COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR **ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF**

Name of Administrative Staff:

MA. MELISSA F. MENDOZA

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight 70% (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
3. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.87	4.87 x 70%	3.40
4. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.66	4.66 x 30%	1.39
	TOTAL NUM	IERICAL RATING	4.79

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.79

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

OUTSTANDING

Prepared by:

MARIA A. NUÑEZ

AA IV

Reviewed by:

CORAZON U. NUEVO

Head, Cash Office

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN

President

"Exhibit B"

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

January 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016 I, Ma. Melissa F. Mendoza, of the Cash Division commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period of

MA. MEUSSA F. MEDDOZA Ratee

Approved: CORAZON U. NUEVO
Head of Unit

																2.1 Disbursement services		FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT MFO 2	WII COLLAIS	MEO & BABS
																Maximized utilization of Cash Allocation intended for the university w/ approved processed documents, customer satisfaction and error free.			Success indicators	
Prepared liquidation report for the said Petty Cash Fund and special cash advance		Prepared replenishment for the paid vouchers/payrolls	Bank Cash Book.	Recorded paid vouchers/payrolls to their corresponding	of all funds under MOOE	Disbursed/paid approved vouchers/payrolls below P500.00	Prepared special cash advances.	Prepared PACS for ATM payroll of Job Orders of 101 Trust, igp and arec.	vouchers.	Posted the assigned check number to the payrolls/	Updated/monitored balances of Bank cash book	BOOK	Recorded checks issued to the corresponding Bank Cash	Cebu, AREC, PCC, KR2 jackfruit and RF 161	Generated checks for fund 101T 101 Cebu STE Cebu 101T	Encoded check entries to BAUM			Tasks Assigned	
10	22		500		500		5	220	3,100		7	3,100		3,100		3,100			Target	
10	25		530		530		5	240	3,483		7	3,483		3,483		3,483			Target Accomplish	Actual
104%	113%		106%		106%		100%	109%	112%	1	100%	112%		112%		112%			of Actual Accomplishm	Percentage
5	5		5		5		5	Ŋ	5		5	5		5		ر ن			۵	
4	4		4		5		4.5	CI	4.5		5	5		5	1	O1			т	Rating
5 4.	5 4.		5 4.		Ch		5 4	Ωi	5 4.		5	C		5	+	On I	+	+	-	
4.66	4.66		4.66		5		4.83	υ	4.83		5	5		5		On I			>	
															-				Remarks	

SERVICES & MANAGEMENT MFO								T
Customer Friendly			7 1					
Frontiline Service	No noon Break Policy to entertained clients during theis period	Catered the needs of the clients	100%	100%	100%			
Total Over-all Rating							53.6	4
Average Rating (Total Over-all rating	g divided by 11	4.87	Commen	ts & Recon	nmendation	s for Devel	pment Purp	
Additional Points:								
Punctuality								
Approved additional points(with copy	y of approval)							
FINAL RATING								
ADJECTIVAL RATING								
Received by:	Calibrated by:	Recommending Approval:		Approved b	ov:	00	1 0	
muts of	REMBERTO A. PATINDOL, Phd	Juny				aly	me	
REDEMPTA SORIA	Vice President	REMBERTO A PATINDOL				EDGAR	OO E. TULIN	
Planning Office	PMT Administration and Finance	Vice President					dent de	-
Date:	Date:	Date:				Date	10	
1 - Quality								
2 - Efficiency								
3 - Timeliness								
4 - Average								

Annex O

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: Von - Vone, 2014
Name of Staff: Ma Helissa F. Hendora Position: John Aide

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description				
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model				
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements				
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements				
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.				
1	Poor	he staff fails to meet job requirements				

A	. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)			Sca	le	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	(5)	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	(5)	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	(5)	4	3	2	1
2.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	(5)	4	3	2	1
4.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	(4)	3	2	1
5.		5	(4)	3	2	1
6.		(5)	4	3	2	1
7	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	(4)	3	2	1
8	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	(3)	4	3	2	1
9.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	(5)	4	3	2	1
10		(5)	4	3	2	1

Total Score						
B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)			Scal	е		
 Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors 	5	4	3	2	1	
Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2		
Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2		
 Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit. 	5	4	3	2		
 Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit 	5	4	3	2		
Total Score		'VT	be			
Average Score		4.66				

	Supervising Admin. Officer Name of Head
	CORAZON U. NUEVO
	Onfor
Overall recommendation :	