



COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Annex P

Name of Administrative Staff: CAINTIC, JEREMY S

| Particulars (1) | Numerical Rating (2) | Percentage Weight (3) | Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3) |
|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 1. Numerical Rating per IPCR | 4.70 | 70% | 3.29 |
| 2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments | 4.73 | 30% | 1.42 |
| TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING | | | 4.71 |

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.71

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.71

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING 4.71

ADJECTIVAL RATING: 0

Prepared by:

JEREMY S. CAINTIC
Name of Staff

Reviewed by:

JULIUS V. ABELA
Head, OUDRRM

Recommending Approval:

DANIEL LESLIE S. TAN
Vice President for Admin & Finance

Approved:

DANIEL LESLIE S. TAN
Chairman, PMT

"Exhibit B"

I, **JEREMY S. CAINTIC**, of the Office of University Disasted and Risk-Reduction Management accomplished the following targets for the period July-December 2021.

JEREMY S. CAINTIC
Ratee

JULIUS V. ABELA
Head, OUDRRM

[illegible]

[illegible]


| MFO / PAPS | Program/Activities/ Projects | Tasks Assigned | ACCOMPLISHMENT | | Rating | | | | Remarks |
|------------|------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| | | | Target | Actual | Q ¹ | E ² | T ³ | A ⁴ | |

| | | |
|---|----|------|
| Average Rating(Total Overall rating divided by 5) | | 4.73 |
| Additional Points: | | |
| Approved additional points(with copy of approval) | xx | |
| FINAL RATING | | 4.73 |
| ADJECTIVAL RATING | | 0 |


Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose

Renew Security License and attend relevant DRRM and security safety trainings for learning and development.

Evaluated & Rated by:


JULIUS W. ABELA
 Dept/Office Head
 Date:

Approved by:


DANIEL LESLIE S. TAN
 Vice Pres. For Admin & Finance
 Date:

- 1 - Quality
- 2 - Efficiency
- 3 - Timeliness
- 4 - Average

PERFORMANCE MONITORING FORM


Name of Employee: JEREMY S. CAINTIC

| Task No. | Task Description | Expected Output | Date Assigned | Expected Date to Accomplish | Actual Date accomplished | Quality of Output* | Over-all assessment of output** | Remarks/ Recommendation |
|----------|--|--|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| 1 | Manning fixed post | Effectively efficiently manning of fixed post as per SOP and | Refers to their monthly Duty Detail Report | End of weekly Guard Detail Order | 01 July 2021 – 31 December 2021 | O | Outstanding | Attend DRRM trainings and renew security license |
| 2 | Campus Roving | AOR properly observed | Refers to their monthly Duty Detail Report | End of weekly Guard Detail Order | 01 July 2021 – 31 December 2021 | O | Outstanding | Attend DRRM trainings and renew security license |
| 3 | Thermal Scanning and/or QR Code Scanning | Checking all individuals that enters the campus | Year 2020-2021 | Continuous | Year 2021 | O | Outstanding | |

* Either very impressive, impressive, needs improvement, poor, very poor

** Outstanding, very satisfactory, satisfactory, unsatisfactory, poor

Prepared by:


JULIUS V. ABELA
 Head, OUDRRM



Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: July 2021 to December 2021

Name of Staff: JEREMY S. CAINTIC Position: SECURITY GUARD I

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

| Scale | Descriptive Rating | Qualitative Description |
|-------|--------------------|---|
| 5 | Outstanding | The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model |
| 4 | Very Satisfactory | The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements |
| 3 | Satisfactory | The performance meets job requirements |
| 2 | Fair | The performance needs some development to meet job requirements. |
| 1 | Poor | The staff fails to meet job requirements |

| A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors) | | Scale | | | | |
|---|---|-------|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 2. | Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 3. | Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 4. | Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 5. | Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 6. | Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 7. | Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 8. | Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 9. | Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 10. | Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 11. | Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

| | | | | | |
|--|--------|---|---|---|---|
| improvement of his work accomplishment | | | | | |
| 12. Willing to be trained and developed | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Score | Total | | | | |
| B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor) | Scale | | | | |
| 1. Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 2. Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 3. Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 4. Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 5. Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Total Score | 80 | | | | |
| Average Score | 94.1 % | | | | |

Overall recommendation : Keep up the good work. 4.70

JULIUS V. ABELA

Printed Name and Signature
Head, OUDRRM

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: CAINTIC, JEREMY S.
Performance Rating: O

Aim: To improve performance

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:

Date: July 01, 2021 Target Date: End of September 2021

First Step: Review 11 General Orders and Code of Ethics of being a security guard and attend refresher course security trainings.

Result: To be more effective and efficient during their tour of duty, renew licenses and gain new knowledge about safeguarding / security and safety measures

Date: October 01, 2021 Target Date: End of December 2021


Next Step: Attend DRRM training program

Outcome: Preparedness in times of calamity or disaster and be eligible as part of the qualification of being a security guard

Final Step/Recommendation:

Attend trainings on how to make detailed blotter report and continue participate on DRRM training programs and security trainings.

Prepared by:


JULIUS V. ABELA
Head, OUDRRM

Conforme:


JEREMY S. CAINTIC
Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff