Annex P

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

SANDRA C. TIU

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight 70% (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
3. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.93	4.93 x 70%	3.45
4. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.92	1.48	
	TOTAL NUM	IERICAL RATING	4.93

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.92

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

0.00

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.93

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

OUTSTANDING

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

SANDRA C. TIU

Administrative Assistant III

ERLINDAS. ESGUERRA

Head, Accounting Office

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULII

President A

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, Sandra C. Tiu, of the Accounting Office commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated

measures for the period July 1 to Dec. 31, 2016

SANDRA & TIU

Approved:

ERLINDA S. ESGUERRA

Head of Unit

			2016	Percentage of	Details of	Rating			Remarks	
MFO & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Accomplishments	Accomplishment	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	Kemarks
				July- Dec. 2016						
Bookkeeping	No. of entries encoded to BAOM and	Encodes & records Check Disb. Journal and JEV			encoded and					
Services	recorded error free	for fund 161, 101trust, Cebu and RERC	4,000	117%	recorded 4,701	5	5	5	5.00	
					entries		-	_		
	No. of transactions posted error free	Posts transactions to SL and GL for fund 161	4 200	1100/	posted 5,020	_	-/	_	- 00	
		for the main campus.	4,200	119%	transaction to SL and GL	5	5	5	5.00	
	No. of transactions posted error free	Consolidates all transactions of the branch			1	1				
		campuses and post to SL and GL			consolidated 115					
			100	115%	transactions of branch campuses	5	5	5	5.00	
	No. of entries posted and computed	Posts for Property, Plant, and Equipt. and			posted 1,032 PPE		-			
	error free	computes quarterly depreciation expenses	900	114%	posted 1,0321112	5	5	5	5.00	
	No. of vouchers, RIS and PR's prepared	Prepares vouchers, RIS and PR's with			prepared 9					
	, , ,	supporting documents needed for the office	8	112%	vouchers, RIS and	5	5	5	5.00	
					PR's					
	No. of journals prepared within the	Prepares journals and JEV for fund 101trust,			prepared 143					
	mandated time	VSU Hospital, 101t Cebu, and RERC	120	119%	journals	5	5	5	5.00	
	No. of entries consolidated error free	Consolidares CKDJ for fund101, 101T,VSU			consolidated 4,300					
		ospital, 101T Cebu and AREC	3,900	110%	entries	5	5	5	5.00	
		Prepares Trial Balance for fund 161 time	6	100%	prepared 6 trial	5	5	5	5.00	
	mandated time			10070	balance				5.00	
	No. of schedules of A/R prepared within	Prepares schedules of A/R	2	1000/	prepared 2	_	-		4.67	
	the mandated time		2	100%	schedules of A/R	5	5	4	4.67	
	No. of financial reports prepared within	Prepares financial reports for submission to			prepared 10					
	the mandated time	COA, DBM and GAS	8	125%	financial reports	5	5	4	4.67	
Total Over-all			1			50	50	48	49	
Rating		1								

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by # of		4.93
Additional Points:		
Punctuality		
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)		
FINAL RATING		4.93
ADJECTIVAL RATING		

Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:

kecel	red by:
Pla	nning Office

Calibrated by:

R. A. PATIKED

PMT

Date: _____

Recommending Approval:

R. A. PATINDOL

Vice President

Date: ____

Approved:

Date:

Date: ____

1 - quality

2 - efficiency

3 - timeliness

4 - average

Annex O

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: July 1-December. 31, 2016
Name of Staff: Sandra S. Tiu Position: Administrative Assistant III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	g Qualitative Description				
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model				
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements				
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements				
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.				
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements				

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)				Scale						
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1				
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1				
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1				
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1				
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1				
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1				
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1				
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1				
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1				
10	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1				

11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score					
	Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		(Scale	е	
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score			59	-	
	Average Score			4.92	!	

Overall recommendation	:	

ERLINDA'S. ESGUERRA Name of Head