

# HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT

Annex P

### COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff: LIONEL H. LIONG

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.66	70%	3.26
Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.75	30%	1.43
	TOTAL NU	MERICAL RATING	4.69

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.69
Add: Additional Approved Points, if any: 0

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: 4.69

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING 4.69

ADJECTIVAL RATING: Outstanding

Prepared by: Reviewed by:

LIONEL H. LIONG

Name of Staff

JENNIFER E. ANDO

Department/Office Head

Approved:

HONEY SOFIA V. COLIS

Director, HRMD





I, Lionel H. Liong of the RECRUITMENT, SELECTION, PLACEMENT AND PERSONNEL RECORDS commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period January - December 2024.

Approved:

LIONEL H. LIONG Ratee JENNIFER E. ANDO Head of Unit

GASSs/PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target (January-	Actual			Ra	ating	Remarks
			December 2024)	Accomplishments	Q <sup>1</sup>	E2	T <sup>3</sup>	A <sup>4</sup>	
GAS5. SUPPOR	T TO OPERATIONS								
	O 9001:2015 ALIGNED DOCI 1: ISO 9001:2015 aligned do		rocesses						
OHRSPPR STO 1: ISO 9001:2015 aligned documents and compliant processes	The second state of the second	Provides better customer service experience to all clients	95% of clients rated services as very satisfactory or higher	100%	5	5	5	5.00	
	PI 2. Percentage implementation of all administrative and HR processes in accordance with existing approved quality procedures	Assits in carring out all administrative and HR processes in line with existing approved quality procedures	100% processes implemented according to QP	100%	5	5	5	5.00	
	PI 3. Percentage of PRIME- HRM maturity level 3 accreditation evidences under RSP, PM & R&R prepared and submitted for approval	Assists in the gathering and compilation of the required evidences for RSP level 3	75% of required evidences for RSP level 3 prepared and submitted	75% of required evidences ⊮or RSP level 3 prepared and submitted	N/A			N/A	Still waiting for the relsease of the lists of the new Prime HRM asessment tools.
RMO MFO 2:IM	PLEMENTATION OF THE REC	CRUITMENT, SELECTION	AND PLACEMENT SYSTEM						and the second s
	PI. 5 Percentage of screening and evaluation of applicants to vacant positions processed in accordance with the Merit System and appointment of selected employees	Reproduces copies of the vacancy announcements for posting to bulletin boards, website & social media	35 publications	130 publications	5	5	4	4.66	
	processed and approved without invalidation by CSC	Emails the approved publication of vacant to CSC for posting on CSC Websites	15 publications	62 publications	5	5	5	5.00	

GĀSSs/PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target (January-	Actual			R	Remarks	
10			December 2024)	Accomplishments	Q <sup>1</sup>	E²		A <sup>4</sup>	
		Publish approved publication of vacant positions to HRIS for posting at jobs/edu.ph	15 publications	119 publications	5	5	5	5.00	
		Assist in the gather data and encode PERSONAL SERVICES ITEMIZATION AND PLANTILLA OF PERSONNEL (PSIPOP) in the DBM system & HRIS-Plantilla	100% assisted in data gathering & encoding	100% assisted in data gathering & encoding	5	4	4	4.33	
		Assist in the comparative assessment in selection of job applicants.	100% of the applicants have been contacted thruogh text/email.	100% of the applicants have been contacted thruogh text/email.	4	4	4	4.00	
		Assist in the checking of completeness and accuracy of documentary requirements for appointments	250 appointments processed without invalidation	321 appointments processed without invalidation	5	4	4	4.33	
		Do other task assigned by the head/supervisor within time frame	100% of the task assigned by the head/supervisor was complied	100% of the task assigned by the head/supervisor was complied	5	5	4	4.66	
Total Over-all Rating								4.66	
		Average Rating :	4.66		Com	ments	& Red	commendations f	for Development Purpo
LION	EL H. LIONG	Additional Points: Punctuality Approved Additional points			Ī	ale	Ce	ic team	Eligibility
		(with copy of approval) FINAL RATING	4.66						
		ADJECTIVAL RATING	OUTSTANDING						

Evaluated & Rated by:

Recommending Approval:

Approved by:

JENNIFER E. ANDO

Head, RSPPRO Date: 1/12/1016

HONEY SOFIA V. COLIS

Director, HRMO
Date: 1/23/w25

ELWIN JAY V. YU

Vice President for Admin & Finance
Date: VILLE WYS

Legend:

1 - Quality

2 - Efficiency

3- Timeliness

4 - Average

## PERFORMANCE MONITORING & COACHING JOURNAL

1st	Q U
2 <sup>nd</sup>	A R
3 <sup>rd</sup>	T E
4th	R

Name of Office: RSPPRO

Head of Office: JENNIFER E. ANDO

Number of Personnel: 3

Activity					
Monitoring		eeting	Memo	Others (Pls.	Remarks
	One-on-One	Group	Wienio	specify)	
Monitoring					
Coaching		November 19, 2024			Coaching and review of duties and responsibilities of RSPPRO personnel based on office mandates.

Note: Please indicate the date in the appropriate box when the monitoring was conducted.

Conducted by:

Noted by:

JENNIFER E. ANDO Immediate Supervisor

Next Higher Supervisor

# EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee: Lionel H. Ling Performance Rating: January 1 to December 31, 2024 Aim: To further enhance competencies in HR documents and policies. Proposed Interventions to Improve Performance: Date: January 1, 2024 Target Date: December 31, 2024 First Step: Attendance to Seminar - Workshop/ Convention/ Trainings related to management of HR documents and HR policies. Result: Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Target Date: \_\_\_\_ Next Step: Outcome: Final Step/Recommendation: Attendance to HR seminars/workshops & Records Management related trainings to further enhance knowledge & competencies for effective implementation. Prepared by:

Unit Head

Conforme:

LIONEL H. LIONG
Name of Ratee Faculty/Staff





# HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT

# Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January - December 2024

Name of Staff: Lionel H. Liong Position: Administrative Aide III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle

	your	rating.				
Scale Descriptive Rating Qualitative Description						
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model				
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements				
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements				
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.				
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements				

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)			Scale					
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1		
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	(5)	4	3	2	1		
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	(3	4	3	2	1		
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1		
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1		
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	(5)	4	3	2	1		
<b>7</b> .	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(3)	4	3	2	1		
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	0	3	2	1		
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	0	4	3	2	1		
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	3	4	3	2	1		

11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	G	4	3	2	1
12.	Willing to be trained and developed	(3)	4	3	2	1
	Total Score	-	7			-
	eadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher upervisor)			Scal	e	
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.			3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.				2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score					
	4.75					

