COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR **ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF**

Name of Administrative Staff:

Pamela P. Orano

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight 70% (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
3. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.89	.70	3.423
4. Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.66	.30	1.398
	4.821		

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.821

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

4.821

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING: ADJECTIVAL RATING:

OUTSTANDING

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

DR. REMBERTO PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I	, Pamela P. Orano	, of the	Quality Assurance Center	commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated
1	measures for the period	January	to June , 20 <u>16</u> .	
	^			

PAMELA P. ORANO

OIN £ 27, 2016

RATEE

	LUALHATI M. NORIEL	
	Head of Unit	
Date:		

	Success Indicators		Actual	Percentage	Rating				
MFO	Success mulcators	Target	Accomplishments	Accomplishment for as of June	Q^1	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	Remarks
Administrative Duties	Self-rates the University for SUC leveling Main Campus	4 KRA's for 1 instrument	4 KRA's for 1 instrument	100%	5	5	4	4.67	
	filled up summary forms of SUC leveling that was used for the orientation of the SUC leveling instrument	4 KRA's for 1 instrument	4 KRA's filled up for 1 instrument	100%	5	5	5	5	
	Follow-up, Collected and Collated supporting documents for SUC leveling for main campus	4 KRA's	4 KRA's for 1 instrument	100%	5	4	5	4.67	
	Number of instrument prepared/ encoded /bound for AACCUP, ISO, Institutional Accreditation, SUC Leveling	1	2 for Institutional Portfolio and AUN instrument	200%	5	5	5	5	
	Drafted Quality Procedure for Individual Faculty Workload Determination and Work Instruction	1	2	200%	5	5	5	5	
	Number of classes facilitated for the Faculty evaluation (Second Semester)	10 classes	20	200%	5	5	5	5	
	Number of meetings/workshop/writeshop to attended and facilitated/NP dry	5	15	300%	5	5	5	5	

	Run Presentation, ISO, AACUP,ACRO								
	Number of OPCR/IPCR, DTR prepared	2	4	200%	5	5	5	5	
	Number communications, TOS/syllabus and countersigned clearance and Received	8	12	150%	5	5	5	5	
	Number of pages consumed in photocopying, certifying true copy	1000 pages	3000	200%	5	5	4	4.67	
	Number of documents Ring bound	5	10	200%	5	5	4	4.67	
Efficient & customer- friendly assistance	Zero complaint from clients	No complaint	No complaint	No complaint	5	5	5	5	
Total Over-all Rating								58.68	
Average Rating								4.89	
Adjectival Rating								0	

Received by:	why	Calibrated by	: Xund	Recommending A	pproval:	Approved by:	5 THIN
€ Pla	nning Office		PMT		Vice President	and a state of the second seco	President
Date:		Date:		Date:		Date:	
1 – Quality	2 - Efficiency	3 – Timeliness 4 - A	Average				

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: JAN-JUNE, 2016

Name of Staff: Pamela P. Oraño Position: Administrative Aide III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

A.	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)		5	Scal	е	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	(5)	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4)	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	(5)	4	3	2	1
10	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	(5)	4	3	2	1

12	Willing to be trained and developed	5	4	3	2	1
-	Total Score	40	+	16		
	Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		5	Scale	е	
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Score	3	76			
	Average Score	4	1.6	6		

Overall recommendation: The Pamela P. Orano is effecient lege africe in do the tasked responsibilities and this contributed to the afairm of the goals of agrictions of the CAC. At horis LUALHATIM. NORIEL	Overall recommendation	: Mrs. Pamela P. Orano is effecient leppe africe is	- dong
LUALHATI M. NORIEL	her of the	goals of agrictions of the SCAC. LUALHATIM. NORIEL	Harmer