ² | T ³ | A ⁴ | Remarks |
| Research Administration | 100% of administrative | Receives/ records | | | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4.66 | |
| Services | documents approved/acted | appointments of casuals, | | | ľ | l | 1 | | |
| | within one day from receipt | contractuals, _ | 450 | 540 | | i | [| | |
| | | project/study leaders;, | 140 | 190 | | | İ | | |
| | | travel orders, | 250 | 300 | l | | | | |
| | | leave applications, | 50 | 70 | | | | | |
| | | CSRs &DTRs, | 25 | 40 | | | - | | |
| | | leave status, | 15 | 27 | | | | | |
| | | faculty workloads, | 120 | 160 | | | | | |
| | | clearances, | 25 | 40 | | | | | |
| | | reimbursements/liquidation | | | | | | | |
| • | 1 | of cash advances/PRs, RIS, | | | | ĺ | | | |
| | | vouchers; | 80 | 102 | | | | | |
| | 1 | OICship and | 55 | 65 | | | | | |
| | | official communications | 30 | 40 | | | | | |
| | | Prepares appointment of | | | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4.66 | |
| | | casuals/contractuals/Job | | | | | | 1 1 | |
| | | Orders; | 45 | 60 | | | | | |
| | | payrolls, | 30 | 40 | | | | | |
| | | vouchers, | 35 | 45 | | | | | |
| | | RIS, | 15 | 35 | | | | | |
| | | PRs, | 30 | 40 | | | | | |
| | | PJRs, | 10 | 20 | | | | ' | |
| | | Trip tickets, | 10 | 15 | | 1 | | | |
| | | POs, | 4 | 7 | | | ì | | |
| | | Travel documents, | 25 | 40 | | | | | |
| | | OlCship, | 15 | 30 | | | | | |
| | | Application for Leave, | 10 | 25 | | | | | |
| | | Liquidation, | 5 | 10 | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | • | 1 | | | | |

BUR, etc 30 50 Encodes and print official 25 40 4.33 5 communications. Help delivers office 40 60 4.33 4 5 communications/papers to concerned offices/dept./centers/indivi duals. Facilitates preparation for 200 300 5 4.66 accommodation of meals/snacks of visitors specially during meetings. INTERVENING: 1. Assisted/facilitated meals/lunch of farmers during Farmers & Fisherfolks Day. Efficient and customer Zero percent complaint from Officers of the hours Officers of the hours. Officers of 5 4.66 friendly frontline service client served the hours. Total Over-all Rating 4.55

| Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4) | | 4.55 |
|---|----|-------------------|
| Additional Points: | | |
| Punctuality | xx | |
| Approved Additional points (with copy of approval) | XX | |
| FINAL RATING | | 4.55 |
| ADJECTIVAL RATING | | Very Satisfactory |

| Comments & Recon | nmendations for |
|--|-----------------|
| Development Purpo | ose: |
| Very good office he was senter handling voiced | worker |
| The second of the second | work wents * |

| Evaluated by |
|--------------|
|--------------|

Recommending Approval:

Approved by:

Date:_

Date:_

Date:_

- 1 Quality 2 Efficiency 3 Timeliness
- 4 Average

Annex O

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: <u>January – June</u>, <u>2018</u>

Name of Staff: _MARILOU L. STA. IGLESIA_ Position: _Adm. Aide III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

| Scale | Descriptive Rating | Qualitative Description | | | |
|-------|--------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 5 | Outstanding | The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model | | | |
| 4 | Very Satisfactory | The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements | | | |
| 3 | Satisfactory | The performance meets job requirements | | | |
| 2 | Fair | The performance needs some development to meet job requirements. | | | |
| 1 | Poor | The staff fails to meet job requirements | | | |

| | | ı | | | | |
|-----|---|----------|------|------|----------|----|
| Α. | Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors) | <u> </u> | | Scal | <u>e</u> | |
| 1. | Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding. | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 2. | Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time | 5 | (4) | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 3 | Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 4. | Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 5. | Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks | 5 | (4) | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 6. | Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work. | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 7. | Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed. | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 8. | Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients | 5 | 4) | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 9 | Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university | 5 (| 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 10. | Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele | (§) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 11. | Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment | (3) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 12. | Willing to be trained and developed | 5 | Æ) | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | Total Score | 5 | \$? | 12 | : 4. | 58 |

| | dership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by high ervisor) | her | | ļ | Scal | е | |
|-----|--|--------------|-------------------|------------|------|---|---|
| | monstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trude disconfidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors | ust, respect | 5 | (4) | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | sionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and tar ice/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university. | gets of the | 5 | (1) | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| оре | Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients. | | 5 <i>j</i> | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | cepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering quired of his/her unit. | the output | 5 ' | 4, | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| imp | monstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinat proved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assi- eded for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit | * | 5 | ' 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | | Total Score | | | | | |
| | Ave | rage Score | | | | | |

OTHELLO B. CAPUNO Head of Office

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

| Name of Employee: Marilou L. Sta. Iglesia Performance Rating: Outstanding |
|--|
| Aim:To have a smooth and efficient office operations |
| Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance: |
| Date: January 1, 2018 Target Date: June 30, 2018 |
| First Step: |
| 1. To come up with a systematic recording of documents. |
| 2. To attend a training on data management system. |
| Result: |
| Systematic recording of documents achieved. |
| |
| Date: July 1, 2018 Target Date: December 31, 2018 |
| Next Step: |
| 1. Application of data based management system. |
| Outcome: Efficient office operations. |
| Final Step/Recommendation: |
| Renew services but with close guidance. |
| Prepared by: OTHELLO B. CAPUNO Unit Head |
| Conforme: MARILOU E STA. IGLESIA Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff |