#### Annex P

# COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

**JEREMY S. CAINTIC** 

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating	Percentage Weight	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
Numerical rating per IPCR	3.67	70%	2.57
Supervisors/Head's assessment of His contribution towards Attainment of office accomplishments	4.33	30%	1.30
	TOTAL NUME	RICAL RATING	3.87

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

3.87

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERIAL RATING:

3.87

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

VS

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

CELSO GUMAOD

Head - Security Services Office

Approved:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL Chairman, PMT

## "Exhibit B"

I, **JEREMY S. CAINTIC**, of the <u>SECURITY SERVICES OFFICE</u> commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period <u>July 1 to December 31, 2019</u>.

JEREMY S. CAINTIC

CELSO GUMAOD

Head, Security Office

MFO / PAPS	Program/Activities/ Projects	Tasks Assigned	ACCOMPLISHMENT		Dansautana	Rating				
IIII O / I Al O	- regramm/touvideo/ ( rejects	- Taska Assigned	Target	Actual	Percentage	Q <sup>1</sup>	E <sup>2</sup>	T <sup>3</sup>	A <sup>4</sup>	Remarks
UMFO 6 General Administration and Support Services (GASS)										
VPAF MFO 7: Security Services and Management Office										
Security Services Management MFOs:										
MFO 3. Public Safety										
PI. 1. Number of hours implementation of road traffic safety during rush hour		-								
MFO 4. Maintain Peace and Order										artinos en el comita com percente a constituir de constituir de constituir de constituir de constituir de cons

MFO / PAPS	Program/Activities/ Projects	Tasks Assigned	ACCOMP	LISHMENT	Barrana		R	ating			
APS	Program/Activities/ Projects	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual	Percentage	Q <sup>1</sup>	E <sup>2</sup>		A <sup>4</sup>	Remarks	
PI 1. Number of <b>ho</b> urs fixed post being manned	Manning fixed Post ( Post 1,2, Administration Building and Market area)	Checking in/out all motorized vehicle, Entering on the blotter all report incidents, Checked and assist all visitors, Checked/reprimand unlicense motorcycle driver, Received packages/money/letters and facilitate the owners to widraw, Records trip ticket of VSU vehicles, Checking student, faculty and Staff ID's, Monitor in/out of Staff, Opening and closing of market gate including PCC and Holy spirit gate and Inspection of all stalls after closing time.	675	956	141.6%	5	4	3	4.00		
PI 2. Number of ours in the Campus properly oved	Campus roving	Observed area of responsibility (AOR)	100%	42.00	100%	3	3	3	3.00		
PI. 3. Number of orders/directives from higher office implemented	Orders/directives compliance/implementation on different memorandum circulars issued by <b>OP</b> .	Apprehend/reprimand violators on vandalism; Picking fruits, flowers, plants, etc. on campus without permission; Public disturbance; Trespassing; Littering; Intrusion VSU prroperties; No smoking policy; Checking of vehicle gate pass.	100%	40	100%	4	4	4	4.00		
TOTAL OVER-ALL ATING						-+	-		11.00		

. .

MFO / PAPS	Program/Activities/ Projects	Tasks Assigned
Average Rating(Total Overall rating divided by 2)		3 kg tw
Additional Points:		7 10
Approved additional points(with copy of approval)	хх	
FINAL RATING		3.67 /2/
ADJECTIVAL RATING		VS

Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:

E<sup>2</sup>

Q<sup>1</sup>

Rating

Remarks

He is hesitant to render over time. Needs counseling.

Evaluated & Rated by:

CELSO GUMAOD
Dept/Office Head

Date:

1 - Quality

2 - Efficiency

3 - Timeliness

4 - Average

Approved by:

REMBERTO A PATINDOL

Vice Pres. For Admin & Finance

**ACCOMPLISHMENT** 

Actual

Target

Percentage

Date

## PERFORMANCE MONITORING FORM

Name of Employee: JEREMY S . CAINTIC

Task No.	Task Description	Expected Output	Date Assigned	Expected Date to Accomplished	Acutal Date of Accomplish ment	Quality of	Over-all assessment of output**	Remarks Recommend ation
1	Manning fixed post	Effective manning of fixed post as per SOP	Refer to weekly guard detail	End of weekly Guard Detail Order	December 31, 2019	VS	Very Satisfactory	Observance of 11 General Orders
2	Campus Rooving	AOR properly observed	Refer to weekly guard detail	End of weekly Guard Detail Order	December 31, 2019	VS	Very Satisfactory	

<sup>\*</sup> Either very impressive, impressive, needs improvement, poor, very poor

\*\* Outstanding, very satisfactory, satisfactory, unsatisfactory, poor

Prepared by:

CELSO GUMAOD

Head-Security Services Office

# Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: July 1 2019 to December 31, 2019

Name of Staff: CAINTIC, JEREMY S.

Position: Security Guard I

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description			
5	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exception model				
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements			
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements			
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.			
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements			

. Co	mmitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)			Scal	е	
1	Takes charge of the post and all government properties in view.	5	N	3	2	1
2	Walks during his tour-of-duty in a military manner, keeping always on the alert and observing everything that takes place within sight or hearing	5	4	3	2	1
3	Reports all violation of orders he is instructed to enforce.	5	4	3	2	1
4	Repeats all calls from posts more distant from the guard house where he is stationed.	5	4	3	2	1
5	Quits his post only when properly relieved.	5	4	3	2	1
6	Receives, obeys and passes on to the relieving guard all orders from the university heads, SSO head, security officer or shift supervisors.	5	4	3	2	
7	Talks to no one except in line of duty.	5	4	8	2	1
8	Gives the alarm in case of fire or disorder.	5	K	3	2	1
9	Calls the superior officer in any case not covered by instructions.	5	4	3	2	
10	Salutes all university officials, his superiors, ranking public officials and commissioned officers of the Armed Forces of the Philippines.	5	4	3	2	
11	Especially watchful at night, and during the time of challenging, challenges all persons near his post and allows no one to pass without proper authority.	5	A	3	2	1
12	Wears his uniform, badge, patches and insignia in a proper manner, and neat in appearance as a symbol of public trust and confidence.	5	4	3	2	-
13	Familiarizes himself diligently with the rules and regulations laid down by the university and that of the customers or clients.	5	K	3	2	1
14	Learns at heart or memorizes and strictly observes the laws and regulations governing the use of firearms.	5	A	3	2	,
15	Acts at all times with decorum and does not permit personal feelings; prejudices and undue friendship to influence his actuations in the performance of his official functions.	8	4	3	2	-
	Total Score					

15 4.33

. Lea	Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)			Scale					
1	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors.	5	4	3	2	1			
2	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1			
3	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1			
4	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1			
5	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit.	5	4	3	2	1			
	Total Score								
	Average Score								

Overall recommendation	:

CELSO GUMAOD

Head-Security Services Office

# PERFORMANCE MONITORING & COACHING JOURNAL

1st 2nd 3rd 4th

Name of Office SECURITY SERVICES OFFICE

Head of Office: CELSO GUMAOD Name of Staff: CAINTIC, JEREMY S

Date:

Activity		MECHANISM			
Monitoring	Meetir One-on-One	ng Group	Memo	Others (Pls. specify)	Remarks
Monitoring	The Head of office and shift supervisor conducted on the spot follow-up observations and inspection of detailed SG in his AOR	Participation of the	President Memo on the different university event/celebratio ns.	LOI and verbal instructions of the Universitty	Security Guard concerned was informed of his assignments and properly monitored.
Coaching	of the previous office performance especially concerning draw-backs on	s to iron out what is	SSO Memo, orders and LOI issued		Narrative instruction was tiven and encouragement to do much better.

Note: Please indicate the date in the appropriate box when the monitoring was conducted.

Conducted by:

CELSO/GUMAOD

**Head-Security Services Office** 

Noted by:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL Vice Pres for Admin. & Finance

## **EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN**

Name of Employee:

CAINTIC, JEREMY S.

Performance Rating: O

Aim: To improve performance

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:

Date: October 01, 2019

Target Date: End of December, 2019

First St	ep:	
	Review the Eleven General Order	S
Result:		
	More aware of their respective du	uties and responsibilities
Date:	April 01, 2019	Target Date: End of June 2019
Next St	tep:	
	Attendance of general meeting ar	nd special conference with regards to Security
	operations	

Outcome: Can easily respond to any form of incident happened in the campus.

Final Step Recommendation:

Attendance of security seminars/trainings.

Conforme:

Prepared by:

CEUSO GUMAOD
Head-Security Services Office