COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

Pedro O. Alkuino

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.23	70%	2.96
Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.45	30%	1.34
	Total	Numerical Rating	4.30

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.30

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING

4.30

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Very Satisfactory

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

MÄRIA ELSA M. UMPAD

AO II

ERLINDA A. V Director

Approved:

OTHELLO B. CAPUNO

VP for Research and Extension

Exhibit B"

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW (IPCR) FORM

I, **Pedro O. Alkuino**, Administrative Aide of PhilRootcrops, commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period Jan 1, 2018 to June 30, 2018.

PEDRO O. ALKUNO

ERLINDA A. VASQUE Head of Unit

Date _____

MFOs / PAPs	Success Indicators	Task Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishment	Rating			Remarks	
Administrative	No. of gates and doors	To open and close	4 4	4 doors	Q1	E2	Т3	A4	Opening of doors at 6:00 a.m and closing at 6:00 p.m of the PhilRootcrops
Services	opened and closed	entrance / exit gates and doors	4 doors	4 doors		4	4	4.33	Admin building
	No. of hours consumed in monitoring of unlocked office doors, open lights and other office equipment	To check for unlocked doors, open lights and other office equipment prior to closing of the entrance and exit doors	32 hours	40 hours					
	No. of comfort rooms cleaned and maintained	To clean and maintain comfort rooms of the Center	4 CRs / cubicles	8 CRs / cubicles	4	4	5	4.33	
	No. of offices cleaned	To clean Center's Admin Building and offices including the training hall and the extension Office	Whole Center's Admin plus ESED Div and training hall	Whole Admin building plus 4 room in the Admin, 2 rooms in the ESED and Training Hall	4	4	4	4.33	
	No. of glass window panels cleaned	To clean the glass window panels	130 glass windows	150 glass windows	4	q	y	q	

220 hours No. of hours To sweep / clean the 200 U 1 4.67 PhilRootcrops quadrangle consumed in the hours and at the processing maintenance of PhilRootcrops and the area processing area (sweeping of dried To maintain landscape leaves / dirt and inside the quadrangle landscape maintenance No. of hours To prepare the training 15 hours 70 hours 4 4.67 5 consumed in the hall for any activity (cleaning, sweeping, preparation of training hall for any activities of putting up of ornamental plants, dusting of tables the Center and the University etc) Ø Garbage disposal in the assigned areas 20 hours No. of hours To dispose garbage from 9 hours consumed in garbage the garbage bin to the disposal compost pit To wash utensils used 110 sets 200 sets No. of utensils washed U after every meetings, after meetings, parties parties and other and other activities activities Undertaken when the in-charge is on-To process and follow-up 120 documents leave 80 documents No. of documents 4 processed and documents followed up 6 **Other Duties** Number of DTRs To prepare monthly DTR U prepared **Total Overall** 4.23 Rating

0. *

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided	d by 4)		
Additional Points:			
Punctuality			
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)			
FINAL RATING			
ADJECTIVAL RATING	Very	atisfactory	

ainings

Evaluated and Rated by:	Recommending Approval:
Calony A Casque ERLINDA A. VASQUEZ Director	JOSE L. BACUSMO Director for Research
Date:	Date:

Quality
 Efficiency
 Timeiness
 Average

Approved by:

OTHELLO B. CAPUNO
Vice President for Research and Extension

Date:

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January to June 2018

Name of Staff: Pedro O. Alkuino Position: Adm. Aide I

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5 Outstanding sta		The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A . (Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		5	Scale	9	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	(5)	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	(4)	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	(4)	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	(5)	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	(3)	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	(4)	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	(5)	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	(4)	3	2	1
	Total Score		<u></u>	40		
	Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		.	Scale	9	
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the	5	4	3	2	1

	Average Score					_
	Total Score					
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit					1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
	office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.					

Overall recommendation	•	

Name of Head

PERFORMANCE MONITORING & COACHING JOURNAL

4	1st	QU
X	2 nd	A R
	3 rd	T E
	4th	R

Name of Office:

PhilRootcrops

Head of Office:

Dr. Erlinda A. Vasquez

Number of Personnel:

Pedro O. Alkuino

	MECHANISM				
Activity Monitoring	Mee		Memo	Others (Pls.	Remarks
	One-on-One	Group	MEHIO	specify)	
Monitoring 1st Quarter / 2nd Quarter a. Monitoring of the assigned office activities January 26, 2018	One-on-one discussion with the concerned staff regarding feedback from other personnel and visitors on the assigned office activities e,g cleaning of staff rooms, comfort room and surroundings	Meeting with staff under the Administrative Division to tackle issues (negative and positive feedback) of other PhilRootcrops personnel			Negative feedback from concerned personnel were addressed e.g. dirty and smelly comfort rooms to clean and comfortable CRs
Coaching Coaching of staff on the proper procedure in doing the assigned tasks Encouraging the staff under the Administrative Division to attend learning and development such as training offered by the University • As often as necessary	One-on-one coaching	Group coaching through meetings and even in group discussions			Positive response to the coaching activity, negative feedback on the assigned office activity were immediately addressed

Note: Please indicate the date in the appropriate box when the monitoring was conducted.

Conducted by:

MARIA ELSA M. UMPAD Immediate Supervisor Noted by:

ERLINDA A. VASQUEZ

Director

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

PEDRO O. ALKUINO

Performance Rating: Very Satisfactory Aim: Clean comfort rooms and other assigned areas Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance: Target Date: Date: January 1, 2018 June 30, 2018 First Step: Meeting and coaching of staff to come up with procedures on how to clean the comfort rooms properly and other assigned areas; periodic check-up of the assigned areas Result: Clean CRs and other assigned areas Date: July 1, 2018 Target Date: Dec 31, 2018 Next Step:

Outcome: Clean and healthy sorroundings and CRs

Final Step/Recommendation:

accomplishment

Name of Employee:

To maintain performance and or exceed the current performance; for recommendation to the Center's Personnel Committee as Outstanding Center Support Staff during the 2018 PhilRootcrops Anniversary.

Periodic monitoring using the index schedule cards; surprise monitoring to verify the

To attend capability build-up trainings that will enhance individual skills and competencies.

Prepared by:

Colomb A. Janguel ERLINDA A. VASQUEZ Director

Conforme:

Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff