## Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

	Rating Period	: Januar	y-June	2017				
Name of Staff:	Erneste A.	Gonzaga,	Jr.	Position:	Admin.	Aide	IA	

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	<b>Descriptive Rating</b>	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A.	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)			Scal	е	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	(3)	)2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	)2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	(3)	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	(4)	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	(3)	)2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Willing to be trained and developed	5.	4	(3)	2	1
	Total Score		1	42	1	
	Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)			Scale	9	
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the	5	4	3	2	1

	500	
12		-
4	- 6	
- 5	2	-
	-	2

	Average Score		1	3	5	1
	Total Score					NU
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
	office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.					

Overall recommendation : Satisfactory (S)

· Punctual
· Roes work well but
needs pulling MARIA AURORA TERESITA W. TABADA
To be involved in Name of Head

Ther 18ND4 projects factuaties
· Still framed principly
to BUDANI

# COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

ERNESTO A. GONZAGA, JR.

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight 70% (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	3.89	3.89 x 70%	2.72
Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	3.50	3.50 x 30%	1.05
	TOTAL NUM	MERICAL RATING	3.77

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

3.77

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

0.1

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

3.87

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

**VERY SATISFACTORY** 

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

ERNESTÓ A. GONZAGA, JR.

Name of Staff

MARIA AURORA T.W. TABADA

Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOI

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN

President

#### Visayas State University

#### OFFICE OF THE VICE PRESIDENT FOR RESEARCH AND EXTENSION

Visca, Baybay City, Leyte

### INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW (IPCR)

I, ERNESTO A. GONZAGA, Jr, Administrative Aide	IV, commits to deliver and agree to be	rated on the attainment of the	following accomplishments in acco	ordance with the indicated me	asures for
the period January to June, 2017.					

ERNESTO A. GONZAGA,JR.

Head of Unit

Date: 12/12/17 Date: Rating MFO Timeliness Efficiency Average Quality Success Indicator (SI) MEO No. Descrip-Task Assigned Remark Target Actual Accomplishment tion UMFO 6. General Administration and Support Services (GASS) OVPRE MFO 1. Administrative and Facilitative Services PI 1: Number of government Types vouchers, PRs, RIS, TOs, trip tickets, forms/documents typed, prepared and DTR's, leave application, permits, job request and 150 178 4.00 4.00 4.00 facilitated for approval. other official documents. Preparation of letters & correspondence, PI 2: Number of letters and official communications prepared, recorded and recording of incoming and outgoing 30 40 3.00 4.00 4.00 3.67 sent to different departments/centers and communications and facilitate approval of such. clienteles. PI 3: Number of MOUs, contracts, Files official forms and documents. 25 30 resolutions and official documents filed 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 between BIDANI, SUCs and LGUs. PI 4: Number of trainings facilitated. Facilitated trainings conducted at SUCs & LGUs 2 4.00 5.00 4.00 4.33 PI 5: Number of handouts, forms, Reproduces and collates handouts, forms, brochures, manuals and other documents brochures, manuals and other documents 3000 6000 4.00 5.00 4.00 4.33 reproduced and collated. including IEC materials. OVPI MFO 2. Frontline Services Zero percent complaint from clients served PI 1. Efficient and customer-friendly 90% 100% 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 Best practices/new initiatives

Total Over-all Rating			22.00	0 25.00 23.00 23.33
Average Rating	The second secon		3.67	4.17 3.83 3.89
Adjectival Rating				Very Satisfactory
Received by:	Calibrated by:	Recommend	ing Approval:	Approved:
Received by:	Calibrated by:  REMBERTO A. PATINDOL	Recommendi BEATRIZ S.	Kys.	Approved: fullyful DGARDO E. TULIN, Pr
And of		BEATRIZ S.	Kys.	Julyhil