COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR **ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF**

Name of Administrative Staff: TEODOMERO C. RATILLA

Particulars	Numerical Rating	Percentage Weight	Equivalent Numerical Rating
(1)	(2)	(3)	(2x3)
Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.92	70%	3.44
Supervisor/Head's assesment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.83	30%	1.45
	TOTAL NUM	ERICAL RATING	4.89

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.89

4.89

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

OUTSTANDING

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

Name of Staff

Department Head

Recommending Approval:

VICTOR B. ASIO Dean, CAFS

Approved by:

TRUE STOPP LITTLE PROPERTY AND THE RESERVED OF THE PROPERTY OF a solida da f પ્રાથમિક કર્યા છે. તેને પ્રાથમિક કર્યા છે. તેને પ્રાથમિક કર્યા હતા. તેને કર્યો હતું કર્યો તેને જાણ કર્યો તેને પ્રાથમિક કર્યા હતા. તેને તેને તેને i sakerasi kunja Luped ji saked The supplied database and equipment

"EXHIBIT B" INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, <u>TEODOMERO C. RATILLA</u>, of the <u>DEPARTMENT OF AGRONOMY</u> commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period <u>July 1, 2018</u> to <u>December 31, 2018</u>.

TEODOMERO C. RATILLA

Ratee

Approved:

BERTA C. RATILLA

Unit Head

MFO & PAPs	, Raice	Tasks Assigned	Accomp	Accomplishment		\mathbb{E}^2	T^3	A ⁴	Ren
	Success Indicators		Target	Actual					
Administrative	No. of rice varieties planted		3	4	5	5	5	5.00	
Support	No. of corn varieties planted		2	2	5	5	5	5.00	
Services	No. of perennial crops planted and maintained		3	3	5	5	5	5.00	
	No. of legume crops planted & maintained	Supervise, monitor farm operations	2	2	5	4	5	4.67	
	No. of production projects maintained	related to instruction, research, extension	2	2	4	5	5	4.67	
	No. of croppings conducted	and production activities	2	2	5	4	5	4.67	
	No. of annual crops planted and maintained		7	7	4	5	5	4.67	
	Quantity of rice seeds produced (kg)	Ī	800	1215	5	5	5	5.00	
	Quantity of corn seeds produced (kg)		60	60	4	5	5	4.67	
	Quantity of rice seeds released (kg)		750	1515	5	5	5	5.00	Marie Responding Sandani
	Quantity of corn seeds released (kg)	I and malages souds to hywors/students	20	22.5	5	5	5	5.00	
	No. of clients served	Issue and release seeds to buyers/students	50	149	5	5	5	5.00	
	Income generated		25000.00	125928.20	5	5	5	5.00	
parameter de la processa de la composition de la mesta de la fina de la composition de la composition de la co	No. of laboratory classes assisted	Issues needed farm supplies and materials	6	10	5	5	5	5.00	
	No. of student research assisted		5	10	5	5	5	5.00	
	No. of laborers supervised	Supervise laborers in the field	2	2	5	5	5	5.00	
	No. of project reports prepared and submitted	Prepare periodic project reports	3	3	5	5	5	5.00	MAN compensations
ACCUPATION OF THE PROPERTY OF	No. of farm tools/implements requested and procured	Request farm tools/implements/ supplies	4	6	5	5	5	5.00	
	No. of farm supplies (fertilizers, etc) procured	and materials	5	7	5	5	5	5.00	
	No. of other assigned tasks performed on time	Perform other tasks from time to time	2	3	5	5	5	5.00	
Total Over-all					agenceason sur at our flow compromest the surface for the surface of the surface			98.33	

tcratilla...

Average Rating		4.92
Additional Points:		
Punctuality		
Approved Additional Points (with copy of approval)		
FINAL RATING		4.92
ADJECTIVAL RATING	Outstanding	

ADJECTIVAL RATING	Outstan	ıding	
Comments & Recommendation	ns for Development Purpose:		
Keep up	the profofanding rating	2 attend trainings!	
Evaluated & Rated by:	Recomm	mending Approval:	Approved by:
BERTA C. RATILLA Dept/Unit Head		VICTOR B. ASIO Dean/Director	BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS VP for Instruction
Date:	Date:		Date:
1- Quality 2- Efficiency	3- Timeliness 4- Aver	rage	

INSTRUMENT FOR PERFORMANCE EFFECTIVENESS OF ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Rating Period: <u>July - December 2018</u>

Name of Staff/Position: TEODOMERO C RATILLA (Sch Farm Demonstrator)

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceed the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model.
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements.
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements.
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job
1	Poor	The staff fails job requirements.

Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		Scale						
Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1			
2. Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time.	5	4	3	2	1			
 Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulator agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay. 	Ty 5	4	3	2	1			
 Accepts all assigned task as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time. 	5	4	3	2	1			
Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks.	5	4	3	2	1			
Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1			
Keeps accurate records of his/her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1			
Suggest new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients.	5	4	3	2	1			
 Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university. 	if 5	4	3	2	1			

Halling was some of the manager and the difference seems and the second la de la constituir de la constituir and the commence of the commen g jang grandani nalah والمراجع والمراجع والمستخط المناجع والمناجع والمناجع والمناجع والمناجع والمناجع والمناجع والمناجع والمناجع

functions the outpu	ours during lean periods by performing non-routine ts of which results as a best practice that further ess of the office or satisfaction of the clientele.	5	4	3	2	1
	criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations r his work accomplishment.	5	4	3	2	1
12. Willing to be trained	d and developed.	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score			58		
B. Leadership and M higher supervisor	anagement (For supervisors only to be rated by			Scale)	
1	tery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, ence from subordinates and that of higher superiors.	5	4	3	2	1
	tive to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of ent aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
	urpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the ses and functions of the department/office for further clients.	5	4	3	2	1
Accepts accountab output required of h	ility for the overall performance and in delivering the nis/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
for their improved e	ches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their eded for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score					
	Average Score			4.83		

Overall Recommendation:		
O VOI dii 1 (OOOIIIIIIO) Idadioii.		

BERTA C. RATILLA

Name of Head

Exhibit L

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: TEODOMERO C. RATILLA Performance Rating: OUTSTANDING
Aim: To sustain the outstanding rating
Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance:
Date: June2018 Target Date: December 2018
First Step:
To attend trainings and seminar to improve skills and be able to assess TESDA-related courses
Result:
Attended and satisfactorily passed the TM training in TESDA
Date: January 2019 Target Date: December 2019
Next Step:
To register as one of TESDA assessor in Agricultural Crops Production
Outcome:Certified Assessor of TESDA for Agricultural Crops Production
Final Step/Recommendation:
Prepared by:

Unit Head