

OFFICE F THE HEAD OF PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AND REWARDS & RECOGNITION

Visca Baybay City, Leyte 6521-A, Philippines Phone/Fax: 565-0600 local 563-7323 Email Address: preec@vsu.edu.ph Website: www.vsu.edu.ph

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Annex P

Name of Administrative Staff: Carren Mae B. Vilbar

	Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1.	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.84	70%	3.38
2.	Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.92	30%	1.476
		TOTAL NUN	IERICAL RATING	4.856

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:		
FINAL NUMERICAL RATING	4,856	
ADJECTIVAL RATING:	CUTSTANDING	

aw

Prepared by:

CARREN MAE B. VILBAR
Name of Staff

TENNIETE E ANDO

Reviewed by:

Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

HONEY SOFIA V. COLIS OIC-Director, ODHRM

Approved:

✓ DANIEL LESLIE S. TAN

April 210

VP, Administrative and Finance 2/2 2 - 010 ✓





I, Carren Mae B. Vilbar, of the RECRUITMENT, SELECTION, PLACEMENT AND PERSONNEL RECORDS commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period January 1, 2022 - June 30, 2022.

CARREN MAE B. VILBAR
Ratee

Approved:

JENNIFER E. ANDO Head of Unit

Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target (January-December 2022)	Actual			Ra	ting	Remarks	
			Accomplishments	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴		
		_							
	Provides better customer service experience to all clients	95% of clients rated services as very satisfactory or higher	95%	5	5	5	5.00		
PI 2. Number of quality procedures revised/updated and registered at QAC	Provide assistance and inputs in crafting the revision of Quality Procedures.	2 quality procedures revised and registered	2	5	5	5	5.00		
PI 3. Percentage implementation of all administrative and HR processes in accordance with existing approved quality procedures	Carry out all administrative and HR processes in line with existing approved quality procedures	100% processes implemented according to QP	100%	5	5	5	5.00	Zero NC during surveillance audit	
PI 4. Number of Reports submitted to CSC, Ombudsman, DBM, PASUC, CHED, QAC and other regulatory bodies	Provides support in the preparation of reports for submission to CSC and Ombudsman	1 IPCR CY 2019 to CSC 1 SALN CY 2019 to Ombudsman	1 IPCR CY 2019 to CSC 1 SALN CY 2019 to Ombudsman	5	5	5	5.00		
PI 5. Percentage of PRIME-HRM maturity level 3 accreditation evidences under RSP, PM & R&R prepared and submitted for approval	Assists in the gathering and compilation of the required evidences for RSP level 3	75% of required evidences for RSP level 3 prepared and submitted	75% of required evidences for RSP level 3 prepared and submitted	5	5	4	4.67		
	Assists in the gathering and compilation of ISO evidences compliant with existing ODAS/HRM quality procedures	100% ISO compliant evidences	100% ISO compliant evidences readily available	5	5	5	5.00		
	COMPLIANCE AND REPORTING	REQUIREMENTS							
release of information based on VSU FOI Manual	VSU FOI Manual	100% compliant	100% compliant	5	5	4	4.67		
	ING REQUIREMENTS								
	Attends to queries and consultation on personnel matters	Zero percent complaint from clients served	Zero Percent	5	5	5	5.00		
	OPERATIONS D1:2015 ALIGNED DOCUMENTS D 9001:2015 aligned documents and PI 1. Percentage of clients served rated the services received at least very satisfactory or higher PI 2. Number of quality procedures revised/updated and registered at QAC PI 3. Percentage implementation of all administrative and HR processes in accordance with existing approved quality procedures PI 4. Number of Reports submitted to CSC, Ombudsman, DBM, PASUC, CHED, QAC and other regulatory bodies PI 5. Percentage of PRIME-HRM maturity level 3 accreditation evidences under RSP, PM & R&R prepared and submitted for approval PI 6. Percentage of ISO evidences compliant with existing ODAS/HRM quality procedures kept intact and readily available to Auditor M OF INFORMATION (FOI) ALIGNED DI aligned frontline services PI 7. Percentage compliance to release of information based on VSU FOI Manual	OPERATIONS D1:2015 ALIGNED DOCUMENTS D 9001:2015 Aligned documents and compliant processes PI 1. Percentage of clients served rated the services received at least very satisfactory or higher PI 2. Number of quality procedures revised/updated and registered at QAC PI 3. Percentage implementation of all administrative and HR processes in accordance with existing approved quality procedures PI 4. Number of Reports submitted to CSC, Ombudsman, DBM, PASUC, CHED, QAC and other regulatory bodies PI 5. Percentage of PRIME-HRM maturity level 3 accreditation evidences under RSP, PM & R&R prepared and submitted for approval PI 6. Percentage of ISO evidences compliant with existing ODAS/HRM quality procedures kept intact and readily available to Auditor M OF INFORMATION (FOI) ALIGNED COMPLIANCE AND REPORTING DI aligned frontline services PI 7. Percentage compliance to release of information based on VSU FOI Manual GNED COMPLIANCE AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS TA aligned frontline services PI 8. Efficient & customer friendly Attends to queries and	OPERATIONS Di 2015 ALIGNED DOCUMENTS D 9001:2015 aligned documents and compliant processes PI 1. Percentage of clients served rated the services received at least very satisfactory or higher PI 2. Number of quality procedures revised processes PI 3. Percentage implementation of all administrative and HR processes in accordance with existing approved quality procedures PI 4. Number of Reports submitted to CSC, Ombudsman, DBM, PASUC, CHED, QAC and other regulatory bodies PI 5. Percentage of PRIME-HRM maturity level 3 accreditation evidences under RSP, PM & R&R prepared and submitted for approval PI 6. Percentage of ISO evidences compliant with existing QDAS/HRM quality procedures kept intact and readily available to Auditor PI 7. Percentage compliance to release of information based on VSU ISO ISO COMPLIANCE AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS Releases information to clients with existing of provides support in the preparation of the required evidences compliant with existing ODAS/HRM quality procedures PI 7. Percentage compliance to release of information based on VSU ISO Iso COMPLIANCE AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS PI 8. Efficient & customer friendly Attends to queries and complaint from clients PI 8. Efficient & customer friendly Attends to queries and very satisfactory or higher vasitisfactory or	Deenations 71:2015 ALIGNED DOCUMENTS O 9001:2015 aligned documents and compliant processes PI 1. Percentage of clients served at least very satisfactory or higher PI 2. Number of quality procedures revised/updated and registered at QAC PI 3. Percentage implementation of all administrative and HR processes in accordance with existing approved quality procedures in accordance with existing approved quality procedures. PI 4. Number of Reports submitted to CSC, Ombudsman, DBM, PASU, CHED, QAC and other regulatory bodies PI 5. Percentage of PRIME-HRM and registering and compliant or the required evidences under RSP, PM & R&R prepared and submitted for approval evidences for RSP level 3 prepared and submitted for approval evidences for RSP level 3 prepared and submitted for approval evidences for RSP level 3 prepared and submitted to auditor PI 6. Percentage of PRIME-HRM quality procedures kept intact and registering and compliant with existing on DAS/HRM quality procedures kept intact and registering and compliant on flSO evidences compliant with existing ODAS/HRM quality procedures kept intact and registering and compliant on flSO evidences compliant to Auditor PI 6. Percentage of PRIME-HRM evidences compliant to Auditor PI 6. Percentage of PRIME-HRM evidences compliant to NSU processes in accordance with the existing on the prepared and submitted evidences for RSP level 3 prepared and submitted evidences readily available to Auditor PI 6. Percentage compliance to reduce the preparation to clients with approved requests based on VSU procedures kept intact and registered requests based on VSU procedures kept intact and register	Deep Complements of Deep Complements and compliant processes PI 1. Percentage of clients served at least very satisfactory or higher PI 2. Number of quality procedures revised/updated and registered at QAC PI 3. Percentage implementation of all administrative and HR processes in accordance with existing approved quality procedures in accordance with existing approved quality procedures PI 4. Number of Reports submitted to CSC, Ombudsman, DBM, PASUC, CHED, QAC and other regulatory bodies PI 5. Percentage of PRIME-HRM and maturity level 3 accreditation evidences under RSP, PM & R&R prepared and submitted for approval evidences under RSP, PM & R&R prepared and submitted for approval proval procedures (availity procedures the evidences for RSP level 3) PI 6. Percentage of PRIME observables of the required evidences under RSP, PM & R&R prepared and submitted of compliation of the required evidences under RSP, PM & R&R prepared and submitted of compliation of the required evidences under RSP (DNA) Assists in the gathering and compliant with existing on DAS/HRM quality procedures (DNA) Assists in the gathering and compliant with existing on DAS/HRM quality procedures (DNA) Assists in the gathering and compliant with existing on DAS/HRM quality procedures (DNA) Assists in the gathering and compliant with existing on DAS/HRM quality procedures (DNA) Assists in the gathering and compliant with existing on DAS/HRM quality procedures (DNA) Assists in the gathering and compliant with existing on DAS/HRM quality procedures (DNA) Assists in the gathering and compliant with existing on DAS/HRM quality procedures (DNA) Assists in the gathering and compliant with existing on DAS/HRM quality procedures (DNA) Assists in the gathering and compliant with existing on DAS/HRM quality procedures (DNA) Assists in the gathering and compliant with existing on DAS/HRM quality procedures (DNA) Assists in the gathering and compliant with existing on DAS/HRM quality procedures (DNA) Assists in the gathering and compliant with existing o	Deepartions 11:2015 ALIGNED DOCUMENTS 03:001:2015 aligned documents and compliant processes 11:2015 Aligned folionus and compliant processes 11:2015 Aligned folionus and compliant processes 11:2015 Aligned folionus and compliant processes 12:2015 Aligned folionus and compliant processes 12:2015 Aligned formulation and compliant or compliant with existing and compliant with existing and compliant with existing and compliant with existing upality procedures 12:2015 Aligned frontline services 13:2015 Aligned frontline services 14:2015 Aligned frontline services 15:2015 Aligned frontline services 16:2015 Aligned frontline services 17:2015 Aligned frontline services 18:2015 Aligned frontline services 19:2015 Aligned frontline services 19:2015 Aligned frontline services 19:2015 Aligned frontline services 19:2015 Aligned frontline services 10:2015 Aligned frontline services	Derentations 11:2015 ALIGNED DOCUMENTS 2001:2015 alligned documents and compliant processes P1 1. Percentage of clients served rated the services received at least very satisfactory or higher P1 2. Number of quality procedures revised updated and registered at CAC P1 3. Percentage implementation of all administrative and all administrative and HR processes in line with existing approved quality procedures P1 4. Number of Reports submitted to CSC, Ombudsman, DBM, PASUC, CHED, QAC and other regulatory bodies P1 5. Percentage of PRIME-HRM maturity level 3 accreditation evidences under RSP, PM & R&R prepared and submitted for approval evidences for RSP level 3 P1 6. Percentage of PRIME-HRM quality procedures for RSP level 3 P1 6. Percentage of PRIME-HRM quality procedures (approximation of SC and Dombudsman) P1 6. Percentage of PRIME-HRM quality procedures for RSP level 3 P1 7 8. Percentage of PRIME-HRM quality procedures for RSP level 3 P1 8. Percentage of PRIME-HRM quality procedures for RSP level 3 P1 9 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	DERATIONS 71:2015 ALIGNED DOCUMENTS 0 9001:2015 aligned documents and compliant processes P11. Percentrage of clients service of the services received at least very satisfactory or higher P12. Number of quality procedures revised/updated and registered at QAC P13. Percentrage implementation of all administrative and HR processes in line with existing approved quality procedures P14. Number of Reports submitted to CSC, Ombudsman, DBM, PASUC, CHED, QAC and other regulation to CSC and quality procedures P15. Percentrage of PRIME-HRM maturity level 3 accreditation evidences under RSP, PM & R&R properation of the required evidences under RSP, PM & R&R properation of the required evidences under RSP, PM & R&R properation of the required evidences under RSP, PM & R&R properation of RSP level 3 P16. Percentrage of ISO evidences compliant with existing ODAS/HRM quality procedures P17. Percentrage compliance to release of information search of SM COM and and readily available to Auditor Releases information to clients at the description of the required evidences of information based on VSU Pf. Percentrage compliance to release of information based on VSU Pf. Manual Releases information to cultients and provided the compliance to release of information based on VSU Pf. Manual Zero percent complaint from clients Zero Percent 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	

GASSs/PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target (January-December 2022)	Actual			Ra	Remarks	
				Accomplishments	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	
OHRSPPR STO 4: nnovations & new Best Practices Development Service	PI 9. Percentage implementation of RSP and Payroll processes using the HRIS	Aplication of HRIS module on RSP processes	100% RSP	100%	4	5	5	4.67	
IMFO6: General Adr	ninistrative and Support Services (GA	SS)							
/PAF GASS 1: Admir	nistrative and Support Services Manag	gement							
DDAS/HRM GASS 1:	Administrative and Support Services								
OHRSPPR GASS 1: Administrative and Support Services	PI 10. Number of administrative services and financial/ administrative documents acted within time frame	Encodes APB and NAPB request in the items of agenda ready for review and deliberations.	NAPB requests (200) APB requests (600)	NAPB requests (152) APB requests (345)	5	5	4	4.67	
	PI 11. No. of linkages with external agencies maintained	Communicates with external agency on NBC 461 matters	1 Agency (PASUC Zonal Center)	1 Agency (PASUC Zonal Center)	5	5	5	5.00	
	PI 12. No. of council/board/ committee assignments served/functions performed	Serve as secretary for the NBC 461 Committee	1 Committee	1 Committee (NBC 461 Committee)	5	5	5	5.00	
	n Resource Management and Develop PRIME-HRM compliant Recruitment,								
OHRSPPR GASS 7: PRIME-HRM compliant Recruitment, Selection &	PI 4. Percentage of ranking of applicants and recommendation to faculty positions submitted to APB for review and final action by the President	Encodes APB actions in the excerpts of the approved minutes ready for approval and distribution to concerned departments	100% ranking and recommendations for faculty positions	100%	5	5	4	4.67	
Placement	PI 16. Number of appointments processed and Reports of Appointments Issued (RAI) submitted to CSC with zero invalidation and JO contracts reviewed	Assist in the receiving and reviewing of JO contracts for any requirement and format deficiencies	1000 JO contracts	651 JO contracts	5	5	5	5.00	
	n Resource Management and Develop PRIME-HRM compliant Performance								
	PI 20. Number of Cert. of Service Record, Certificate of Employment, Notice of Step Increment (NOSI) and Notice of Salary Adjustment (NOSA) prepared and released	Prepares and releases Service Records and Certificates of Employment. Prepares list of qualifed personnel who are entitiled for Step Increment	250 Cert. of Service Records 200 Cert. of Employment 12 Lists for Step Increment	510 Cert. of Service Record 402 Cert of Employment 6 Lists for Step Increment	5	5	4	4.67	
	PI 21 Percentage of appointments for newly hired employee received and posted	Posts and records appointments for newly hired employee in the Service Card	100% implementation	100%	5	5	4	4.67	
	PI 22 Percentage of Service Cards updated	Updates Service Cards of regular, casual and contractual employees	100% Service Cards updated	100%	5	5	4	4.67	
Total Over-all Rating								4.84	
		Average Rating :	4.84		Commen	ts & Reco	mmendatio	ons for Development Purpose	5
		Additional Points:			7 6				
CA	RREN MAE B. VILBAR	Punctuality Approved Additional points (with copy			To finish hor degree				

GASSs/PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target (January-December 2022)	Actual Accomplishments	Rating Q ¹ E ² T ³ A ⁴	Remarks
		ADJECTIVAL RATING				
Evaluated	& Rated by:	Recommending Approval:	Approved by:			

JENNIFER E. ANDO OIC - Head, OHRSPPR Date:

2 - Efficiency

HONEY SOFIA V. COLIS
OIC- Director , ODHRM
Date: 7 12 1011

4 - Average

DANIEL LESLIE S. TAN < 7 26 - 0 10 Vice President for Admin & Finance

Date:

Legend:

1 - Quality

3- Timeliness

PERFORMANCE MONITORING & COACHING JOURNAL

	0
1st	Q
	U
2 nd	A
 	R
3 rd	Т
	E
4th	R

Name of Office: OHRSPPR

Head of Office: JENNIFER E. ANDO

Number of Personnel: 1

Activity		MECHAN	MSIV			
Activity	Mee	eting	Marra	Others (Pls.	Remarks	
Monitoring	One-on-One	Group	Memo	specify)		
Monitoring						
Coaching		teo. 89 1012022 (3rd onlym Medung)			Ronard of dution 2 responsibilities relatione of office target (open) of otherspore	

Note: Please indicate the date in the appropriate box when the monitoring was conducted.

Conducted by:

Noted by:

JENNIFER E. ANDO Immediate Supervisor

HONEY SOFIA V. COLIS

Next Higher Supervisor

ple inter



OFFIC THE HEAD OF PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AND REWARDS & RECOGNITION

Visca Baybay City, Leyte 6521-A, Philippines Phone/Fax: 565-0600 local 563-7323 Email Address: preeo@vsu.edu.ph Website: www.vsu.edu.ph

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: <u>January- July 2022</u> Name of Staff: <u>Carren Mae B. Vilbar</u>

Position: Administrative Aide IV

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. C	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		9	Scale	Э	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	(5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	(5	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	(5)	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	(5)	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	(5)	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	(5)	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	(5)	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	(5)	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	6	4	3	2	1

	Total Score					
	eadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher upervisor)		5	Scale	Э	
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score	50	7			
	Average Score		4.9	2		

Overall recommendation

To attend

CSC related

Trainings

6n

RSP

JENNIFER E. ANDO
Printed Name and Signature
Head of Office

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: Performance Rating:
Aim:
Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:
Date: Target Date:
First Step:
Regular monitoring & updation in the RSP process
Result: Mastry of Rep processes
Date: Target Date:
Next Step:
Offend various CSC and offer HR related training
Outcome: Highly Competent in the RSP processes
Final Step/Recommendation: To finish her degree
Prepared by: $7/n/22$

JENNIFER E. ADO
Unit Head

Conforme:

CARREN MAE B. VILBAR
Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff

All 7/11/22