Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

	Rating Period: _	January-June	2017			
Name of Staff:	Salema B. Gis	ulga	Position:	Sei.	Res.	Specialist

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)			Scal	е	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	(5)	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	(5)	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4) 3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	(3)	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3)2	1
2.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	(4)	3	2	1
	Total Score			19		
B.	Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		9	Scale	9	
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the	5	4	3	2	1

	office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.					Γ
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score				Kl	4
				- 6	. 47	1
*	Average Score	(4	OX	5	<u>v</u>
,	all recommendation : Very Satisfactory		4	OX	5	<u> </u>
,	all recommendation : Very Satisfactory	(4.	OS.	5	V
ks	all recommendation: Very Satisfactory numbedgeable on ev work - BMIS - Set ba La	(4	OS		
ks for	all recommendation: Very Satisfactory nowledgeable on ev work - BMIS Totabala	. TA	4. ABA	OX DA		
ks for	all recommendation: Very Satisfactory numbedgeable on ev work - BMIS - Set ba La	(/ !. TA	4. ABA	OR DA		V

diplomatic noth words in dealing with partners

r has parts cipated in a minter of 1800S projects

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

SALOMA B. GISULGA

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight 70% (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.16	4.16 x 70%	2.91
Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.08	4.08 x 30%	1.22
	TOTAL NUM	ERICAL RATING	4.13

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.13

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

<u>0.1</u>

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.23

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

VERY SATISFACTORY

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

SALOMA B. GISULGA

Name of Staff

MARIA AURORA T.W. TABADA

Department/Office Head

OIL ISDEUT

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOI

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULII

President

Visayas State University OFFICE OF THE VICE PRESIDENT FOR RESEARCH & EXTENSION

Visca, Baybay City, Leyte

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW (IPCR)

I, <u>SALOMA B. GISULGA</u>, of the BIDANI, VSU, Visca, Baybay City, Leyte, commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period <u>January to June 2017.</u>

SALONA B. GISULGA

Ratee

Date:

MARIA AURORA TERESITA W. TABADA

ead of Unit
Date: 12/12/17

						R	ating		
MFO Description	Success /Performance Indicator (S/PI)	Task Assigned	Target	Actual Accom- plish-ment	Quality	Efficiency	Timeliness	Average	Remark
UMFO 4. Extension 5	Services		Depluse to design the second s	The second secon			Bauta yang dan		
MFO 4.1 Advocacy/p	artneship	Conducted advocacy for adoption and re-adoption/ updating BMIS							
	S/PI 1. Number of MOU on BMIS SUCs and LGUs		1	1	4.00	3.00	5.00	4.00	Isabel campus and Macrohon
	S/PI 2. Number of barangay LGUs updating BMIS		150	200	5.00	4.00	5.00		LGUs: Baybay, Ormoc, Bgy. Sta. Cruz of Macrohon, So. Leyte
								4.33	
	S/PI 3. Number of BMIS teams organized & re- organized with executive orders		2	2	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.67	Ormoc, Baybay, Macrohon, Hindang

S/PI 4. Number of SUC's BMIS teams organized & strengthened		1	1	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	Isabel campus and EVSU
S/PI 5. Number of SUC's technical experts coordinated for establishing BMIS at the LGUs		1	1	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.67	UPLB
S/PI 6. Amount of extension money generated from external funding		100,000	178,000	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	Baybay, Macrohon
		1					3.83	
MFO 4.2 BMIS trainings conducted	Conducted BMIS trainings/seminar workshops	***************************************		& and a second	omment was a server and	h.	ar mary many essentially and an all and an a	
S/PI 1. Number of trainings/ seminars/ conferences conducted on BMIS and PNEA		3	4	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.33	BMIS orinetation, data gathering, encoding & Local nutrition planning
S/PI 2. Number of persons trained on BMIS		400	407	4.00	3.00	5.00	4.00	
S/PI 3. Number of person-days trained weighted by length of training		700	712	4.00	3.00	5.00	4.00	
S/PI 4. % of trainees who rated training as satisfactory or better		90	95	5.00	3.00	5.00	4.33	
S/PI 5. % Requests for trainings responded to within 3 days		90	95	5.00	3.00	5.00	4.33	
S/PI 6. Number of City/BMIS established & updated		100	136	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.33	Baybay, Ormoc Inopacan, Hindang of Leyte & Macrohon of Southern Leyte
S/PI 7. Number of barangay LGUs funded for BMIS training		100	136	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.67	
S/PI 8. Number of MNC consultation meetings		1	2	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.33	Abuyog, Mahaplag

4.29

MFO 4.3 I	IEC materia	s prepared and distributed	Prepared and distributed IEC materials						~	
	14.	S/PI 1. Number of IEC materials/ technoguides developed/used		6	10	3.00	5.00	4.00		BMIS, PNEA & Nutripak brochures, slides, hand-outs, BMIS new version
		S/PI 2.Number of IEC materials distributed		500	600	3.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	

		Provided technical						4.00	
MFO 4.4 Technical backstopping activities		backstopping activites thru meetings, on-site coaching, phone calls and emails							
	S/PI 1. Number of persons provided with technical assistance		700	800	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.33	
Total Over-all Rating								4.33	
								20.79	
Average Rating				**************************************				4.16	
Adjectival Rating						WAS ARRESTED TO A COLUMN TO THE A COLUMN TO TH		VS	

T. L. QUIÑANOLA PRPEO Date:	REMBERTO A. PATINDOL Chairman, PMT Date:
-----------------------------	--

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS
Vice Pres. for Instruction
Date:

EDGARDO E. TULIN, Ph.D. President

Date: _