COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING **ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF**

Name of Administrative Staff:

MARIO C. BANTUGAN

	Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.56	70%	3.192
2	Supervisor/Head's Assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishment	4.58	30%	1.3749
	·	4.5669		

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING:

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Prepared by:

Adm. Aide III

4.5669

4.5669

4.5669

VS

Reviewed by:

Vice Pres. for Adm. & Finance

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, MARIO C. BANTUGAN of the GENERAL SERVICES DIVISION_commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period: JANUARY TO JUNE 2018

Approved:

ITUGAN MARIO LI

-Director, G&D

			TARGET	Actual	Rating			Remarks	
MFO & Performance Indicators	Program/Activities/Projects	Tasks Assigned	TARGET	Accomplish ment	Q¹	E²	T³	A ⁴	Remarks
MFO1-Janitorial Services	PI 1.1 Cleaned and maintained	Cleaning of Office Comfort Room and surrounding	2	3	5	4	4	4.333	3 acted
MFO 2 - Administrative	PI 1.2 Administrative documents, approved/acted within on day from receive	Prepare Payrolls, Vouchers, PR's, RIS, Appointments JO's Application for Leave, UBR, OBR, Trip Tickets, Cash Advance, IPCR, OPCR	130	150	5	4	4	4.333	150 served
Services	PI 1.3 Messengerial services	Recording & Forward and foolow-up of documents: Appointments, Payrolls, RIS, Vouchers, Project reports electricbills, per diems	135	150	5	5	5	5	150 served
Total Over-all Rating								13.67	/
Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)			4.56	Comments & Recommendations					
Additional Points: for Development Purpose:					Purpose:				
Punctuality:				Afterd Trainings and					
Approved Additional point (with copy of approval)				Afterd Trainings and Seminars					
FINAL RATING			4.56		•				
ADJECTIVAL RATING				VS					

Evaluated & Rated by:

Ratee

Recommending Approval:

ILLO VALVENZONA

Approvedby:

REMBERTS A PATINDOL VICE PRES. FOR ADM.

Annex O

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period:

January to June 2018

Name of Staff:	MARIO	C. BANT	UGAN
----------------	-------	---------	------

Position:

Adm. Aide- III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	g Qualitative Description							
5	Outstanding The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role								
4	Very Satisfactory The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements								
3	Satisfactory								
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirem	ents.						
1 Poor The staff fails to meet job requirements									
A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)					Scale				
1	Demonstrates sensitivity to business with the office fulfil	client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting ling and rewarding.	(3)	4	3	2	1		
2	Makes self-available to clier	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time				2	1		
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by			4	3	2	1		
4	the prescribed time.	is his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within	(5)	4	3	2	1		
5	employees who fail to perfo	nelp attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-	5 (5)	4	3	2	1		
6	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.			4	3	2	1		
7	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.			4	3	2	1		
8	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients			4	3	2	1		
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university			4	3	2	1		
10	of which results as a best p	ng lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs actice that further increase effectiveness of the office or	5	4	3	2	1		
11	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment			4	3	2	1		
12	Willing to be trained and de	veloped	(3)	4	3	2	1		
		Total Score	=	25					
B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor					Scale				
1	confidence from subordina	expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and tes and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1		
2	office/department aligned to	aw strategic and specific plans and targets of the other than that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1		
3	processes and functions of	f improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1		
4	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.			4	3	2	1		
5		nitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment	5	4	3	2	1		
		Total Score							
		Avaraga Scara		45	 2				
	Overall	Average Score		40	b 5				

Overall

MARIO UILIO VALENZONA
Director, GSD

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: Mario C.	Bantugan		
Performance Rating: Very Satisfa	actory		
	•		
			٠
Aim:	- This plan is because a construction of the c		•
Proposed Interventions to Improve	e Performance:	· .	
Date:	Target Date:		
·* ·			
First Step:			. •
		· .	
Result: Attend Training and semin	nars	•	
The state of the s			
	•		
Date:	Target Date:		
Next Step:			
		•	
Outcomo			
Outcome:	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Final Step/Recommendation:		•	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
The second secon	a seema and a	g	
	Prepared by:	00 d	-615
	r reputed by.	to Hilled	61¢ 12/06/18
	•	MARIO DIVIO VA	LENZONA
		Superviso	or
Conform:		, v .	
~~************************************	-		

MARIO C. BANTUGAN Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff