COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

Geronimo T. Tumulak

| | Particulars (1) | Numerical Rating (2) | Percentage Weight (3) | Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3) |
|---|---|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | Numerical Rating per IPCR | 4.67 | 70% | 3.269 |
| 2 | Supervisor/Head's Assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishment | 4.83 | 30% | 1.449 |
| | | TOTAL NUMERICAL R | ATING | 4.718 |

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.718

4.718

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.718

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

0

Prepared by:

Recommending Approval:

MARIO E. BALIAD

Immediate Supervisor

Director, GSD

Approved:

REMBERTO A. PATINDO

VP. For Adm. & Finance

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, <u>GERONIMO T. TUMULAK</u> of the <u>GENERAL SERVICES DIVISION</u> commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period: <u>July-December 2019</u>

Approved:

GERONIMO T. TUMULAK

Ratee

MARIO E. BALIAD

Head of Unit

| MFO & Performance | Success Indicators | Tasks Assigned | Target | Actual | Rating | | | | - |
|-------------------------------|--|---|--------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|----|----------------|---------|
| Indicators | | | | Accomplis hment | Q ¹ | E ² | T³ | A ⁴ | Remarks |
| | PI 1.2 Rendered driving services within the specified period | Conduct solid waste management personnel in the collection and disposal of garbage (Main Job) | 320 | 340 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4.67 | |
| | PI 1.2 Monitored the maintenance of the assigned vehicles | Assisted in maintaining and repairing of garbage truck | 40 | 40 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4.67 | |
| | PI 1.3 Redered general check-up and rendered | Helped in venue preparation of different activities of the VSU | 25 | 28 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4.67 | |
| FMO1 Driving of Garbage Truck | | Helped in the maintenance of the cleanliness and beautification in the campus ground and surroundings | 15 | 18 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4.67 | |
| | PI 1.4 Maintained the cleanliness of the garage area. | Attended to the request of higher official and other departments of the VSU | 15 | 18 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4.67 | |
| | | Performed other duties as maybe assigned by the president of higher officials of the VSU | 20 | 25 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4.67 | |
| Total Over-all Rating | | | | | | | | 28.00 | |

| Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4) | 4.67 | Comments & Recommendations |
|---|------|----------------------------|
| Additional Points: | | for Development Purpose: |
| Punctuality: | | MTh/2 22 12000110 154 00 |
| Approved Additional point (with copy of approval) | | Whe are no superishm |
| FINAL RATING | 4.67 | for exponue to some can |
| ADJECTIVAL RATING | 0 | regain and serving |

Evaluate & Rated by:

MARIÒ E. BALIAD

Supervisor

Recommending Approval:

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA

Disector G

Approved by:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Vice Pres. For Adm. & Finance

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

July-December 2019 Rating Period:

| Name of Staff: | GERONIMO T. TUMULAK | Position: | Adm. Aide-I |
|----------------|---------------------|-----------|-------------|
| | | | |

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of

| Scale | Descriptive Rating | Qualitative Description | | | | | |
|---------|--|---|-------------|------------------|-------|-------|---|
| 5 | Outstanding | The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. | | | | utput | S |
| | which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model | | | | | | |
| 4 | | Very Satisfactory The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements | | | | | |
| 3 | Satisfactory | The performance meets job requirements | - | | | | |
| 2 | Fair | The performance needs some development to meet job requirement | ents. | -Angle Commenced | | | |
| 1 | Poor | The staff fails to meet job requirements | | - | | | |
| commitm | ent (both for subordinates a | nd supervisors) | | 5 | Scale |) | |
| 1 | Demonstrates sensitivity to obusiness with the office fulfil | client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting ling and rewarding. | (3) | 4 | 3 | 2 | |
| 2 | Makes self-available to clien | ts even beyond official time | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | t |
| - | | reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, | C/ | - | | _ | H |
| 3 | | and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | |
| | rendering overtime work eve | | (5) | 7 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 4 | | is his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the | 7 | | | | H |
| 4 | prescribed time. | 3 | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | |
| E | | elp attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-employees | | | | | t |
| 5 | who fail to perform all assign | | 5 | (4) | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 6 | Regularly reports to work on | time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on | R | 4 | 2 | 2 | T |
| 0 | personal matters and logs o | ut upon departure from work. | 8 | 4 | 3 | 2 | |
| 7 | Keeps accurate records of h | er work which is easily retrievable when needed. | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | Γ |
| 8 | Suggests new ways to further | er improve her work and the services of the office to its clients | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | t |
| 0 | Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is | | X | | | | H |
| 9 | not related to his position bu Maximizes office hours durin | t critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university glean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | H |
| 10 | which results as a best prac | tice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction | (3) | 4 | 3 | 2 | |
| | of clientele | | 0 | | | | |
| 11 | | and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his | A 4 | A | 3 | 2 | T |
| | work accomplishment | | (3) | 4 | 3 | | L |
| 12 | Willing to be trained and dev | reloped | 5 | (4) | 3 | 2 | |
| | | Total Score | 3 | 8 | | | |
| B. L | | or supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor | | | Scale |) | |
| | Demonstrates mastery and | expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | |
| 1 | | | 5 | - | 3 | | L |
| | | w strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | - |
| 2 | aligned to that of the overall | plans of the university. | 3 | 74 | 3 | 2 | |
| | Innovates for the purpose of | improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational | - | | | | Γ |
| 3 | processes and functions of t | he department/office for further satisfaction of clients. | 8 | 4 | 3 | 2 | |
| | Accepts accountability for th | e overall performance and in delivering the output required of | | | | | T |
| 4 | his/her unit. | and in delivering the surprise of | 8 | 4 | 3 | 2 | |
| - | | nitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved | | | | | - |
| 5 | | in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | |
| | | Total Score | | | | | |
| | | Average Score | / | :83 | | | |
| | all recommendation | N C I Y Y C | un | A | | | - |

Job workers

MARIO E. BALIAD

Head, LSWMU

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

| Name of Employee: Geronimo T. Tumulak Performance Rating: Outstanding |
|---|
| Aim: |
| Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance: |
| Date: July 2019 Target Date: But Of |
| First Step: |
| |
| Result: Attend Training, Seminars TESDA related works |
| |
| Date: Target Date: |
| Next Step: Kpy fu sorned Knowledge to |
| Outcome: |
| Final Step/Recommendation: |
| - Menewal |
| Prepared by: |
| MARIO E. BALIAD Supervisor |
| Conform: |

GERONIMO T. TUMULAK Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff