Annex P

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

ZANDRO ISRAEL

	TOTAL NU	MERICAL	4.6808	
2. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.916	30%	1.4748	
Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.58	70%	3.206	
Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)	

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:	4.6808	_	
Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:		_	
FINAL NUMERICAL RATING	4.6808	_	
ADJECTIVAL RATING:	0		
Prepared by:		Reviewed by:	, 1 -
MARIO C. BANTUGAN			MARIO LILIO VALENZONA
Name of Staff			Department/Office/Head

Recommending Approval:

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, <u>ZANDRO ISRAEL</u> of the <u>GENERAL SERVICES DIVISION</u> commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period: <u>January to June 2016</u>

Approved:

MARIO E. BALIAD

Head, Unit

MEO & Borformanco				Actual		Rating			
MFO & Performance	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Accomplishme					Remarks
Indicators				nt	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	
		Prepares schedule of work activities of LSWMU personnels	5 schedule per work	5 schedule per work	5	5	4	4.67	
Ground maintenance &	well maintained campus	2. Monitors daily work assignments of laborers	15 laborer	15 laborer	5	5	4	4.66667	p =
waste management	grounds and waste properly collected and disposed	3. Supervised in the cutting and prunning of Trees	5 laborer	5 laborer	5	5	4	4.67	
services	conected and disposed	4. Monitors the collection and disposal of waste around the campus	5 days/week	5 days/week	5	4	4	4.33	
Total Over-all Rating								18.33	
Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 4)				4.58		Comn	nents	& Recomm	nendations
Additional Points:					for Development Purpose:				
Punctuality:									
Approved Additional point (with copy of approval)									
FINAL RATING				4.58					,
ADJECTIVAL RATING				VS					

Received:

Calibrated by:

Recommending Approval:

Approvedby:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL
Vice President

CARDO L. TOL

Planning Office

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

	O a A Ra	ating Periods	Jam-Jun	K 2016 Bdim-Aid 111
Name of Staff:	Zanaro	Israel	Position:	Bain-Aide III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers output which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

1.	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)			Sca	ıle	
	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	(5)	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3	even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.		5	4	3	2	1
8.		5	4	3	2	1
9	to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	1
0.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
1.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Willing to be trained and developed	5)	4	3	2	1
	Total Score		5	9		_
3. L	eadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher upervisor)			Scal	е	
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	
	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	
2.					-	
	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients. Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	
3.4.5.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit. Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency		4 4			
3.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit. Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated	5	4	3	2	1

Overall recommendation :	
	L
	MAIEN BALLAD
	Name of Head

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee:	ZANDRO ISRAEL
Performance Rating:	Outstanding
Aim:	
Proposed Intervention responsibilities:	ns to Improve Performance and/or Competence and Qualification to assume higher
Date:	Target Date:
First Step:	
Result:	
Date:	Target Date:
Next Step:	
Outcome:	
Final Step/Recommen	dation:

Prepared by:

Unit Head