

Visca Baybay City, Leyte 6521-A, Philippines Phone/Fax: 565-0600 local 563-7323 Email Address: <a href="mailto:pree@vsu.edu.ph">pree@vsu.edu.ph</a> Website: www.vsu.edu.ph

## COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Annex P

Name of Administrative Staff:

Ernesto A. Gonzaga, Jr.

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.11	70%	2.87
Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	3.67	30%	1.10
·	TOTAL NUN	IERICAL RATING	3.97

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

3.97

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

<u>.1</u> 4.07

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING

4.07

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Very Satisfactory

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

ERNESTO A. GONZAGA, Jr.

Name of Staff

MARIA AURORA T.W.TABADA

Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

MOISES NEIL V. SERIÑO College Dean

Approved:

BEATRIZ S.BELONIAS

Vice President for Instruction

## INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW (IPCR)

I, <u>ERNESTO A. GONZAGA, Jr.</u> Administrative Aide IV, of the <u>BIDANI-ISRDS</u> commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following accomplishments in accordance with the indicated measures for the period <u>Jan.- June</u>, 2020.

ERNESTO A GONZAGA, JR.

Date: 77,700

MARIA AURORA TERESITA W. TABADA

Head of Unit

Date: 124 25 7070

	1000					1 - 103	Ra	ting		4
MFO No.	MFO Descrip- tion	Success Indicator (SI)	Task Assigned		Actual Accom- plishment	Quality	Efficiency	Timeliness	Average	Remark
WFO 6. Gene	eral Adminis	ration and Support Service	s (GASS)							
OVPRE MFO	1. Administr	ative and Facilitative Servi	ces		•					
	forms/docu	per of government ments typed, prepared red for approval.	Types vouchers, PRs, RIS, TOs, trip tickets, DTR's, leave application, permits, job request and other official documents.	200	250	4	4	4	0.00	
	communica recorded a	per of letters and official ations prepared, and sent to different se/centers and clienteles.	Preparation of letters & correspondence, recording of incoming and outgoing communications.	45	60	4	4	3	0.00 3.67	
	resolutions	per of MOUs, contracts, and official documents en BIDANI, SUCs and	Files official forms and documents.	2	1	4	3	4	0.00 3,67	
	PI 4: Numb	per of trainings facilitated.	Facilitated trainings conducted at SUCs & LGUs	3	3	4	4	4	0.00	
	brochures,	er of handouts, forms, manuals and other reproduced and	Reproduces and collates handouts, forms, brochures, manuals and other documents including IEC materials.	2000	2500	4	4	4	0.00	

3,868

	PI 1. Efficient and customer-friendly frontline service	Zero percent complaint from clients served	эр%	100%	5	4.	4	0.00	
	Best practices/new initiatives							4.33	
Total Over-all Ra	iting				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.198
Average Ratin	g (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)		Comments & Rec						ommendations for
Additional Points:							lonm	ent Pur	nose:
Approved Addition	onal points (with copy of approval)		4.11 hers to gold and rectize wint being the 18 PDSS a conscious				use that the		
FINAL RATING							ing flined is		
ADJECTIVAL R	ATING				]	fa	re K	RD.	Eurpies will
Evaluated & Rate	d by:	Recommending Approval:		Approved b	y:				
).	Stabala	M T		K	7				
MARIA AURORA TERESITA W. TABADA Dept./Unit Head Date: Spr. 22, 2000		MOISES NEIL V. SERIÑO  Dean  Date: 75 W		Z S BELON sident for A		ic Aff	airs		

4- Average

1- Quality

2- Efficiency

3- Timeliness



Visca Baybay City, Leyte 6521-A, Philippines Phone/Fax: 565-0600 local 563-7323 Email Address: prpeo@vsu.edu.ph

Website: www.vsu.edu.ph

## Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January-June 2020

Name of Staff: Ernesto A. Gonzaga, Jr. Position: Administrative Aide 4

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus

using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	<b>Descriptive Rating</b>	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. (	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		(	Scal	е	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay		4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4 (	(3)	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks				2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.		4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.		4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	(3)	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	(3)	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele		4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment		4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	(3)	2	1

	Total Score					-
B. L	eadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		(	Scal	е	
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	
	Total Score			4	4	
	Average Score		3	6	7	

Overall recommendation	:	VS	
------------------------	---	----	--

MARIA AURORA T.W., TABADA
Printed Name and Signature

Head of Office

## EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: ERNESTO A. GONZAGA, JR. Performance Rating: VS
Aim: To improve dDRC capacity to comply w 180 requires
Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:
Date: Jan 1, 2020 Target Date: June 30, 2020
First Step:
Regnest for mentoring ressions with QAC
Result:
mentoring persions conducted
Date: September 1,2020 Target Date: December 30, 20 20
Next Step:
Proper updating of personnel data and record filing ilvy updated 180 forms in preparation
Outcome: Updated & completed 180 - for 180 andit
Compliant Strumentation pigerly fled
Final Step/Recommendation:
Start uploading 180- compliant documents into
Prepared by:
MARIA AURORA T.W.TABADA Unit Head
Conforme: