

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFFRating Period: July-December, 2015Name of Administrative Staff: JAIME V. LATRAS

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (2)	Equivalent Numerical rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.83	0.70	3.38
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.91	0.30	1.47
TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING			4.86

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.86

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: -

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: **4.86****ADJECTIVAL RATING:** **OUTSTANDING**

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

JAIME V. LATRAS

Name of Staff

DINAH M. ESPINA

Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN

President

Legend:

4.6 - 5.0 Outstanding

3.8 - 4.5 Very Satisfactory


3.0 - 3.7 Satisfactory

2.2 - 2.9 Unsatisfactory


2.1 - & below Poor

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT AND REVIEW (IPCR)

I, **JAIME V. LATRAS**, of the **Department of Animal Science**, commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period **July, 2016 to December, 2016**.


JAIME V. LATRAS
Ratee

Approved:


DINAH M. ESPINA
Head of Unit


MFO & PAPS	Success Indicators	Task Assigned	Targets	Actual Accomplishment	Rating				Remark(s)
					Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	
Administrative Support Services	Number of animals cared/ sold	Pasturing of goat/sheep and returning them to their shed, providing them with feeds, water, cutting, hauling, providing the animals with forages, give medication and takes care newly born animals and deworm the animals every 3 months. Generated income through sales of animals/manure	80	Animal Inventory = 90 heads, Generated: P53,020	5	5	5	5.00	
	Number of paddocks of pasture established and/or maintained	Cleaning of previously pastured areas, application of fertilizer, repairing fences, planting <i>kakawati</i> on fence line and planting different grasses.	10	13	5	5	5	5.00	
	Number of animal sheds maintained	Clean/sanitize animal sheds	3	Daily cleaning of goat/sheep houses in its surroundings. Animal manure/grass debris are properly disposed	4	5	5	4.67	

	Number of animal facilities/equipment repaired and/or maintained	Maintain the facilities and equipment of goat/sheep project	5	Metabolism cages = 11 Grass Cutter = 1 Chopper = 1 Silage Drums = 5 Total = 18	5	4	5	4.67	
Total Over-all Rating									19.33

Average Rating (Total Over-all Rating/No. of A ⁴ Entries)		4.83
Additional Points:		
Punctuality	0	
Approved Additional points (with copy of approval)	0	
FINAL RATING		4.83
ADJECTIVAL RATING		OUTSTANDING

Comments & Recommendation for Development Purpose:

Received by:


PRPEO Office


Date: _____

Calibrated by:


REMBERTO A. PATINDOL
Chairman, PMT


Date: _____

Recommending Approval:


BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS
Vice-President for Instruction

Date: _____

Approved by:


EDGARDO E. TULIN
President

Date: _____

Legend: Q¹ - Quality
E² - Efficiency
T³ - Timeliness
A⁴ - Average

Rating Scale: 4.6 - 5.0 Outstanding
3.8 - 4.5 Very Satisfactory
3.0 - 3.7 Satisfactory
2.2 - 2.9 Unsatisfactory
2.1 - & below Poor

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: **July-December, 2016**Name of Staff: **Jaime V. Latras**Position: **Administrative Aide 1**

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/ center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		Scale				
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score		79				