## COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

Analou S. Montejo

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight 70% (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.61	4.61 x 70%	3.23
2.Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.83	4.83 x 30%	1.45
	TOTAL NU	MERICAL RATING	4.68

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.68

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

. . . .

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: ADJECTIVAL RATING:

4.68 Very Satisfactory

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

NALOUS. MONTEJO

Name of Staff

NANCY ★. DUMAGUING Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

DEMBERTO A. PATI

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULI

President

Annex P

"Exhibit B"

## INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, ANALOU S. MONTEJO, of the Department of Consumer & Hospitality Management commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period January to June 2017.

KOU S. MONTE

Approved:

NANCY V. BUMAGUING

Remarks 4.66 4.66 4.66 4.66 A4 Rating 4 4 4 4 E<sub>2</sub> 2 2 2 2 Q1 2 2 S 2 Accomplishments Head of Unit complaint 100% no Actual 100% 85% 4 **Targets** complaint 95% no 95% 85% submitted results **Tasks Assigned** Served clients documents on evaluations and documents on to OVPI/PRPEO performance requested requested Conducted teaching time as time as Served Issued results submitted to OVPI/PRPEO within the deadline during evaluation period Number of evaluations computed and Percentage of requested documents Documents requested by students 0% complaint from client served Success Indicators 2.Registration Permit 1. Internal clearance 4. Univ. Student IDs 3.Students' Waiver served on time ssued on time **ADMINISTRATIVE** customer-friendly frontline service Student Services Evaluation (NBC MFO & PAPS Performance **Efficient** and 461 & QCE) SUPPORT SERVICES **Feaching** 

4.66	
4	
4	
n	
ın	
819	
$\infty$	
500	
ions	
ed on unicat unicat iment	
Submitted and prepared on time communications and standard government forms	
0, 22 0 0 0 0	
rms	
pared pared // Continests	
ions dernmets den form ments executed for the form for th	
Number of documents prepared/ submitted on time  Communications  Standard government forms  Trip tickets  RIS  Travel Order  DTRs  Payrolls  Application for Leave  Appointments/Contracts  Purchase Requests  ORS/BURS  Disbursement Vouchers  Personal Data Sheet  CHED	
Number of docume submitted on time  Communic Standard grandard gra	
• S • S • S • S • S • S • S • S • S • S	
nns	
orks	
riat W	
Secretariat Works	
×	

•	:	)							
Other, Services	Delivered documents and other	Delivered	`250	311	4	2	4	4.33	
	materials to instructors, advisers of	documents to							
	students and other offices	instructors,							
	inside/outside of VSU	advisers of							
		students and				-			
		other offices							
		inside/outside					-		
		of VSU							
	α.								
Total Over-all								27.63	
Rating									

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 6)	4.61
Additional Points:	
Punctuality	
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)	
FINAL RATING	4.61
ADJECTIVAL RATING	VS

Comments & Recommendations for Approved by: Development Purpose:

> Calibrated by: REMISER TO **PMT** LTERESTA E. Received by:

Recommending Approval: Vice President

Ore 19/2017

President

Date:

Date:

Date:

Date:

1 – Quality2 – Efficiency3 – Timeliness

4 - Average

## Annex O

## Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January to June 2017
Name of Staff: Analou S. Menteje Position: Adm. Aide VI

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	<b>Descriptive Rating</b>	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)			5	Scale		
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	(5)	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	(5)	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	( <u>a</u> )	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	(3)	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	(5)	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	0	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	(A)	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	3	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele		4	3	2	1

11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	(5)	4	3	2	1		
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	(5)	4	3	2	1		
Total Score								
B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)					Scale			
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1		
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1		
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1		
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1		
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1		
	Total Score							
	Average Score							

Overall recommendation	:		
		X m	
		MANCY V DIVIA CHITNIC	

Name of Head