

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Staff: **JAIME M. LASQUITES**


Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical rating per IPCR	4.54	70%	3.17
2. Supervisors/Head's assessment of His contribution towards Attainment of office accomplishments	4.80	30%	1.44
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING			4.61

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: **4.61**

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: _____


TOTAL NUMERIAL RATING: **4.61**ADJECTIVAL RATING: **0**

Prepared by:



JAIME M. LASQUITES
Name of Staff

Reviewed by:



CELSON GUMAOD
Department/Office Head

Approved:




REMBERTO A. RATINDOL
Chairman, PMT

"Exhibit B"

I, JAIME M. LASQUITES, of the SECURITY SERVICES AND MANAGEMENT OFFICE commit to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets/accomplishment in accordance with the indicated measures for the period July 1 to December 31, 2018


JAIME M. LASQUITES
 Ratee


CELSON GUMAOD
 Head, Security Office

MFO / PAPS	Program/Activities/ Projects	Tasks Assigned	ACCOMPLISHMENT		Percentage	Rating				Remarks
			Target	Actual		Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	
UMFO 6 General Administration and Support Services (GASS)										
VPAF MFO 7: Security Services and Management Office										
Security Services Management MFOs:										
MFO 1. Conduct Investigation on reported incidents										
PI 1. Number of all reported incidents had been investigated	Investigation	Assist the chief security office in conducting investigation	50	55	110%	5	4	4	4.333	
PI 2. Number of reported incidents submitted to higher office for legal action	Submission of incidents report to higher office	Prepare/consolidate incidents report	50	55	110%	5	4	4	4.333	


[illegible]


PI 1. Number of hours fixed post being manned	Manning fixed Post (Post 1,2, Administration Building and Market area)	Check, Supervise guards in Checking in/out all motorized vehicle, Entering on the blotter all report incidents, Checked and assist all visitors, Checked/reprimand unlicense motorcycle driver, Received packages/money/letters and facilitate the owners to withdraw, Records trip ticket of VSU vehicles, Checking student,faculty and Staff ID's, Monitor in/out of Staff, Opening and closing of market gate including PCC and Holy spirit gate and Inspection of all stalls after closing time.	80	95	118%	5	4	5	4.67	
PI 2. Number of hours in the Campus properly roved	Campus roving	Supervise roving guards	60	70	116%	5	5	5	5	
PI. 3. Number of orders/directives from higher office implemented	Orders/directives compliance/implementation on different memorandum circulars issued by OP.	Apprehend/reprimand violators on vandalism; Picking fruits, flowers, plants, etc. on campus without permission; Public disturbance; Trespassing; Littering; Intrusion VSU properties; No smoking policy; Improper disposal of solid waste; and Curfew policy.	50	60	120%	5	5	4	4.67	

TOTAL OVER-ALL RATING									36.342	
Average Rating(Total Overall rating divided by 8)		4.54	<div>Cooments & Recommendations fpr Development Purpose: Be a good example and motivate your men in good appearance and their work habits.</div>							
Punctuality	XX									
Approved additional points(with copy of approval)	XX	4.54								
FINAL RATING										
ADJECTIVAL RATING		0								

Evaluated & Rated by:

Approved by::


CELSON GUMAOD
Dept./Office Head
Date:


REMBERTO A. PATINDOL
Vice Pres. For Admin & Finance
Date

- 1 - Quality
- 2 - Efficiency
- 3 - Timeliness
- 4 - Average

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: July 1 to December 31, 2018Name of Staff: JAIME M. LASQUITESPosition: Security Guard-III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.


Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)	Scale				
1. Takes charge of the post and all government properties in view.	5	4	3	2	1
2. Walks during his tour-of-duty in a military manner, keeping always on the alert and observing everything that takes place within sight or hearing.	5	4	3	2	1
3. Reports all violation of orders he is instructed to enforce.	5	4	3	2	1
4. Repeats all calls from posts more distant from the guard house where he is stationed.	5	4	3	2	1
5. Quits his post only when properly relieved.	5	4	3	2	1
6. Receives, obeys and passes on to the relieving guard all orders from the university heads, SSO head, security officer or shift supervisors.	5	4	3	2	1
7. Talks to no one except in line of duty.	5	4	3	2	1
8. Gives the alarm in case of fire or disorder.	5	4	3	2	1
9. Calls the superior officer in any case not covered by instructions.	5	4	3	2	1
10. Salutes all university officials, his superiors, ranking public officials and commissioned officers of the Armed Forces of the Philippines.	5	4	3	2	1
11. Especially watchful at night, and during the time of challenging, challenges all persons near his post and allows no one to pass without proper authority.	5	4	3	2	1
12. Wears his uniform, badge, patches and insignia in a proper manner, and neat in appearance as a symbol of public trust and confidence.	5	4	3	2	1
13. Familiarizes himself diligently with the rules and regulations laid down by the university and that of the customers or clients.	5	4	3	2	1
14. Learns at heart or memorizes and strictly observes the laws and regulations governing the use of firearms.	5	4	3	2	1
15. Acts at all times with decorum and does not permit personal feelings; prejudices and undue friendship to influence his actuations in the performance of his official functions.	5	4	3	2	1
55+ 20= 75					

Total Score	
-------------	--

B. Leadership & Management (<i>For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor</i>)	Scale				
Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors.	5	4	3	2	1
Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit.	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score	75+21= 96				
Average Score	4.80				

Overall recommendation : _____


CEL SO GUMAOD
 Name of Head

PERFORMANCE MONITORING FORM


Name of Employee: JAIME M. LASQUITES

Task No.	Task Description	Expected Output	Date Assigned	Expected Date to Accomplish	Actual Date accomplished	Quality of Output*	Over-all assessment of output**	Remarks/ Recommendation
1	Supervise deployment of security guards	Effective supervision	July 1 2018	Dec 31,2018	Dec 31, 2018	VS	VS	
2	Monitor the attendance of Security guards	Attendance of SGs Properly monitored	July 1,2018	Dec 31,2018	Dec 31, 2018	VS	VS	
3	Performed assigned task And his subordinates AOR when he is absent	Assigned task properly performed	July 1,2018	Dec 31, 2018	Dec 31, 2018	VS	VS	
4								
5								
6								
7								

* Either very impressive, impressive, needs improvement, poor, very poor

** Outstanding, very satisfactory, satisfactory, unsatisfactory, poor

Prepared by:


CELSO GUMAOD
 Head, Security Office

PERFORMANCE MONITORING & COACHING JOURNAL

	1st	Q U A R T E R
	2nd	
	3rd	
	4th	


Name of Office: SECURITY SERVICES OFFICE

Head of Office: CELSO GUMAOD

Name of Personnel: JAIME M. LASQUITES Signature:  Date: _____

Activity Monitoring	MECHANISM				Remarks
	Meeting		Memo	Others (Pls. specify)	
	One-on-One	Group			
Monitoring	-The head of office conducted on-the-spot follow-up observations and inspection of detailed SG in his AOR.	Participation of the SGs and admin staff meeting in the different activities conducted by the head of office.	President Memo on the different university event/celebrations.	LOI and verbal instructions of the University President and OVPAF.	Security guard concerned was informed of his assignments and properly monitored.
Coaching	The concerned SG was informed of the outcome of the previous office performance especially concerning draw-backs on their assigned tasks. -Advices were given to the concerned SG.	Security guards attended the command conference/ meetings to iron out what is best he can contribute the unit.	SSO memo., orders and LOI issued	Weekly duty detail order was issued to concerned SG.	Narrative instruction was given and encouragement to do much better.

Note: Please indicate the date in the appropriate box when the monitoring was conducted.

Conducted by:

CELSO GUMAOD
Head, Security Office

Noted by:

REMBERTO A PATINDOL
Vice Pres. for Admin & Finance

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: Jaime M. Lasquites
Performance Rating: O

Aim: To improve performance.

Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:

Date: July 2018

Target Date: End of September 2018

First Step: Give instruction for being the supervisor of his shifting schedule to make it
Sure that all field guards of their respective AOR and monitor from time to time.

Result:

All duty guards were in placed and being monitored.

Date: October 2018

Target Date: End of December 2018


Next Step: Discussion about all orders from higher office/SSMO that shall be relayed
To incoming duty security guard.

Outcome: Order/instruction properly relayed to the next rank..

Final Step/Recommendation:


Consult from time to time with his supervisor the day to day operation of his team.

Prepared by:



Celso Gumaod
Head, Security Office

Conforme:



Jaime M. Lasquites
Name of Ratee/Staff