# COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

### **BELMONTE, RUDY V**

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating	Percentage Weight	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
Numerical rating per IPCR	4.00	70%	2.80
Supervisors/Head's assessment of His contribution towards Attainment of office accomplishments	4.13	30%	1.239
	TOTAL NUME	4.04	

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.04

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

,

TOTAL NUMERIAL RATING:

4.04

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

VS

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

CELSO GUMAOD

Department/Office Head

Approved:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL Chairman, PMT

Name of Staff

.03

#### "Exhibit B"

I,RUDY V. BELMONTE, of the <u>SECURITY SERVICES AND MANAGEMENT OFFICE</u> commit to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period <u>January 1 to June 30, 2019</u>

RUDY V. BELMONTE

Ratee

CELSO GUMAOD

Head, Security Office

MEG / BARG	Program/Activities/		ACCOMP	LISHMENT		Rating					
MFO / PAPS	Projects	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual	IPCR-	Q <sup>1</sup>	$Q^1$ $E^2$ $T^3$ $A^4$		A <sup>4</sup>	Remarks	
UMFO 6 General Administration and Support Services (GASS)											
/PAF MFO 7: Security Services and Management Office											
Security Services Management MFOs:					Table to the first the transport of the						
MFO 3. Public Safety				1 12							
PI. 1. Number of hours mplementation of road traffic safety during rush hour	Traffic safety	Guide pedestrian and assist students, Faculty and Staff in crossing highway									
MFO 4. Maintain Peace											
and Order											

MEO / DADS	Program/Activities/	Tooley Assistand	ACCOMPLISHMENT				Ra	ting		
MFO / PAPS	Projects	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual	IPCR-	Q <sup>1</sup>	E <sup>2</sup>	T <sup>3</sup>	A <sup>4</sup>	Remarks
PI 1. Number of hours fixed post being manned	Manning fixed Post (Post 1,2, Administration Building and Market area)	Checking in/out all motorized vehicle, Entering on the blotter all report incidents, Checked and assist all visitors, Checked/reprimand unlicense motorcycle driver, Received packages/money/letters and facilitate the owners to widraw, Records trip ticket of VSU vehicles, Checking student, faculty and Staff ID's, Monitor in/out of Staff, Opening and closing of market gate including PCC and Holy spirit gate and Inspection of all stalls after closing time.	675	780		4	4	4	4	
PI 2. Number of hours in the Campus properly roved	Campus roving	Observed area of responsibility (AOR)	100%	64	100%	4	4	4	4	
PI. 3. Number of orders/directives from higher office implemented	Orders/directives compliance/implementatio n on different memorandum circulars issued by <b>OP.</b>	Apprehend/reprimand violators on vandalism; Picking fruits, flowers, plants, etc. on campus without permission; Public disturbance; Trespassing; Littering; Intrusion VSU prroperties; No smoking policy; Improper disposal of solid waste; and Curfew policy.	6	8	133%	4	4	4	4	
TOTAL OVER-ALL RATING									12	

l Prog	MFO / PAPS  Program/Activities/ Projects		ACCOMPLISHMENT			Rating				
MFO / PAPS		Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual	IPCR-	Q <sup>1</sup>	E <sup>2</sup>	T <sup>3</sup>	A <sup>4</sup>	Remarks

Average Rating(Total Overall rating divided by 4)		4.00
Additional Points:		
Approved additional points(with copy of approval)	XX	
FINAL RATING		4.00
ADJECTIVAL RATING		VS

Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:

Evaluated & Rated by:

CELSO GUMAOD

Dept/Office Head

Date:

1 - Quality

2 - Efficiency

3 - Timeliness

4 - Average

Approved by:

REMBERTO'A' PATINDOL Vice Pres. For Admin & Finance

Date:

# Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff Rating Period: January 1 to June 30, 2019

Name of Staff: RUDY V. BELMONTE

Position: Security Guard II

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using

the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)			Sca	le	
1.	Takes of the post and an government properties in view.	5	A	3	2	1
2.	Walks during his tour-of-duty in a military manner, keeping always on the alert and observing everything that takes place within sight or hearing.	5	(A)	3	2	1
3.	Reports all violation of orders he is instructed to enforce.	5	(A)	3	2	1
4.	Repeats all calls from posts more distant from the guard house where he is stationed.	1000	<b>(4)</b>	3	2	1
5.	Quits his post only when properly relieved.	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Receives, obeys and passes on to the relieving guard all orders from the university heads, SSO head, security officer or shift supervisors.	5	Æ	3	2	1
7.	Talks to no one except in line of duty.	(3)	4	3	2	1
8.	Gives the alarm in case of fire or disorder.	5	4	3	2	1
9.	Calls the superior officer in any case not covered by instructions.	5	(A)	3	2	1
10.	Salutes all university officials, his superiors, ranking public officials and commissioned officers of the Armed Forces of the Philippines.	5	(A)	3	2	1
11.	Especially watchful at night, and during the time of challenging, challenges all persons near his post and allows no one to pass without proper authority.		4	3	2	1
12.	Wears his uniform, badge, patches and insignia in a proper manner, and neat in appearance as a symbol of public trust and confidence.	5 (	A	3	2	1
13.	Familiarizes himself diligently with the rules and regulations laid down by the university and that of the customers or clients.	5	(A)	3	2	1
14.	Learns at heart or memorizes and strictly observes the laws and regulations governing the use of firearms.	5	A.	3	2	1
15.	Acts at all times with decorum and does not permit personal feelings; prejudices and undue friendship to influence his actuations in the performance of his official functions.	5	4	(d)	2	1
	Total Score	6	2/1	T-:	4	.13

B. Leadership Management of or supervisors only to be raced by higher supervisor)		,	Scal	е	
Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors.	5	4	3	2	1
Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	(A)	3	2	1
Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit.	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score	17	7/	5 =	3	.40
Average Score		1	3.0	15	

Overall recommendation :

CELSO GUMAOD Name of Head

# **PERFORMANCE MONITORING & COACHING JOURNAL**

Name of Office SECURITY SERVICES OFFICE

Head of Office: CELSO GUMAOD

Name of Staff: RUDY V. BELMONTE



1st	
2nd	
3rd	
4th	

Date:

Activity		MECHANISM			
Monitoring	Meetin	Memo	Others (Pls.	Remarks	
	One-on-One	Group		specify)	Remarks
Monitoring	The Head of office and shift supervisor conducted on the spot follow-up observations and inspection of detailed SG in his AOR	Participation of the SG's and admin staff meeting in the different activities conducted by the head of office	President Memo on the different university event/celebratio ns.	LOI and verbal instructions of the Universitty President and OVPAF	Security Guard concerned was informed of his assignments an properly monitored.
Coaching	informed of the ourcome of the previous office performance especially concerning draw-backs on	s to iron out what is	SSO Memo, orders and LOI issued	Weekly duty detail order was issued to concerned SG.	Narrative instruction was tiven and encouragement to do much better.

Note: Please indicate the date in the appropriate box when the monitoring was conducted.

Conducted by:

CELSO GUMAOD

**Head-Security Services Office** 

Noted by:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL Vice Pres for Admin. & Finance

## **EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN**

Name of Employee:

BELMONTE, RUDY V.

Performance Rating: O

Aim: To improve performance

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:

Date: January 01, 2019

Target Date: End of March 2019

First St	ep:	
***************************************	Review the Eleven Ger	neral Orders
Result:		
	More aware of their re	espective duties and responsibilities
Date:	April 01, 2019	Target Date: End of June 2019
Next St	ep:	
	Attendance of general	meeting and special conference with regards to Security
	operations	
Outcon	ne: Can easily respond to any	form of incident happened in the campus.

Conforme:

Final Step Recommendation:

Name of Ratee Staff Prepared by:

Attendance of security seminars/trainings.

RUDY W. BELMONTE

CELSO GUINAOD Head-Security Services Office