Annex P

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff:

Lucio Pole Jr.

	Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)		Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.44	70%	3.108
2	Supervisor/Head's Assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishment	4.75	30%	1.425
		TOTAL NUMERICAL R	ATING	4.533

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.533

4.533

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.533

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

VS

Prepared by:

Recommending Approval:

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA Inmediate Supervisor

Approved:

Director, GSD

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Vice President

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I. <u>LUCIO C. POLE JR</u>, of the <u>GENERAL SERVICES DIVISION</u> commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period: <u>January - June 2019</u>

Approved:

LUCIO C. POLE JR

Ratee

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA Director, GSD

		Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishmen t		Rating				
MFO & Performance Indicators	Success Indicator				Q ¹	E ²	Т³	A ⁴	Remarks	
		Supervise assignments of workers of on going	40 J.O workers	45 J.O workers	5	5	4	4.67		
MFO 1: Foreman Project Supervision	PI 1: Monthly Accomplishments	Maintenance and Construction Projects	6 on going project	8 on going project	5	4	4	4.33		
		Survey and inspection VSU Buildings	30	40	5	4	4	4.33		
Total:										
Total Over-all Rating	Over-all Rating							13.33		
Average Rating (Total Over-all rating divided by 1)				4.44	Comments & Recommendations					
Additional Points:					for Development Purpose:					
Punctuality:					Busing Franchisco 1 Conf					
Approved Additional point (with copy of approval)					Colla Ha + 1				val sylen	
FINAL RATING				4.44	E Harth Training				land	
ADJECTIVAL RATING				VS						

Evaluate & Rated by:

Recommending Approval:

Approved by:

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA Supervisor

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA Director, GSD REMBEERTO A. PATINDOL

Vice President

Instrument for . erformance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: January to June 2019

Name of Staff: LUCIO C. POLE	Position:	Foreman

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

	your department	/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your ratio	ng.				
Scale	Descriptive Rating Qualitative Description						
5	Outstanding The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model						
4	Very Satisfactory						
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements					
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.					
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements					
	A. Commitment (b	oth for subordinates and supervisors)			Scale		
1	Demonstrates sensitivity to o with the office fulfilling and re	client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business ewarding.	(5)	4	3	2	
2	Makes self-available to clien		5	(4)	3	2	T
3		reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work	5	4	3	2	
4	Accepts all assigned tasks a prescribed time.	s his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the	5	4	3	2	
5	Commits himself/herself to her to perform all assigned tasks	elp attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail	5	4	3	2	
6	Regularly reports to work on matters and logs out upon d	time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal eparture from work.	5	4	3	2	
7	Keeps accurate records of h	er work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	(4)	3	2	T
8	Suggests new ways to further	er improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	(5)	4	3	2	T
9		igned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related ards the attainment of the functions of the university	5	4	3	2	
10		ng lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which at further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	
11	Accepts objective criticisms accomplishment	and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work	5	4	3	2	
12	Willing to be trained and developed				3	2	
		Total Score	3	7			
	B. Leadership & Managemen	t (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor			Scale		
1	Demonstrates mastery and subordinates and that of high	expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from her superiors	5	4	3	2	
2	to that of the overall plans of		5	4	3	2	
3	functions of the department/	improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and office for further satisfaction of clients.	5	4	3	2	
4		e overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	5	4	3	2	L
5		ng their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets	5	4	3	2	
		Total Score			,		
		Average Score	1	7			_

Overall recommendation		

MARIO LILIO VALENZONA
Supervisor

EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Name of Employee:	LUCIO C. POLE JR.
Performance Rating	January- June 2019
Aim:	
Proposed Intervention	ons to Improve Performance:
Date:	Target Date:
First Step: Attend	TESDA training and seminars
Result:	
Date:	Target Date:
Outcome:	
Final Step/Recomme	endation:
	Prepared by:
Conforme:	Director, GSD
	Retee