COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Rating Period:

JANUARY TO JUNE 2018

Name of Administrative Staff:

REGINA C. BIBERA

| Particulars (1) | Numerical Rating (2) | Percentage Weight 70% (3) | Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3) |
|--|----------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| 1. Numerical Rating per IPCR | 4.82 | 70% | 3.374 |
| 2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of her contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments | 5.00 | 30% | 1.500 |
| , i | TOTAL NUMER | RICAL RATING | 4.87 |

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

<u>4.87</u>

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.87

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Outstanding

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

REGINA C. BIBERA

Name of Staff

TERESITA L. QUINAÑOLA

Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

LOURDES B. CANO Director for Admin & HRD

Approved:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

VP for Admin & Finance

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, Regina C. Bibera, of the Personnel Records and Performance Evaluation Office commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period <u>January 1, 2018 to June 30, 2018.</u>

Approved:

TERESITA L. QUINANOLA

Head of Unit

| Ratee | | | - | | | 110 | ead of | OIIIL | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| MFO & PAPs | Success Indicator | Tasks Assigned | Target Actual Accomplishment | | | Rat | | | Remarks |
| | : | | | Accomplishment | Q ¹ | E ² | T ³ | A ⁴ | |
| PRPEO MFO 1: Administrative and Support | Services Management | | | | | | | | |
| Efficient & customer friendly frontline service | Zero percent complaint from clients s | Attends to queries and consultation on personnel matters | no complaint | no complaint | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.00 | |
| | INA at certificates | Prepares certificate of : maternity leave/ terminal leave balance/ and LWOP | 10 | 30 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.00 | |
| | INO of certificates | Computes proportionate mid-term pay and prepares mid-term pay certificates | 75 | 91 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.00 | |
| PRPEO MFO 7: Implementation of approve | d personnel benefits | | | | | | | | |
| Percentage of CSC/DBM rules and policies on leave administration complied/implemented | No. of leave applications | Processes, encodes and countersigns leave applications of regular employees and computes tardiness and undertime on DTR'S | 1800 | 2150 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 4.33 | |
| | Nol of leave cards | Audits of leave balances | 10 | 12 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4.67 | |
| | No. of CTO applications | Computates of Compensatory Time Off (CTO) and prepare CTO certificate. | 25 | 46 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4.67 | |
| | No. of DTR | Computes total no of credit hrs of faculty for the purpose of granting service credits | 20 | 25 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4.67 | |
| | No. of DTR/CSR | Cross-checks data on DTR/CSR against monthly leave report. | 3500 | 3850 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4.67 | |

| MFO & PAPs | Success Indicator | Tasks Assigned | Target | Actual | | Rating | | | Remarks |
|---|--|---|---|---|----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| WIGGIAIS | Success manages | | 12.821 | Accomplishment | Q¹ | E ² | T ³ | A ⁴ | |
| | No. of reports | Prepares monthly report of absences and undertime for regular staff | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 4.33 | |
| | No. of reports | Prepares leave without pay report for regular staff | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.00 | |
| | No. of records | Records No. of Service Credits Granted to individual leave card | 50 | 108 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.00 | |
| | No. of Retirees | Prepares/submits to Budget Office supporting documents of retirees for Terminal Pay | 5 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.00 | |
| | No. of Leave Records | Reviews inclusive period of LWOP for purposes of: - issuance of notice of step increment and -issuance of service record for retirement and GSIS maturity benefits | 50 | 90 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.00 | |
| | No. of Leave Cards | Updates Leave status | 250 | 346 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4.67 | |
| | No. of reports | Prepares Annual Report for retirement gratuities and terminal leave | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.00 | |
| PRPEO MFO 8: Compliance to ISO 9001:201 | 5 documentation requirements | | | | | | | | |
| | Percentage implementation of work instructions | Implement assigned work instructions | 100% implemented | 100% implemented | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.00 | |
| PRPEO MFO 9: Percentage compliance to 5 | S on office and documents managem | ent | | | | | | | |
| | Percentage implementation of 5S | Implement 5S in the office | 100% 5S compliant as to Office set-up | 100% 5S compliant as to Office set-up | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4.67 | |
| PRPEO MFO 12: Compliance to HR Accredit | ation | | | | | | | | |
| | · · | Gathers requested evidences/documents for PRIME- HRM core areas ready for submission to CSC and display at HR Accreditation Center for inspection and assessment by CSC team | 2 core areas | 2 core areas | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.00 | |
| | | assessment by esertean | l | | <u> </u> | | | | |

| MFO & PAPs | Success Indicator | Tasks Assigned | Target | Actual Actual | | Rat | ting | | Remarks |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|--|--|-----------|----------------|-----------|----------|
| | | | Accomplishment | | Q^1 E^2 T^3 A^4 | | A ⁴ | | |
| | HR evidences for updating of PRIME- | Produce requested HR evidences/documents for updating of PRIME-HRM based on latest indicators at HR Accreditation Center | | 100% compliant of requested HR evidences in PRIME-HRM | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.00 | |
| Total Over-all Rating | | | | _ | | | | 91.67 | |
| REGINA C. E | BIBERA | Average Rating : | | 4.82 | | nts & Red | | dations f | or . |
| | | Additional Points: | | | | ment Pu | • | | _ |
| | | Punctuality | | | Mrs. Bibera is an Outstanding | | | | |
| | | Approved Additional points (with copy of approval) | | | Performer except for the time manage which is due to the volume of work, it is recommended that the biometrics | | | | work. He |
| | | FINAL RATING | | 4.82 | payroll will be interconnected to | | | | |
| | | ADJECTIVAL RATING | | Outstanding | | | | | |

| Evaluated & Rated by: | Recommending Approval: | Approved by: |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|
| TERESITA L'QUIÑANOLA | LOURDES B. CANO | REMBERTO A. PATINDOL |
| Head, PRPEO | Director for Admin & HRD | Vice President for Admin & Finance |
| Date: | Date: | Date: |

4 - Average

3- Timeliness

1 - Quality

Legend:

2 - Efficiency

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness

Administrative Staff

Rating Period: <u>JANUARY TO JUNE 2018</u>

Name of Staff: REGINA C. BIBERA

Position: Administrative Officer II

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

| omg mo | Soule Below. Ellowold | your runnig. |
|--------|-----------------------|---|
| Scale | Descriptive Rating | Qualitative Description |
| 5 | Outstanding | The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model |
| 4 | Very Satisfactory | The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements |
| 3 | Satisfactory | The performance meets job requirements |
| 2 | Fair | The performance needs some development to meet job requirements. |
| 1 | Poor | The staff fails to meet job requirements |

| | | Γ | | <u> </u> | | |
|-----|---|------------|-----|----------|----|---|
| Α. | Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors) | | | Scale | | |
| 1. | Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding. | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 2. | Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 3 | Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 4. | Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time. | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 5. | Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 6. | Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work. | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 7. | Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed. | (5.) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 8. | Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 9 | Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 10. | Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 11. | Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment | (E) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 12. | Willing to be trained and developed | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | Total Score | G | 0/1 | 2= | 5. | 0 |

| Overall recommendation | : | |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| 6 | | TERESITA L. QUIÑANOLA |

Head of Office

PERFORMANCE MONITORING FORM

Name of Employee: **REGINA C. BIBERA**

| 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | Task No. |
|---|---|---|---|---|----------------------|-----------------------|---|
| | | | | | Proper to the Mark | Pur casin leve goldin | Task Description |
| | | | | | | | Expected Output |
| | | | | | | | Date Assigned |
| | | | | | | | Date Expected Assigned Date to Accomplish |
| | | | | | | | Actual Date accomplished |
| | | | | | and of | Outske | Quality of Output* |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 6 Let to be proposed | to pat trak growing | Over-all Remarks/ assessment Recommendation of output** |

^{*}Either very impressive, impressive, needs improvement, poor, very poor

Prepared by:

TERESITA L. QUIÑANOLA
Unit Head

^{**} Outstanding, very satisfactory, satisfactory, unsatisfactory, poor

PERFORMANCE MONITORING & COACHING JOURNAL

| | _ |
|-----------------|---|
| 1st | Q |
| 4 | U |
| 2 nd | Α |
| | R |
| 3 rd | |
| 3 | T |
| | E |
| 4th | R |
| í | |

Name of Office: **ODAHRD**

Head of Office: DR. LOURDES B. CANO

Number of Personnel: <u>Teresita L. Quiñanola, Florante G. Didal Regina C. Bebira</u>

| A | | MECHANIS | SM | | |
|---------------------|---|---------------|----------|--------------|--|
| Activity Monitoring | Mee | ting | Memo | Others (Pls. | Remarks |
| Monitoring | One-on-One | Group | IVICIIIO | specify) | |
| Monitoring | | | | | |
| August 25, 2018 | One-on-one meeting re: PM Docs preparation | Staff Meeting | | | Agreed to issue memo for units to submit DPC and to require HR Committee to implement EEOP |
| August 8, 2018 | One-on-one with R. Bebira | | | | Re: Leave Computation & Administration |
| Coaching | | | | | |

Note: Please indicate the date in the appropriate box when the monitoring was conducted.

Conducted by:

Noted by:

LOURDES B. CANO

Immediate Supervisor

Next Higher Supervisor

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

| Name of Employee: <u>REGINA C. BIBERA</u> |
|--|
| Performance Rating: |
| Aim: |
| Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance: |
| Date: Target Date: |
| First Step: |
| Attable other peristers related to Hor |
| Result: |
| |
| |
| Date: Target Date: |
| Next Step: |
| |
| |
| Outcome: |
| Final Step/Recommendation: |
| Prepared by: TERESITA L. QUIÑANOLA Unit Head |
| Confarms: |
| REGINAL C. POIBERA AO IL |