

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR
ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

(July – December 2019)

Name of Administrative Staff: Wenifreda T. Oclinaria

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	5.00	5.00 x70%	3.50
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	5.00	30%	1.50
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING			5.00

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 5.00
Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: 5.00 (Punctuality)
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 10.00 / 2 = 5.00

ADJECTIVAL RATING: Outstanding

Prepared by:

WENIFREDA T. OCLINARIA
Name of Staff

Reviewed by:

ANABELLA B. TULIN
Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

ANABELLA B. TULIN
Dean/Director

Approved:

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS
Vice President for Instruction

“Exhibit B”

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, Menifreda T. Oclinnaria, of Graduate School commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period July 1, 2019 to December 31, 2019.

Menifreda T. Oclinnaria
MENIFREDA T. COLINARIA

Rattee


Approved:

Anabella B. Julin
ANABELLA B. JULIN
Head of Unit

MFO & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Targets	Actual Accomplishment	Rating				Remarks
					Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	
Advance Education Services	Graduate Degree Program Management Services No. of graduate degree specialization offered and monitored	No of graduate faculty appointments reviewed and countersigned for approval by the Dean	20	22	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
		No. of graduate faculty FTE by departments summarized for OPCR	220	233.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
		No. of graduate curricular program documents prepared, monitored and facilitated for evaluation.	20	35	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
	No. of new graduate curricular program proposals monitored								
	Graduate Student Management Services Number of graduate students monitored	No. of graduate student assisted for admission /compliance of Graduate School requirements by providing proper instruction/direction/appropriate forms and other needed documents	115	265	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
		No. of graduate student requirements for graduation reviewed for clearance and signature by the Dean of the Graduate School	70	162	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
		No. of graduate students monitored and facilitated during enrollment	200	481	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
		No. of graduate program accreditation related documents prepared and managed (ISO, AACUP, CHED)	250	1,050	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
		No. of accreditation related orientations/trainings/workshop, etc. attended as representative of the Graduate School	2	5	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
Support to Operations	Program & Institutional Accreditation Services	No. of documents (memos, communications, letter requests, announcements, etc.) prepared for signature by the Dean of Graduate School	5	8	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
	Administrative and Facilitative Services								

Evaluated & Rated by: Dr. A. B. Tulin
Dept./Unit Head

Dean/Director

Approved by: 
BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS
Vice President for Instruction

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)		5.00
Additional Points:		
Punctuality		5.00
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)		
FINAL RATING		5.00
ADJECTIVAL RATING		Outstanding

Comments and Recommendations for Development	Purpose:
It is recommended to maintain an organized and standardized office documents/ files/ records for easy retrieval/updating/usage when needed, and to continue the process of redesigning and coordinated approach to remove redundancies, superfluous activities, and delays to obtain high quality outputs at a lesser cost and a faster rate.	

[illegible]

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: July to December 2019

Name of Staff: Wenifreda T. OclinariaPosition: Administrative Officer

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score		60/12 = 5.00				

B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		Scale				
1. Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1	
2. Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1	
3. Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1	
4. Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1	
5. Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1	
Total Score		60 / 12				
Average Score		5.00				

Overall recommendation : _____


ANABELLA B. TOLIN
Name of Head

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: **WENIFREDA T. OCLINARIA**

Performance Rating: 5.00

Aim: To improve the performance by 85 % in excess of the target accomplishment by establishing an organize/standardized/compliant office documents/files/ records for a systematic and easy retrieval/usage when needed by the office and its clients.

Proposed Intervention to Improve Performance: Application of a process redesigning and cooperative pproach

Date: July 1, 2019

Target Date: December 31, 2019

First Step: Identification of the clients' (*graduate students, DBM/CHED, graduate program evaluators/accreditors; office evaluators (ISO), faculty/staff of VSU and others*) requirements and development of a workable flow of work or a map. This can be done by gathering of all the needed documents /activities to be conducted; communications/memoranda; graduate school policies for implementation /revision when necessary during the 1st and 2nd quarters of 2018 and in preparation for the start of classes, Second Semester, SY Year 2017-2018. This include the following: a) Graduate School Council /Committee/ Faculty meetings; b) matters for discussions; c) minutes of the meetings; d) orientation of graduate students; e) graduate program related survey data for DBM/CHED-BED/BAR forms requirements; f) required documents for graduate program AACCUP accreditation; g) ISO accreditation; h) graduating graduate student requirements for compliance; h) office supplies/ materials/equipment needed; office biannual/annual accomplishment reports and other administrative and financial documents, etc.

Result: Schedule of different meetings; notices/agenda/minutes of the meetingsl; accomplished survey form for graduate faculty /graduate student graduates/graduate faculty on graduate studies needed for DBM/CHED-BAR/BED form requirements; list of communications r/complied/ graduating graduate student requirements deadline schedule for graduating graduate students; lists of office supplies/materials/equipment needed; list of documents needed for graduate program accreditation/list of office documents for ISO ;list of requirements for compliance by graduating graduate students; list of graduate school policies for implementation/revision graduate school memoranda and other memoranda to graduate faculty/students and other concerned individuals, and others.

Date August, 2019

Target Date: December, 2019

Next Step: Preparation and organization of all the needed documents for a particular program/activity; review and analysis of documents to be prepared /activities to be conducted to remove redundancies, superfluous activities, and delays so that high-quality outputs are obtained at a lesser cost and a faster rate; prioritization of important activities/programs that need immediate execution; coordination/consultation with the Dean of the Graduate School/other office staff/and individual concerned, graduate faculty/students in the performance of a particular task; make constant follow-up and monitoring .

Outcome: Systematic and organized graduate program related and office documents/records/files; complete file of office documents; required forms/documents for compliance submitted on time to the concerned departments/offices/agencies; efficient and effective implementation of graduate school policies; approved revision of some graduate curricular programs; Graduate School Council meetings conducted as scheduled/ with some adjustments; planned activities successfully conducted as scheduled; proposals for deliberation/approval by appropriate body/Dean of the Graduate School.

Final Step/Recommendation: Coordinated execution of different program of activities; regular follow-up and meetings; assessment /evaluation of the performance in the execution of different activities conducted; constant updating of documents/records/files to facilitate compliance/submission to concerned offices/departments/agencies and for prompt and effective and efficient service to clients.

Prepared by:


ANABELLA B. TULIN
Unit Head

Conforme:


WENIFREDA T. OCLINARIA
Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff