## COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

## Francisco M. Valenzona

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight 70% (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1 De din a man IDCP	5.0	x 70%	3.5
Numerical Rating per IPCR     Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office	4.94	x 30%	1.482
accomplishments	TOTAL NUM	MERICAL RATING	4.982

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.982

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

4.982

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

**Outstanding** 

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

FRANCISCO M. VALENZONA

Admin Aide

CHRISTINA A. GABRILLO

Head, DDC

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

President M

# Visayas State University DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENT COMMUNICATION

#### **COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE AND FOOD SCIENCE**

Visca, Baybay City, Leyte

#### INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW (IPCR)

I, FRANCISCO M. VALENZONA from the Department of Development Communication, commit to deliver and agreed to be rated on the attainment of the following accomplishments in accordance with the indicated measures for the period of July to December 2016.

FRANCISCO M. VALENZONA

Ratee

APPROVED:

**CHRISTINA A. GABRILLO** 

Head of Unit

	MFOs & PAPs	SUCCESS INDICATORS	TASKS ASSIGNED	TARGETS	ACCOMPLISH- MENTS		RATI	NG		REMARKS
MFO No.						Quality	Efficiency	Timeliness	Average	
	OVPI MFO 6: Gen	eral Administration and	d Support Services							
6	General Admin. & Support Services (GASS)	Number of office documents delivered and followed up/errands made	Utility services	600	1200	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
		Number of academic lecture/laboratory rooms maintained	Daily cleaning/maintenance	3	11	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7	,

		Area of lawn maintained (sq.m,	Lawn maintenance		100	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7	
		approx.)								
		Number of faculty rooms	Daily cleaning		7	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7	
		cleaned daily							,	
		Zero percent complaint from	Good rapport to clients	0	0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	100% no complaint
		clients served								
5			•			4	24.	00		
otal Ove	r-all Rating						4.8	30		ž.
djectiva						OUTSTANDING				
,										
Average F	Rating (Total Over-all rating			24.00						
Additiona	Il points:									
Punct			Early to start cleaning the offices/CR	1.00						
		1		1						

Additional points:			
Punctuality		Early to start cleaning the offices/CR	1.00
Approved additional points			25.00
FINAL RATING			5.00
ADJECTIVAL RATING			OUTSTANDING
Received by:	Calibrated by:		Recommending App

Received by:

Date:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

**PMT Chairperson** 

Date:

ng Approval:

BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS
Vice Pres. for Instruction
Date:

Approved:

EDGARDO E. TULIN
President

Date: \_\_

#### Annex O

### Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: <u>July to December 2016</u>
Name of Staff: <u>Francisco M. Valenzona</u> Position: <u>Admin Aide</u>

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using

ton	aras atte		he scale below. Encircle your rating.	,				_
S	cale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description					
	5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job red staff delivers outputs which always results to best profile is an exceptional role model					
	4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job re	quir	eme	nts		
	3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements	15				
	2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet jo	ob re	equir	eme	ents.	
	1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements					
A.	Commitr	ment (both for subor	dinates and supervisors)		5	Scale	Э	
1.			lient's needs and makes the latter's experience in office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes	self-available to client	s even beyond official time	5	4	3	2	1
3	CHED,	DBM, CSC, DOST, N	reports required by higher offices/agencies such as IEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within vertime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.		s all assigned tasks as within the prescribed	s his/her share of the office targets and delivers time.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Commi	ts himself/herself to h	elp attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co-	5	4	3	2	1

	functions of the university					- 1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for	5	4	3	2	1

12. Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score	388		60		
B. Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		Scale			
<ol> <li>Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respe and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors</li> </ol>	ct 5	4	3	2	1
<ol><li>Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.</li></ol>	ie 5	4	3	2	1
<ol> <li>Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.</li> </ol>		4	3	2	1
<ol> <li>Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the outprequired of his/her unit.</li> </ol>	ut 5	4	3	2	1
<ol> <li>Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for the improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned task needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit</li> </ol>		4	3	2	1
Total Sco	re		84		
Average Sco	re		4.94	1	

CHRISTINA A. GABRILLO Head, DDC