## COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

LEVI G. CASTANAS

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.92	70%	3.44
Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.66	30%	1.40
	тот	AL NUMERICAL RATING	4.84

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	4.84
Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:	
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Onte fan ding

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

Name of Staff

Penartment/Office Head

Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

President

"Exhibit B"

## INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, LEVI G.CASTANAS, of the \_\_\_\_\_ Dept. of Soil Science commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the January 1, 2017 to June 30, 2017. (Target and accomplishment) indicated measures for the period \_\_

G,CASTANAS

Approved:

SUZETTE B. LINA Head of Unit

				Actual			Rating		Remarks
MFO & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Accomplishment	Ď	E <sub>2</sub>	Т3	A4	
General Administrative Support Services (3ASS)	# of course materials mimeographed/collate d ready for distribution.	Mimeographed syllabus and laboratory manuals, course outlines and examinations/collated.	2000	3500	ι <b>υ</b>	ro.	ın.	5.00	
Messengerial	# of papers/documents delivered/followed-up	Follow-up papers/documents processed of Job Requests, TOs, Trip Tickets, Reimbursements, Appointments of Job Order, RIS, Purchase Requests, Grade Sheets, Class Rosters, Payrolls, etc.	700	1250	In .	4	n	4,66	
Dept. Classrooms / offices/ lawn and plants Maintenance	# of classrooms/offices cleaned # of plants maintained	-Cleaned and maintained classrooms/offices -Maintained plants	1 50	3 100	r.	v.	ro.	5.00	
Field coordinator	# of laborers supervised	Supervise laborers in the field (planting, weeding, harvesting, etc)	1	£	r.	S	ru.	5.00	
Total Over-all Rating								4.92	The state of the s

Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)

Additional Points:

Punctuality

Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)

ADJECTIVAL RATING

FINAL RATING

Calibrated by:

Received by:

Recommending Approval:

Approved by:

EDGARDO E MULIN

BEATKIZ S. PELÓNIAS Vice Président for Instruction

1 – quality 2 – Efficiency 3 – Timeliness 4 - Aver

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Date:

Date:

Date:

outstanding

Comments & Recommendations for Development Purpose:

×

×

## Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff Rating Period: Jan - June 2019

Name of Staff:	LEVI	G.	CASTANAS	Position:	Adm.	Aide III	
				1 03161011.			

**Instruction to supervisor:** Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

Co	mmitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)			Scale	2	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	(5)	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time.	(5)	4	3	2	1
3.	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	(5)	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks.	(5)	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients.	5	4	3	2	1

	Average Score					
	Total Score		5	6		
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit.	5	4	3	2	
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors.	5	4	3	2	
	eadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher upervisor)			Scale	9	
	Total Score					1_
	<ol> <li>Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment.</li> <li>Willing to be trained and developed.</li> </ol>	5	(4) (4)	3	2	1
	O. Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele.  Assents chiestive criticisms and appear to suggestions and innovations for	5	4	3	2	1
	<ol> <li>Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university.</li> </ol>	(5)	4	3	2	1

Overall recommendation :
--------------------------

B. LINA SMIZETTE Name of Head