COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

GINA A. LORETO

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight 70% (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.92	70%	3.44
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.83	30%	1.45
	TOTAL NUM	IERICAL RATING	4.89

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	4.89
Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:	
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING

4.89

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

OUTSTANDING

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

ERLINDA S. ESGUERRA Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

form-amou LOUELLA C. AMPAC Dean/Director

Approved:

PATINDOL

Vice President

I, GINA A. LORETO, of the Accounting Office commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following accomplishments in accordance with the indicated measures for the Priod March 1-June 30, 2019

Administrative support services GINA A. LORETO Ratee

Director of Finance

Date:

Approved:

ERLINDA'S. ESGUERRA Head of Unit

		7	March-June	Percentage of	Details of	Details of	ails of Paring				
MFO & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned		Accomplishment	Accomplish	Rating				Remarks	
		,		of June 30, 2019		ment	Q1	E²	T ³	A ⁴	
DISBURSEMENT/ PROCESSING SERVICES	Number. of projects	Control Project/Releases under NGAS (20201050) funded by DA-BAR, CHED, PCARRD, DENR, DOST & NEDA	40	118%	Controlled 40 projects	47	5	5	5	5.00	
	controlled error free	Prepares Journal monthly balance per projects	40	118%	Monitored 40 projects	47	5	5	5	5.00	A Agreement
	Number of financial	Obligates vouchers, payrolls, & PO's under NGAS accounts	500	300%	Obligated 1500 financial docs.	1500	5	5	5	5.00	
	documents	Earmarks PR's, Contracts, Appointments under NGAS accounts	90	117%	Earmarks 100 documents	105	5	5	4	4.67	
	Number of financial documents	Encode the obligated voucher, payrolls & PO's , under NGAS accounts	600	250%	Encoded 1500 financial docs	1500	5	5	5	5.00	
	obligated/liquidated	Post check number to the obligated documents	500	130%	Posted 650 financial docs.	650	5	5	5	5.00	
BOOKKEEPING		Prepare Financial Report of each project	20	130%	Prepare 26 reports	26	5	5	4	4.67	
ERVICES	Number of quarterly and terminal financial project reports with supporting schedules prepared and submitted to funding agencies within tne mandated time	Prepares schedule of recapitulated liquidations of each projects	40	115%	Prepare 46 schedules	46	5	5	5	5.00	
		Prepares schedule of accounts payable for each projects	40	115%	Prepare 46 schedules	46	5	5	5	5.00	
		Prepares liquidation report of accounts payable of the previous year of each projects	20	105%	Prepare 21 schedules	21	5	5	5	5.00	
		Prepare Terminal Report as project requires									
nnovation & Best Practices Services or Continual &	Number of Innovations for improved university operations	Process immediately the financial documents as long as funds is available	1	100%		1	5	5	5	5.00	
mprovement & Vlanagement		Liquidate the financial documents	1	100%		1	5	5	4	4.67	
Services Fotal Over-all Rating							60	60	57	59.01	
verage Rating (Tot	al Over-all rating divided by					4.92	2 Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:				r
dditional Points:											
Punctuality		N					To atter	nd trainir	g for up	dates on A	cctg. Syste
AND REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND	onal points (with copy of app	proval)				4.02	4.92				,
INAL RATING						4.92 Outstanding					
ADJECTIVAL RATING Evaluated and Rated		Recommending Approval:			Approved:	Outstanding					

Evaluated	and	Rated	by:

ERLINDA S. ESGUERRA

Accountant IV Date:

3 - timeliness

1 - quality 2 - efficiency

4 - average

VP for Admin and Finance Date: ____

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: March 1-June 30, 2019
Name of Staff: **Gina A. Loreto** Position: Administrative Aide III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

	usiii	g the scale below. Encircle your rating.			
Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description			
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model			
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements			
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements			
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.			
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements			

A.	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		(Scale	Э	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1

11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score					
	Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		(Scale	Э	
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score			58		
	Average Score			4.83	}	

Overall recommendation	;	

ERLINDA S. ESGUERRA Name of Head

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: GINA LORETO Performance Rating: Outstanding					
Aim: Effective delivery of administrative service					
Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:					
Date: March 1 Target Date: October, 2019					
First Step:					
Training on financial management					
Result: Improved Performance					
Date: Target Date:					
Next Step: Recommend for Promotion					
Outcome:					
Final Step/Recommendation:					

Prepared by:

ERLINDA S. ESGUERRA Unit Head

Conforme:

GINA A. LORETO Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff