COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

JULY TO DECEMBER 2016

Name of Administrative Staff:

MICHAEL D. DAG-UMAN

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight 70% (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.51, 4.50	4.51 x .70	3.15
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.5	4.5 x .30	1•35
	TOTAL NUM	ERICAL RATING	4.5

TC	TAL	NUMERICAL	RATING:

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

"VS"

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

MICHAEL A. DAG-UMAN

Name of Staff

Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN
President

I, MICHAEL D. DAG-UMAN of the <u>University Library</u> commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period July, to December 2016.

MICHAEL DOAG-UMAN Ratee

Head of Unit

		Success Indicators		2016 Target	Actual		Remarks				
MFO NO.	MFOs/PAPs	Outcoss indicators	Tasks Assigned	2010 Tangot	Accomplishment	Q1	E ²	T ³	A ⁴		
UMFO6 Ge	eneral Administration	on and Support Services (GASS)			_						
LIBMFO 6	Library Services	PI 1 Zero percent complaint from clients served									
		Queries of walk-in clients responded to accurately and efficiently	Frontline Services	0 complaint	0 compliant	5	4	4.5	4.5	45.0	
		PI 1 No. of documents AACCUP doc. Printed	Secretariat work	300	2854	5	4	4.5	4.5		
		PI 2 No. of program served AACCUP doc. Distributed to different departments	Secretariat work	8	21	5	4	5	4.67		
UMFO5 SU	JPPORT TO OPERA	TION (STO)						38			
		PI 2 A. No. of Database maintained (SILMS)	Technical	1	2	5	4.5	4	4.5	121,5	
		PI 2 B . No. of Units Cleaned	Maintenance	1	1	3.5	5	4.5	4.33		
		PI 2 C . No. of Printer Unit maintained	Maintenance	3	5	5	4.5	4.5	4.67	47,6	
		PI 2 D . No. of Computer physical Unit cleaned	Maintenance	3	8	5_	4.5	4.5	4.67	1	
		PI 2 E . No. of Computer Unit Software cleaned	Maintenance	3	8	5	4	4	4.33	h-+ -	
		PI 2 F . No. of document scanned	Technical	500	1060	5	4	5	4.67	122	
		PI 2 G . No of hours spent at the Filipiniana Unit during special duties	Readers	30	60	5	4	4	4.33		

Total Over-all Rating	14.51		
Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)			
Additional Points:			Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:
Punctuality			
Approved Additional points (with copy of approva)		
FINAL RATING	(4.51, 4,6)		
ADJECTIVAL RATING	"VS"		
Received by: Planning Office	DR. REMBERTO A. PATINDOL PMT	DR. BEATTIZ S. BELONIAS Vice President	Approved by: CONTULIN President
Date:	Date:	Date:	Date:

Annex O

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: July to December 2016
Name of Staff: MICHAEL D. DAG-UMAN

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description							
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model							
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements							
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements							
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.							
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements							

A.	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		5	Scale	,	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	(3)	2	1
5.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
8	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4.	3)	2	
9.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	
10	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	

Average Score			Λ	25						
 Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit Total Score	5	4	3	2	1					
 Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit. 	5	4	3	2	1					
 Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients. 	5	4	3	2	,					
Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	-					
 Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors 	5	4	3	2	1					
B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)					Scale					
Total Score										

Overall recommendation						

ANDRELI D. PARDALES
Name of Head

6x5 = .30 7x4 = .28 4x3 = .12 4x3 = .16 4x4 = .16