COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Rating Period:

JANUARY TO JUNE 2018

Name of Administrative Staff:

MA. EPIFANIA G. TUDTUD

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.91	70%	3.437
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of her contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.75	30%	1.425
1,	4.86		

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.86

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.86

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Outstanding

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

MA. EPIFANIA G. TUDTUD

Name of Staff

TERESITA L. QUINAÑOLA

Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

LOURDES B. CANO

Director for Admin & HRD

Approved:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

VP for Admin & Finance

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, Ma. Epifania G. Tudtud, of the Personnel Records and Performance Evaluation Office commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period <u>January 1, 2018 to June 30, 2018</u>.

Approved:

MA. EPIFANIA G. TUDTUD

Ratee

TERESITA L. QUINANOLA

Head of Unit

	Natec								
MFO & PAPs	Success Indicator Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishment	1		ating T ³	_ 4	Remarks	
		La contraction of the contractio	L,	Accomplishment	Q¹	E ²	L.	A ⁴	
PRPEO MFO 1: Administrative and	d Support Services Management			_					
Efficient & customer friendly frontline service	Zero percent complaint from clients served	Attends to queries and consultation on personnel matters	No complaints	No complaints	5	5	5	5.00	
	No. of SALNs reviewed, summarized and submitted to CSC and Ombudsman	Reviews SALNs and submit report	664	657	5	5	5	5.00	
	No. of Service Records	Prepares service record and certificates of employment	120 certifications, 200 service records	200 certifications, 368 service records	5	5	5	5.00	
PRPEO MFO 2: Efficient and effec	tive talent sourcing and screening using	approved criteria							
	No. of comparative assessment prepared	Prepares comparative assessment for selection promotion of administrative staff	6	7	5	4	4	4.33	
	No. of Manpower Complement Prepared	Prepares manpower complement	1	1	5	5	5	5.00	
	Nos. of System of Ranking Positions Prepared	Prepares Systems of Ranking positions	1	1	5	5	5	5.00	
PRPEO MFO 7: Implementation o	of approved personnel benefits								
Percentage of DBM/CSC/GSIS/BOR Rules and Policies on Employees	No. of payrolls	Reviews and countersigns payroll of regular employees	879 Payrolls	1,013 Payrolls	5	5	5	5.00	e \$-
Compensation and Benefits implemented	No. of payrolls	Prepare payrolls for Casual/Contractual employees	12 payrolls with 15 pages	12 payrolls with 15 pages	5	5	5	5.00	-
	No. of payslips	Print payslips for casual/contractual employees	360 payslips	412 payslips	5	5	4	4.67	
,	No. of payrolls	Prepare payrolls for Part-time Instructors	90	106	5	5	5	5.00	
	No. of Notice of Salary Adjustments Prepared	Prepares Notice of Salary Adjustments	670	734	5	5	5	5.00	* *
	No. of Notice of Step Increment	Prepares Notice of Step Increment	30	49	5	5	4	4.67	

								_	
A450 C DAD-	Success Indicator	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual	Rating				Remarks
MFO & PAPs				Accomplishment	Q¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	
PRPEO MFO 8: Compliance to ISO	9001:2015 documentation requiremen	ts							
	Percentage of existing work instructions revised, finalized and fully implemented	Revises, finalizes and implements office work instructions	100% of office work instructions revised, finalized and implemented	100% implemented	5	5	5	5.00	
PRPEO MFO 9: Percentage compl	iance to 5S on office and documents ma	anagement							
	Percentage implementation of 5S	Implement 5S in the office	100% 5S compliant as to Office set-up	100% 5S compliant as t Office set-up	to 5	5	5	5.00	
PRPEO MF@10: Computer based	HR records management system maint	ained and updated	N 19 Camping Car of Camping		7,	54	* -		
	Percentage updating of Personnel Information System for service records	Updates Service Record System	100% PIS updated prior to issuance of Service Record	100% PIS updated prio to issuance of Service Record	r 5	5	5	5.00	
Total Over-all Rating								73.67	
	•	Average Rating :		4.91	Comment	s & Reco	mmenda	tions for	
MA. EPIFANIA G. TUDTUD		Additional Points:			Developm To at:	-		aining an	d'accomt
		Punctuality			more ass				
		Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)			familiari PRPEO.	ze diffe			
		FINAL RATING		4.91					
		ADJECTIVAL RATING	•	Outstanding					
Evaluated	& Rated by:	Recommending Approval:	Approve	d by:					
Z/L	CHINANOI A	LOURDES B. CANO	DEMARK	TOX PATINDOL	-				

		Information System for service records		to issuance of Service Record	to issuance of Service Record							
otal Over-all Rating									73.67			
			Average Rating :		4.91	Comment	s & Reco	ommend:	ations for			
MA. EPIFANIA G. TUDTUD			Additional Points:			Development Purposes: To attend relevant training and accept						
			Punctuality			more assignments to be able to hone/						
			Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)				pects of u					
			FINAL RATING		4.91	Ū						
			ADJECTIVAL RATING		Outstanding							
Ev	valuated &	Rated by:	Recommending Approval:	Approve	d by:							
TERESITA L. QUIÑANOLA Head, PRPEO		QUIÑĂNOLA	LOURDES B. CANO Director for Admin & HRD	REMBERTO A. PATINDOL Vice President for Admin & Finance						ia F.		
Da	ate:		Date:	Date:								
Legend: 1 -	- Quality	2 - Efficiency 3- Timeliness	4 - Average									

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness

dministrative Staff

Rating Period: JANUARY TO JUNE 2018

Name of Staff: MA. EPIFANIA G. TUDTUD

Position: Administrative Officer V

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description			
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model			
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements			
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements			
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.			
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements			

Α. (Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		5	Scal	е	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	(<u>5</u>)	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	(5)	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	.1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting coemployees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	(5)	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	(5)	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	(5)	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	(3)	4	3	2	1.
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	(5)	4	3	2	1
	Total Score	5	7/	12	= 4	4.7

Overall recommendation	:		t e	
•				
• •				
		_		
		•		

Head of Office

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: MA. EPIFANIA G. T Performance Rating: Outstanding	<u>'UDTUD</u>
Aim:	
Proposed Interventions to Improve Perform	ance: Attend relevant trainings
	t Date:
First Step:	
Result:	
resurt.	
Date: Target	Date:
Next Step:	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Outcome:	
Final Step/Recommendation:	
Conforme:	Prepared by: TERESITA L. QUIÑANOLA Unit Head

Ratee – AO V